

THE

SPEECHES

OF

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

WILLIAM PITT,

IN THL

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

QUANTÒ MAGIS ADMIRARFMINI, SI AUDISSETIS IPSUM!

IN FOUR VOLUMES.

VOL. IV.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR LONGMAN, HURST, REES AND ORME,
PATERNOSTER-ROW,

J HATCHARD, PICCADILLY; AND BLACKS AND PARRY,

LEADENHALL-STREET.

1806.



CONTENTS

OF THE

FOURTH VOLUME.

	Page
FEB. 3, 1800, His Majesty's message relative to overtures	
of peace from the French government	1
17, — Imperial subsidy	57
Apr 21, — Union with Ireland	70
June 27, — Motion for a call of the house	'92
Nov. 11, — His Majesty's speech on opening the session.	96
- 27, M1 Tierney's motion for a committee on the	
state of the nation	109
l'eb 2, 1801, His Majesty's speech on opening the session	134
18, The budget	148
Mar. 12, — Lord Castlereagh's motion respecting martial	
law in Ireland	166
25, — Mr Grey's motion for a committee on the	
state of the nation ·····	173
Nov. 3, Preliminaries of peace with the French re-	
public	197
Apr. 5, 1802, The budget	214
May 23, 1803, His Majesty's message, announcing war with	
France ·····	221
une 3, — Colonel Patten's motion for a vote of censure	
on ministers	235
ly 18, — Defence of the country	240
- 22, — General defence bill	248
c. 9, — Army estimates	

CONTENTS

	,
Feb. 27 1804 Volunteer regulation bill	275
Mar 15 Mr Put's motion on the state of na	val.
defence	287
Apr 23 Defence of the country	305
25 Army of reserve suspension bill	326 t
June 5 Additional force bill	341 (
18 Ditto	352 1
Feb. 8 1805 Irish habeas corpus act suspension bill	365 %
11 War with Spain	370
12, Ditto	392
Mar 6 Mr Sheridan's motion for a repeal of	the
additional force bill	403
Apr 8, - Proceedings respecting Lord Melville	420
May 6 Ditto	433
14 Roman Catholic petrtion	435
June 15 - Conduct of Mr Pitt, relative to the loan	to
Boyd and Benfield	446
June 05 - Impeachment of Lord Melville -	46"
For changes of administration see pages 173 330	4

ERRATA TO VOL IV.

```
Page 55, note, for 1791, read 1794
--- 56, line 1, dele " to "
- -, - 19, for "supplied," read applied
68, — 4, for "Shelbane," read Shelburne

95, — 5, for "Maubenge,' read Maubenge
—— 104, — 22, for "such declaration," read much declaration
—— 108, —— 10, for "regected," read rejected
--- 110, -- 18, note, for "severity," read security.
--- 111, -- 11, for "Eulgish," read English
--- 155, - 27, for "Commons," read Lords
- 157, - 22, insert " of Commons," after House
- 201, - 5, for "danng," read damning
- 202, - 21, for "council," read counsel
--- 207 - 1, for "were," read was
____ 219, __ 4 and 7, for "who," read which
--- 242, - 4, for "state," read states
--- 244, -- 18, for "begun," read began
- 246, - 19, for " mistuned," read mistimed
- 255 - 4, for " of," read for
--- note, for 1785, read 1795
--- 290, line 7, after "France," insert had been given
---- 292, -- 25, for " on," read in
--- 300, last line but one, for "on," read in
301, note, line 3, from the bottom, for "as the people increase," read as the burdens of the people increase
—— 808, — 11, for "entrusted," read contracted
—— 849, —— 1, dele "mere"
- 362, - 10, for "successfully," read successively
--- 466, - 14, for "more," read mere
--- 479, -- I, for Brauton," read Bracton
--- 492, note, for "loosing," read losing
--- 495, line 13, before "which," insert to
--- 573, - 19, for "him," read ber
____ - 21, for "him," read b r
--- 594, - 1, for "succeeding," read succeeded
--- 601, - 21, for " Malta," read Mantua
```



MR. PITT'S

PARLIAMENTARY SPEECHES

February 3, 1800.

THE order of the day being read for taking his Majesty's message into consideration, Mr Dundas moved an address to the throne, approving of the answers that had been returned to the late communications from France, relative to a negociation for peace

After Mr Whitbread and Mr Erskine had delivered their sentiments against the address, and Mr Canning in support of it, Mr Pitt rose, and spoke as follows —

Sir, I am induced, at this period of the debate, to offer my sentiments to the house, both from an apprehension that, at a later hour, the attention of the house must necessarily be exhausted, and because the sentiment with which the honourable and learned gentleman began his speech, and with which he has thought proper to conclude it, places the question precisely on that ground on which I am most desirous of discussing it. The learned gentleman seems to assume, as the foundation of his reasoning, and as the great argument for immediate treaty, that every effort to overturn the system of the French revolution must be unavailing; and that it would be not only imprudent, but almost impious, to struggle longer against that order of things, which, on I know not what principle of predestination, he appears to consider as immortal. Little as I am inclined to accede to this opinion, I am not sorry that the honourable gentleman has con-

* Mr. Erslane.

templated the subject in this serious view. I do, indeed, consider the French revolution as the screenst trial which the visitation of Providence has ever yet inflicted upon the nations of the earth put I cannot help reflecting with satisfaction, that this country even under such a trial has not only been exempted from those calamities which have covered almost every other part of Europe but appears to have been reserved as a rifuge and arylum to those who fled from its persecution as a barrier to oppose its progress, and perhaps ultimately as an instrument to deliver the world from the crimes and miseries which have attended it. Under this impression I trust the house will forgive me if I

2

endiavour as far as I am all , to take a large an I compribensive view of this important question. In doing so I agree with my he nourable friend, that it would in any case be impossible to separate the present discussion from the former entires and atrocities of the French revolution because both the papers now on the table and the whole of the l arned gentleman a argument force upon our consideration the origin of the war and all the material facts which have occurred during us continuance. The learned gentleman has revised and retailed all those arguments from his own pampblet which had before passed through thirty seven or thirty eight editions in print; and now gives them to the house emballs hed by the races of he remonal delivery. The First Consul has also thought fit to revive and retail the chief arguments us d by all the opposition speakers, and all the opposition publishers, in this country during the last seven years. And (what is all more material) the quartion itself which is now in m dist le at a sue-the question, whether under the present cir constance there is such a pro-pact of acusity from any treaty with France as on he to induce us to regociate cannot be propaily decided upon, without retracing both from our own rape tience and from that of other nature, the nature the causes and th magnitude of the dan er again t which we have to guard in ender to juli a of the security which we ought to accept

I without hat he free my man concurring prison with the instead gradional before any man constitution that the sub-

stance of his Majesty's answer is any other than the safety of the country required, before any man can be of opinion, that to the overtures made by the enemy, at such a time, and under such circumstances, it would have been safe to have returned an answer concurring in the negociation—he must come within one of the three following descriptions. He must either believe, that the French revolution neither does now exhibit, nor has at any time exhibited, such circumstances of danger, ansing out of the very nature of the system and the internal state and condition of France, as to leave to foreign powers no adequate ground of security in negociation; or, secondly, he must be of opinion, that the change which has recently taken place, has given that security, which, in the former stages of the revolution, was wanting, or, thirdly, he must be one who, believing that the danger existed, not undervaluing its extent, nor mistaking its nature, nevertheless thinks, from his view of the present pressure on the country, from his view of its situation and its prospects, compared with the situation and prospects of its enemies, that we are, with our eyes open, bound to accept of inadequate security for every thing that is valuable and sacred, rather than endure the pressure, or incur the 11sk, which would result from a farther prolongation of the contest.

In discussing the last of these questions, we shall be led to consider, what inference is to be drawn from the circumstances and the result of our own negociations in former periods of the war,—whether, in the comparative state of this country and Fiance, we now see the same reason for repeating our then unsuccessful experiments,—or whether we have not thence derived the lessons of experience, added to the deductions of reason, marking the inefficacy and danger of the very measures which are quoted to us as precedents for our adoption.

Unwilling, Sii, as I am, to go into much detail on ground which has been so often trodden before, yet, when I find the learned gentleman, after all the information which he must have received, it he has read any of the answers to his work, (however ignorant he might be when he wrote it) still giving the sanction of his authority

to the supposition, that the order to M Chauvelin to depart from this kingdom was the cause of the war between this country and France I do feel it necessary to say a few words on that part of the subject.

Inaccuracy in dates seems to be a sort of fatality common to all who have written on that alde of the question for even the writer of the note to his Majesty is not more correct, in this respect than if he had taken his information only from the namphlet of the learned gentleman. The house will recollect the first professions of the French republic which are enumerated and enumerated truly, in that note-they are tests of every thing which would best recommend a government to the esteem and confidence of foreign powers, and the reverse of every thing which has been the system and practice of France now for near ten years. It is there stated that their first principles were love of peace aversion to conquest, and respect for the independence of other countries. In the same note it seems, indeed, admitted, that they since have violated all those principles but it is alleged that they have done so, only in consequence of the provocation of other powers. One of the first of those provocations is stated to have consisted in the various outrages offered to their munsters, of which the example is said to have been set by the king of Great Britain in his conduct to M Chanvelin In answer to this supposition, it is only necessary to remark, that before the example was given, before Austria and Prussia are supposed to have been thus encouraged to combine in a plan for the partition of France; that plan, if it ever existed at all, had existed and been acted upon for above eight months France and Prussia had been at war cight months before the dismeral of M. Chaurelin So much for the accuracy of the statement.

[Mr Erskine here observed that this was not the statement of his argument.]

I have been hitherto commenting on the arguments contained in the notes. I come now to those of the learned graileman. I understand him to say that the dismissi of M. Chauvelin was the real cause, I do not say of the general was, but of the rupture be tween France and England; and the learned gentleman states, particularly, that this dismissal rendered all discussion of the points in dispute impossible Now I desire to meet distinctly every part of this assertion I maintain, on the contrary, that an opportunity was given for discussing every matter in dispute between France and Great Britain, as fully as if a regular and accredited French minister had been resident here;—that the causes of war which existed at the beginning, or arose during the course of this discussion, were such as would have justified, twenty times over, a declaration of war on the part of this country,—that all the explanations on the part of France, were evidently unsatisfactory and inadmissable, and that M Chauvelin had given in a peremptory ultimatum, declaring, that if these explanations were not received as sufficient, and if we did not immediately disarin, our refusal would be considered as a declaration of war.

After this followed that seene which no man can even now speak of without horror, or think of without indignation, that murder and regicide from which I was sorry to hear the learned gentleman date the beginning of the legal government of Trance

Having thus given in their ultimatum, they added, as a further demand (while we were smarting under accumulated injuries, for which all satisfaction was denied) that we should instantly receive M. Chauvelin as their ambassador, with new credentials, representing them in the character which they had just derived from the murder of their sovereign. We replied, "he came here as the representative of a sovereign whom you have put to a cruel and illegal death, we have no satisfaction for the injuries we have received, no security from the danger with which we are threatened. Under these circumstances we will not receive your new credentials, the former credentials you have yourselves recalled by the sacrifice of your king?"

What, from that moment, was the situation of M Chauvelin? He was reduced to the situation of a private individual, and was required to quit the kingdom, under the provisions of the Alien Act, which, for the purpose of securing domestic tranquility, had

6

tecently invested his Majesty with the power of removing out of this kingdom all forei ners suspected of revolutionary principles Is it contended that he was then, less liable to the provisions of that act than any other individual foreigner whose conduct of forded to government just ground of objection or suspicion ! Did his conduct and connexions here afford no such ground? or will it be pretended that the bare act of refunng to receive fresh ere dentials from an infant republic, not then acknowledged by any one power of Europe, and in the very act of heaping upon us injuries and insults was of itself a cause of war ? So far from it, that even the very nations of Europe whose wisdom and moderation have been repeatedly extolled for maintaining neutrality and preserving frieadship with the French republic remained for years subsequent to this period without recurring from it any accredited minister or doing any one act to acknowledge its political exist ence In answer to a representation from tic belligerent powers, in December 1793 Count Bernstorff the minister of D nmark officially declared, that, It was well known, that the national convention had appointed M. Grouville minister plepipotentary at Denmark but that it was also well known, that he had neither been received nor acknowledged in that quality " And as late as February 1796 when the same minister was at length for the first time received in his official expansity. Count Bernstorff in a public note assigned this reason for that change of conduct-So long as no other than a revolutionary government existed in

So long as no other than a revolutionary government exited in France his Majesty could not acknowledge it o minister of that government is but now that the Franch constitution is completely organized and a regular government established in France his Majesty's obligation ceases in that respect and M. Grouville will therefore be acknowledged in the usual form? How far the court of Denmark was justified in the opinion that a revolutionary government then no longer existed in France it is not now necessary to inquire but whatever may have been the fact in that respect, the principle on which they acted is clear and intelligible and is a decrire instance in favour of the proposition will have manusaired.

Is it then necessary to examine what were the terms of thet ultimatum, with which we relused to comply? Acts of hesulty had been openly threatened against our allies, an hostility founded upon the essum tion of a right which would at once supercide the whole law of nations -a demand was made by France upon Holland, to open the unsignition of the Scheldt, on the ground of a general and national right, in violation of positive treaty; this clum we discussed, at the time, not so much on account of its immediate importance, (though it was important both in a mairtime and commercial view) as on account of the general principle on which it was founded. On the same arbitrary notion they soon afterwards discovered that sacred law of nature, which made the Rhine and the Alps the legitimate boundaries of France, and assumed the power which they have affected to exercise through the whole of the revolution, of superseding, by a new code of their own, all the recognised principles of the law of nations were actually advancing towards the republic of Holt and, by rapid strides, after the victory of Jemappe, and they had ordered their generals to pursue the Austrian troops into any neutral country: thereby explicitly avoying an intention of invading Holland. They had already shown their mederation and self-demal, by incorporating Belgium with the French republic. These lovers of peace, who set out with a sworn aversion to conquest, and professions of respect-for the independence of other nations, who pretend that they departed from this system, only in consequence of your aggression, themselves in time of peace while you were still confessedly neutral, without the pietence or shadow of provocation, wrested Savoy from the king of Sardinia, and had proceeded to incorporate it likewise with France. These were their acgressions at this period,' and more than these. They had issued an universal declaration of war against all the thrones of Europe, and they had, by their conduct, applied it particularly and specifically to you. they had passed the decree of the 19th of-November, 1792, proclaiming the promise of French succour to all nations who should manifest a wish to become free they had, by all then language, as well as their example, shewn what they understood to be freedom they had scaled their principles by the deposition of their sowering they had applied them to Engand, by inviting and encouraging the addresses of those sections and traitorous societies, who, from the beginning, favoured their views, and who encouraged by your forbarance were even then publicly arowing French doctrines, and anticipating their success in this country who were halling the progress of those proceedings in France which led to the murder of its king they were even then looking to the day when they should behold a national convention in England formed upon similar principles

And what were the explanations they offered on these different grounds of offence? As to Holland they contented themselves with telling us, that the Scheldt was too insignificant for us to trouble ourselves about and therefore it was to be decided as they chose, in breach of a positive treaty which they had themselves guaranteed, and which we by our alliance were bound to support. If however after the war was over Belgium should have conselidated its liberty (a term of which we now know the meaning, from the fate of every nation into which the arms of France have penetrated) then Belgium and Holland might, if they plens ed, settle the quistion of the Scheldt, by separate negociation between themselves. With respect to aggrandmentent they assured us that they would retain possession of Belgium by arms no longer than they should find it necessary for the purpose already stated of consolidating its liberty. And with respect to the decree of the 19th of November applied as it was printedly to you by collect bas morthes add the drive beats oved I engocarate add the rous part of this country and particularly by the speeches of every leading man among them they contented themselves with orsert ing, that the declaration conveyed so such meaning as was imputed to it, and that so far from encouraging sedition it could apply only to countries where a great majority of the people should have already declared itself in favour of a revolution a supposition which as they asserted, necessarily implied a total absence of all redition.

What would have been the effect of admitting this explanation?

—to suffer a nation, and an armed nation, to preach to the inhabitants of all the countries in the world, that themselves were slaves, and then rulers tyrants to encourage and invite them to revolution, by a previous promise of French support, to whatever might call itself a majority, or to whatever France might declare to be so. This was their explanation and this they told you, was their ultimatum.

But was this all? Even at that very moment, when they were endeavouring to induce you to admit these explanations, to be contented with the avowal, that France offered herself as a general guarantee for every successful revolution, and would interfere only to sanction and confirm whatever the free and uninfluenced choice of the people might have decided, what were their orders to their generals on the same subject? In the midst of these amicable explanations with you, came forth a decree which I really believe must be effaced from the minds of gentlemen opposite to me, if they can prevail upon themselves for a moment to hint even a doubt upon the origin of this quarrel, not only as to this country, but as to all the nations of Europe with whom France has been subsequently engaged in hostility. I speak of the decree of the 15th of December This decree, more even than all the previous transactions, amounted to an universal declaration of war against all thrones, and against all civilized governments. wherever the armies of France shall come (whether within countries then at war or at peace is not distinguished) in all those countries it shall be the first care of their generals to introduce the principles and the practice of the French revolution, to demolish all privileged orders, and every thing which obstructs the establishment of their new system.

If any doubt is entertained, whither the armies of France were intended to come, if it is contended that they referred only to those nations with whom they were then at war, or with whom, in the course of this contest, they might be driven into war, let it be remembered, that, at this very moment, they had actually given orders to their generals to pursue the Austrian army from the Netherlands into Holland, with whom they were at that time

in peace. Or even if the construction contend d tor is admitted let us see what would have been its application let us look at the list of their aggressions, which was read by my right honour able friend near me. With whem have they been at war since the period of this declaration? With all the nations of Purone save two t and if not with those two it is only because with every provocation that could justify defensive war those countries have hitherto acquiesced in repeated violations of their rights, rather than recur to war for their vindication. Wherever their arms have been carried it will be a matter of short subsequent inquiry to trace whether they have faithfully applied these principles. If in terms, this decree is a denunctation of war against all governments; if in practice it has been applied against every one with which France has come into contact. What is it but the deliberate code of the French resolution, from the birth of the re public which has never once been departed from which has been enforced with unremuted regour against all the nations that have come into their power?

If there could otherwise be any doubt whether the application of this decree was intended to be universal whether it applied to all nations, and to England particularly; there is one circumi stance which alone would be declare—that nearly at the same period it was proposed in the national convention, I to declare expressly that the decree of the nineteenth of November was confined to the nations with whom they were then at war; and that proposal was rejected by a great majority of that very convention from whom we were desired to receive these explanations as satis factory

Such Sir was the nature of the system. Lef us examine a little farther whether it was from the beginning intended to be netted upon, in the extent which I have stated. At the very moment when their threats appeared to many little elso than the ravings of madmen they were digesting and methodizing the means.

^{*} Mr Dunds. † 8 weden and Denmark.

On a motion of M Braillon.

of execution, as accurately as if they had actually foreseen the extent to which they have since been able to realize their crimiral projects, they sat down coolly to devise the most regular and effectual mode of muling the application of this system the curtent lusiness of the day, and incorporating it with the general orders of their army, for (vill the house believe it) this confirmation of the decree of the nineteenth of November, was accomp inied by an exposition and commentary addressed to the general of every army of France, containing a schedule as coolly conceased, and as methodically reduced, as any by which the most quiet business of a justice of peace, or the most regular routine of any department of state in this country could be conducted. Each commander was furnished with one gineral blank formula of a Letter for all the nations of the world! The people of France to greeting "We are come to expelyour the people of tyrants". Even this was not all, one of the articles of the decree of the fifteenth of December was expressly, "that those who should shew themselves so brutish and so enamoured of their chains as to refuse the restoration of their rights, to renounce liberty and equality, or to preserve, recall, or treat with their Prince or privileged orders, were not entitled to the distinction which France, in other cases, had justly established between government and people, and that such a people ought to be treated according to the rigour of wai, and of conquest "* Here is their love of peace, here is their aversion to conquest, here is their respect for the independance of other nations!

It was then, after receiving such explanations as these, after receiving the ultimatum of France, and after M Chauvelin's credertials had ceased, that he was required to depart. Even after that period, I am almost ashamed to record it, we did not on our part shut the door against other attempts to negociate, but this transaction was immediately followed by the declaration of war, proceeding not from England in vindication of its rights, but from France as the completion of the injuries and insults they had of-

[&]quot; Vide Decree of 15th December, 1792.

fered. And on a war thus originating can it be doubted by an English house of commons, whether the aggression was on the part of this country or of France? or whether the manufest aggression on the part of France was he result of any thing but the prince ples which characterize the Franch revolution?

What then are the resources and subterfuges by which those who agree with the learned gentleman are prevented from sinking under the force of this simple statement of facts? None but what are found in the insumution contained in the note from France, that this country bud previous to the transactions to which I have reterred encouraged and supported the combination of other powers directed against them

Upon this part of the subject the proofs which contradict such an instruction are innumerable. In the first place the evidence of dates; in the second place the admission of all the different parties in France of the friends of Brosot charging on Robespierre the war with the country and of the friends of Robesplerre charging it on Brissot but both acquitting England; the testi monics of the French Government during the whole interval since the declaration of Pilmix and the date assigned to the pretended trenty of Pavia the first of which had not the elightest relation to any project of partition or dismemberment the second of which I firmly believe to be an absolute fabrication and forgery; and in neither of which even as they are represented any reason has been assigned for believing that this country had any share Even M Talley and himself was sent by the constitutional king of the French after the p-nod when that concert, which is now charged must have existed if it existed at all with a letter from the King of France expressly thanking his Majorty for the neutrality which he had uniformly observed. The same fact is confirmed by the boncurring evidence of every person who knew any thing of the plans of the King of Sweden in 1791 the only sovereign who I believe at that time meditated any hostile mea ures against France, and whose utmost hopes were expressly stated to be that England would not oppose his intended expedition by all those also, who knew any thing of the conduct of the Emperor or the

King of Prussia, by the clear and decisive testimony of M Chauvelin himself, in his dispatches from hence to the French government, since published by their authority, by every thing which has occurred since the war, by the publications of Dumourier; by the publications of Brissot, by the facts that have since come to light in America, with respect to the mission of M Ganet; which shew that hostility against this country was decided on the part of France long before the period when M Chauvelin was sent from hence. Besides this, the reduction of our peace establishment in the year 1791, and continued to the subsequent year. as a fact from which the inference is indisputable a fact which, I am afrud, shows, not only that we were not waiting for the occasion of war, but that, in our partiality for a pacific system, we had indulged ourselves in a fond and credulous security, which visdom and discretion would not have dictated. In addition to every other proof, it is singular enough, that in a decree, on the eve of the declaration of war on the part of France, it is expressly stated, as for the first time, that England was then departing from that system of neutrality which she had hither to obscired.

But, Sir, I will not rest increly on these testimonics or arguments, however strong and decisive I assert distinctly and positively, and I have the documents in my hand to prove it, that from the middle of the year 1791, upon the first rumour of any measure taken by the Emperor of Germany, and till late in the year 1792, we not only were no parties to any of the projects imputed to the Emperor, but, from the political circumstances in which we then stood with relation to that court, we wholly declined all communications with him on the subject of France. To Prussia, with whom we were in connection, and still more decisively to Holland, with whom we were in close and intimate correspondence, we uniformly stated our unalterable resolution to maintain neutrality, and avoid interference in the internal affairs of France, as long as France should refrain from hostile measures against us and our allies. No minister of England had any authority to treat with foreign states, even provisionally, for any wailike consert, till after the battle of Jemappe, till a period subsequent to

the repeated provocations which had been offered to us and subtequent particularly to the decree of fraternity of the 19th of Norwmber even then to what object was it that the concert which
we wished to establish was to be directed? If we had then rightly
cast the true character of the French revolution I cannot now
denythat we should have been better justified in a very different
conduct. But it is insternal to the present argument to declare
what that con luct actually was because it is of itself sufficient to
confact all the pretexts by which the advocates of France have so
long laboured to peoples the question of aggression

At that period. Russia had at length conceived as well as our-selves a natural and just alarm for the behance of Europe, and applied to u to learn our sentiments on the subject. In our answer to this application, we imported to Russia the principles upon which we then acted and we communicated this answer to I russia, with whom we were connected in defensive alliance. I will state aboutly the leading part of those principles. A dispatch was sent from Lord Grenville to his Majort's a unister in Russia dated the 20th of December 17.19 stating a desire to have an explanation set on for to in the subject of the war with France. I will read the material parts of it.

The two leading points on which such explanation will natuially turn—are the line of conduct to be followed previous to the commencement of ho tilities, and with a view if possible to avert them; and the nature and amount of the forces which the powers engaged in this concert might be enabled to use supposing such extr mittes unavoidable.

With respect to the first it appears on the whole subjet however to future consideration and discussion with the oil. To powers that the most advisable step to be taken would be that sufficient explanation should be had with the powers at war with France in order to enable those not hitherto engaged in the war, to propose t that country terms of peace. That there it mis should be the withdrawing their arms within the limits of the French termory the abundouing their conquests the recinding any acts injurious to the societizably or rights of any other nations,

and the giving in some public and unequivocal manner a pledge of their intention no longer to foment troubles, or to excite disturbances against other governments. In return for these stipulations, the different powers of Lurope, who should be parties to this measure, might engage to abandon all measures, or views of hostility against France, or interference in their internal affairs, and to maintain a correspondence and intercourse of amity with the existing powers in that country, with whom such a treaty may be concluded. If, on the result of this proposal so made by the powers acting in concert, these terms should not be accepted by France, or being accepted, should not be satisfactorily performed, the different powers might then engage themselves to each other to enter into active measures, for the purpose of obtaining the ends in view, and it may be to be considered, whether, in such case, they might not reasonably look to some indemnity for the expenses and hazards to which they would necessarily be exposed"

The dispatch then proceeded to the second point, that of the forces to be employed, on which it is unnecessary now to speak

Now, Sir, I would really ask any person who has been, from the beginning, the most desirous of avoiding hostilities, whether it is possible to conceive any measure to be adopted in the situation in which we then stood, which could more evidently demonstrate our desire, after repeated provocations, to preserve peace, on any terms consistent with our safety, or whether any sentiment could now be suggested which would have more plainly marked our moderation, forbearance, and sincerity? In saying this, I am not challenging the appliause and approbation of my country, because I must now confess that we were too slow in anticipating that, danger of which we had, perhaps, even then sufficient experience, though far short, indeed, of that which we now possess, and that we might even then have seen, what facts have since but too incontestably proved, that nothing but vigorous and open hostility can afford complete and adequate security against revolutionary principles, while they ictain a proportion of power sufficient to turnish the means of war

I will enlarge no farther on the origin of the war. I have read

the revolution." For the express purpose of producing the wat they exerted a popular tumult in Paris they insisted upon and obtained the dismissal of M Delestart. A new minister was appointed in his room, the tone of the negociation was immediately changed, and an ultimatum was sent to the emperor, similar to that which was afterwards sent to this country affording him no satisfaction on his just grounds of complaint, and requiring him, under those circumstances, to disarm. The first events of the contest proved how much more France was prepared for war than Austria, and afford a strong confirmation of the proposition which I maintain; that no offensive intention was entertained on the part of the latter power

War was then declared against Austria; a war which I state to be a war of aggression on the part of France. The king of Prussia had declared, that he should consider war against the emperor or empire, as war against himself. He had declared, that, as a co-estate of the empire, he was determined to defend their rights; that, as an ally of the emperor he would support him to the utmost against any attack and that for the sake of his own dominions, he fit himself called upon to resist the progress of French principles and to maintain the balance of power in Europe. With this notice before them France declared war upon the emperor and the war with Prussia was the necessary consequence of this aggression, both against the emperor and the empire

The war against the king of Sardinia follows next. The deela ration of that war was the seisure of Savoy, by an invading army; and on what ground? On that which has been stated already They had found out by some light of nature, that the Rhiñe and the Alpa were the natural limits of France Upon that ground Savoy was sensed; and Savoy was also incorporated with France

Here finishes the history of the wars in which France was on gaged, antecedent to the war with Great Britain, with Holland, and with Spain. With respect to Spain, we have seen nothing in any part of its conduct, which leads us to suspect, that either at tachment to religion, or the ties of consanguinity, or legard to the ancient system of Europe, was likely to induce that court to connect itself in offensive was against Fru co. The war was evidently and incontestibly begun by France against Spain.

The case of Holland is so fresh in every man's recollection, and so connected with the immediate causes of the war with this country, that it cannot require one word of observation. What shall I say then on the case of Portugal? I cannot indeed say, that I rance ever declared war against that country; I can hardly say even that she ever made war, but she required them to make a treaty of peace, as if they had been at war, she obliged them to purchase that treaty, she broke it as soon as it was purchased, and she had originally no other ground of complaint than this,—that Portugal had performed, though inadequately, the engagements of its ancient defensive alliance with this country, in the character of an auxiliary, a conduct which cannot of itself make any power a principal in a war.

I have now enumerated all the nations at war at that period, with the exception only of Naples. It can hardly be necessary to call to the recollection of the house, the characteristic feature of revolutionary principles which was shown, even at this early period, in the personal insult offered to the king of Naples, by the commander of a French squadron, riding uncontrouled in the Mediterianean, and (while our fleets were yet unarmed) threatening destruction to all the coast of Italy.

It was not till a considerably later period that almost all the other nations of Europe found themselves equally involved in actual hostility—but it is not a little material to the whole of my argument, compared with the statement of the learned gentleman, and with that contained in the French note, to examine at what period this hostility extended itself. It extended itself, in the course of 1796, to the states of Italy which had hitherto been exempted from it—In 1797 it had ended in the destruction of most of them, it had ended in the virtual deposition of the king of Sardinia, it had ended in the conversion of Genoa and Tuscany into democratic republics; it had ended in the revolution of

Venice in the violation of treates with the new Venetian re public; and finally, in transferring that very republic the creature and vassal of France, to the dominion of Anstria.

I observe from the gestures of some honourable centlemen, that they think we are precluded from the use of any argument found ed on this last transaction. I already hear them saving that it was as criminal in Austria to receive as it was in France to give I am far from defending or pallinting the conduct of Austria upon this occasion; but because Austria, unable at last to contend with the arms of France, was forced to accept an unjust and insufficient indemnification from the conquests France had made from it, are we to be debarred from stating what on the part of France, was not merely an unjust acquisition but an art of the grossest and most aggravated perfidy and cruelty, and one of the most striking specimens of that system which has been uniformly and inducriminately applied to all the countries which France has had within its grasp? This only can be said in vindication of France (and it is still more a vindication of Austria), that practically speaking, if there is any part of this transaction for which Venice itself has reason to be grateful it can only be for the permusion to exchange the embraces of French fraternity for what is called the demotism of Vienna.

Let these facts and these dates, be compared with what we have heard. The honourable gentleman has told us, and the author of the note from France has told us also that all the French conquerts were produced by the operations of the allies. It was when they were pressed on all sides, when their own territory was in danger, when their own independence was in question when the confederacy appeared too strong it was then they used the means with which their power and their courage furnished them; and, "attacked upon all sides, they carried every where their defensive arms." I do not wish to mirrepresent the learned gentleman, but I understood him to speek of the sentiment with approbation the sentlement test is this, that if a nation s un-

justly attacked in any one quarter by others, she cannot stop to consider by whom, but must find means of strength in other quarters, no matter where; and is justified in attacking, in her turn, those with whom she is at peace, and from whom she has received no species of provocation.

Sir, I hope I have ilready proved, in a great measure, that no such attack was made upon I rince, but, if it was made, I maintain, that the whole ground on which that argument is founded cannot be tolerated. In the name of the laws of nature end nations, in the name of every thing that is sacred and honourable, I demur to that plen, and I tell that honourable and learned gertleman that he would do well, to look again into the law of nations, before he ventures to come to this house, to give the sanction of his authority to so dreadful and execrable a system.

[Mr. Erskine here said neross the house, that he had never maintained such a proposition?]

I certainly understood this to be distinctly the tenor of the learned gentleman's argument, but as he tells me he did not use at, I take it for granted he did not intend to use it I rejoice that he did not: but, at least, then I have a right to expect, that the learned gentleman should now transfer to the French note some of the indignation which he has hitherto lavished upon the declarations of this country. This principle, which the learned gentleman disclaims, the French note avows and I contend, without the fear of contradiction, it is the principle upon which France has uniformly acted. But while the learned gentleman disclaims this proposition, he certainly will admit, that he has himself asserted, and maintained in the whole course of his argument, that the pressure of the war upon Trance, imposed upon her the necessity of those exertions which produced most of the enormities of the revolution, and most of the enormities practised against the other countries of Europe. The house will recollect, that, in the year 1796, when all these horrors in Italy were beginning, which are the strongest illustrations of the general character of the French revolution, we had begun that negociation to which the learned gentleman has referred. England then postessed numerous conquests; England though not having at that time had the advantage of three of her most splendid victories England, even then appeared undisputed mistress of the sea; England having then engrossed the whole wealth of the colonial world England having lost nothing of its original possessions; England then comes forward proposing general peace and offer ing-what? offering the surrender of all that it had acquired in order to obtain-what? n t the dismemberment, not the partition of ancient France, but the return of a part of those conquests, Bo one of which could be retained, but in direct contradiction to that original and solomn pledge which is now referred to as the proof of the just and moderate disposition of the French republic Yet even this offer was not sufficient to procure peace or to arrest the progress of France in her defenuee operations against other unoffending countries. From the pages, however of the learned gentleman's pamphlet (which after all its editions, is now fresher in his memory than in that of any other person in this house or in the country), he is furnished with an aroument on the result of the negociation on which he appears confidently to rely roaintains, that the single point on which the negociation was bruken off was the question of the possession of the Au trian Netherlands; and that it is therefore, on that ground only that the war has since that time been continued. When this subject was before under discussion. I stated, and I shall state again. (notwithstanding the learned gentleman a accusation of my having endeavoured to shift the question from its true point), that the question, then at issue, was not whether the Vetherlands should In fact be restored though even on that question I am n t, like the learned gentleman unprepared to give any opinion; I am ready to say that to leave that territory in the possession of France would be obviously dangerous to the interests of this country and is incommutent with the policy which it has uniformly purued at every period in which it has concerned itself in the general system of the continent; but it was not on the decision of this question of expediency and policy that the issue of the negociation then turned a what was required of us by France was, not merely that

a preliminary to all treaty, and before entering upon the discussion of terms, we should recognise the principle, that whatever France, in time of war, had annexed to the republic, must remain inseparable for ever, and could not become the subject of negociation. I say, that, in refusing such a preliminary, we were only resisting the claim of France, to airogate to itself the power of controlling, by its own separate and municipal acts, the rights and interests of other countries, and moulding, at its discretion, a new and general code of the law of nations

In reviewing the issue of this negociation, it is important to observe, that France, who began by abjuring a love of conquest, was desired to give up nothing of her own, not even to give up all that she had conquered, that it was offered to her to receive back all that had been conquered from her, and when she rejected the negociation for peace upon these grounds, are we then to be told of the unrelenting hostility of the combined powers, for which France was to revenge itself upon other countries, and which is to justify the subversion of every established government, and the destruction of property, religion, and domestic comfort, from one end of Italy to the other? Such was the effect of the war against Modena, against Genea, against Tuscany, against Venice, against Rome, and against Naples, all of which she engaged in, or prosecuted, subsequent to this very period.

After this, in the year 1797, Austria had made peace, Fingland and its ally, Poitugal, (from whom we could expect little active assistance, but whom we felt it our duty to defend), alone remained in the war. In that situation, under the pressure of necessity, which I shall not disguise, we made another attempt to negociate. In 1797, Prussia, Spain, Austria, and Naples having successively made peace, the princes of Italy having been destroyed, France having surrounded itself, in almost every part in which it is not surrounded by the sea, with revolutionary republics, England made another offer of a different nature. It was not now a demand that France should restore any thing. Austria having made a peace upon her own terms, England had

nothing to require with regard to her allies; she asked no restitution of the dominions added to France in Europe. So far from retaining any thing French out of Europe we firely offered them all demanding only as a poor compensation, to retain a part of what we had acquired by arms, from Holland, then identified with France and that part, useless to Holland and necessary for the security of our ladian possessions. That proposal also, Sir was proudly refused in a way which the learned gentleman himself has not attempted to justify indeed of which be has spoken with detersation * I with since he has not finally abjured his duty in this house that that detestation had been stated earlier that he had mixed his own conce with the general voice of his country on the result of that negociation

Let us look at the conduct of France immediately subsequent to this period. She had spurned at the offers of Great Britain, she had reduced her continental enemies to the necessity of accepting a precarious peace she had (in spite of those pledges repentedly made and uniformly violated) surrounded herself by new conquests on every part of her frontier but one that one was bettrerland The first effect of being relieved from the war with Austria of being secured against all fears of continental invasion on the antient territory of France was their unprovoked attack against this unoffending and devoted country. This was one of the scenes which satisfied even those who were the most incredulous, that Franco had thrown off the mask of indeed she had ever worn if * It collected in one view many of the characteristic features of that revolutionary system which I have endeavoured to trace The perfide which alone rendered their arms successful the pretext of which they availed themselves to produce division and prepare the entrance of Jacobianam in that country the proposal of armatice one of the known and regular engines of the revolution which was as usual the immediate prelude to military execution, attended with cruelty and barbarity of which there are few examples all these are known to the world. The

[.] Vide Speeches at the Whig Club.

country they attacked was one which had long been the faithful ally of France, which, instead of giving cause of galousy to any other power, had been, for ages, proverbial for the simplicity and innocence of its minners, and which had acquired and preserved the esteem of all the nations of Europe, which had almost, by the common consent of mankind, been exempted from the sound of war, and marked out as a land of Goshen, safe and untouched in the midst of surrounding calamities.

Look then at the late of Switzerland, at the circumstances which led to its destruction, add this instance to the catalogue of aggression against all Lurope, and then tell me, whether the system I have described has not been prosecuted with an unrelenting spirit, which cannot be subdued in adversity, which cannot be appeared in prosperity, which neither solemn professions, nor the general law of nations, nor the obligation of freatics (whether previous to the revolution or subsequent to it), could restrain from the subversion of every state into which, either by force or fraud, their arms could penetrate. Then tell me, whether the disasters of Lurope are to be charged upon the provocation of this country and its allies, or on the inherent principle of the French revolution, of which the natural result produced so much misery and carnage in France, and carried desolation and terror over so large a portion of the world

Sir, much as I have now stated, I have not finished the catalogue. America almost as much as Switzerland, perhaps, contributed to that change, which has taken place in the minds of those who were originally partial to the principles of the French government. The hostility against America followed a long course of neutrality adhered to, under the strongest provocations, or rather of repeated compliances to France, with which we might well have been dissatisfied. It was on the face of it, unjust and wanton, and it was accompanied by those instances of sordid corruption which shocked and disgusted even the enthusiastic admirers of revolutionary purity, and threw a new light on the genius of revolutionary government.

After this, it remains only shortly to remind gentlemen of a

nggression against Egypt not omitting however to notice the cap ture of Malta in the way to Egypt Inconsiderable as that island may be thought, compared with the scenes we have witnessed, let it be remembered that it is an island of which the govern ment had long been recognised by every state of Europe against which France pretended no cause of war and whose independence was as dear to itself and as sacred as that of any country in Europe. It was in fact not unimportant from its local attuation to the other powers of Europe but in proportion as any man may diminish its importance the instance will only serve the more to allustrate and confirm the proposition which I have maintained -The all searching eye of the French revolution looks to every part of Europe and every quarter of the world in which can be found an object either of acquisition or plunder. Nothing is too great for the temerity of its ambition nothing too small or insignificant for the grasp of its rapacity. From hence Buomaparté and his army proceded to Egypt. The attack was made pretences were held out to the natives of that country in the name of the French king whom they had murdered; they pretended to have the approbation of the grand seignlor whose territories they were riols. ting their project was carried on under the profession of a seal for Mahometanism; it was carried on by proclaiming that France had been reconciled to the Mussulman faith had abjured that of Christianity or as he in his improus language termed it, of the sect of the Mesmak."

The only plea which they have since held out to colour this attretious invasion of a neutral and friendly territory is, that it was the road to attack the English power in Indra. It is most unquestionably true that this was one and a principal cause of this unparalleled outrage but another and an equally substantial cause (as appears by their own statements), was the division and partition of the territories of what they thought a falling power. It is impossible to dismiss this subject without observing that this statek against Egypt was accompanied by an attack upon the British possessions in India, made on true revolutionary principles. In Europe the propagation of the principles of France had uniformly prepared the way for the progress of its arms. To

India, the lovers of peace had sent the messengers of jacobinism, for the purpose of inculcating war in those distant regions, on jacobin principles, and of forming jacobin clubs, which they actually succeeded in establishing, and which in most respects resembled the European model, but which were distinguished by this peculiarity, that they were required to swear in one breath, hatred to tyranny, the love of liberty, and the destruction of all hings and sovereigns—except the good and faithful ally of the French republic, Citizen Tippoo

What then was the nature of this system? Was it any thing but what I have stated it to be? an insatiable love of agrandizement, an implacable spirit of destruction directed against all the civil and religious institutions of every country This is the first moving and acting spirit of the French revolution, this is the spirit which animated it at its birth, and this is the spirit which will not descrit it till the moment of its dissolution, " which grew with its growth, which strengthened with its strength," but which has not abated under its misfortunes, nor declined in its decay; it has been invariably the same in every period, operating more or less, accordding as accident or circumstances might assist it, but it has been inherent in the revolution in all its stages, it has equally belonged . to Brissot, to Robespierre, to Tallien, to Reubel, to Barras, and to every one of the leaders of the directory, but to none more than to Buonaparté, in whom now all their powers are united its characters? Can it be accident that produced them? is only from the alliance of the most horrid principles with the most horrid means, that such miseries could have been brought It is this paradox, which we must always keep in upon Europe mind when we are discussing any question relative to the effects of the French revolution Groaning under every degree of misery, the victim of its own crimes, and as I once before expressed it in this house, asking pardon of God and of man for the miseries which it has brought upon itself and others, France still retains (while it has neither left means of comfort, nor almost of subsistence to its own inhabitants), new and unexampled means of annoyance and destruction against all the other powers of Europe.

nggression against Egypt not omitting however to notice the capture of Malta in the way to Egypt Inconsiderable as that island may be thought compared with the scenes we have witnessed, let it be remembered that it is an island of which the govern ment had long been recognised by every state of Europe against which France pretended no cause of war and whose independence was as dear to itself and as sacred as that of any country in Europe. It was in fact not unimportant from its local situation to the other powers of Europe but in proportion as any man may diminish its importance the instance will only serve the more to allustrate and confirm the proposition which I have maintained -The all-searching eye of the French revolution looks to every part of Europe and every quarter of the world in which can be found an object either of acquisition or plunder. Nothing is too great for the tementy of its ambition nothin, too small or insignificant for the grasp of its rapacity. From hence Buomaparté and his army proceded to Egypt. The attack was made pretences were held out to the natives of that country in the name of the French king whom they had murdered; they pretended to have the approbation of the grand sermior whose territories they were viola ting their project was carried on under the profession of a zeal for Mahometanism; it was carried on by proclaiming that France had been reconciled to the Messulman faith had ablured that of Christianity or as he in his impious language termed it of " the sect of the Mesnah."

The only plea which they have since held out to colour this attretions invision of a neutral and friendly territory is, that it was the road to attack the English power in India. It is most unquestionably true, that this was one and a principal cause of this unparalleled outrage but another and an equally substantial cause (as appears by their own statements), was the division and partition of the territories of what they thought a falling power. Its impossible to dumins this subject without observing that this attack against Egypt was accompanied by an attack upon the British postessions in India made on true revolutionary principles. In Europe the propagation of the principles of France had uniformly prepared the way for the progress of its arms. To

The theorem and the terms more of the duments yer a clusch to a mide cheratromas, on jain many to address or reproper the broth the yearnally to extitude the animal respect to embled the large arms the same were estimated by the pecuto the fit the even required or sen men big the tabled to the thirteen of the destriction of all long and and an extractive to be the of the Line in , in the line of

Notes to a vertical configuration of Walters time but a Thir the date of this ble locof reindicement, make a part of dearer is directed a mit all the civil dit is in those earlieants. This is the first morin and care sint our trechic obition, this is the spine to an atelia at it is to a dather is the purt which will not countries the thousand concerns decolution, a high green whits growth which rengthered with resister on? but shich his not is the district of the form some colored materials of the facing invitable the inercypy operating more or less accorddue s weed not or encunstances in his a set it, but it has been a thereat in the revolution in all ieset ees, it has equally belonged to Bussi, to P. Lepene to Tallien, to Reubel, to Barris, and to environ of the half root the criecism, but to none more than to Baon ip to, in whom now all their powers are united. That are ne charactere? Can it be needent that produced them? No, if is only from the alliance of the most hourd principles with the not hered mean that such miscres could have been brought apple land. It is provided, which we must always keep in mance benive to discresing my question relative to the effects of the there's resolution. Growing under every degree of misery, the victim of its or n crimes, and as I once before expressed it in this hous, asling priden of God and of man for the miseries which is his bioughs upon itself and others, France still retains (" hile it has neither left means of comfort, nor almost of subsistaance to its orn inhabitants), new and uneximpled means of ais noyance and destruction against all the offer powers of Europe.



Much more might be said on this part of the subject, but if what I have said already is a faithful, though only an imperfect sketch of those excesses and outrages, which even history itself will hereafter be unable fully to record, and a just representation of the principle and source from which they originated, will any man say that we ought to accept a precarious security against so tremendous a danger? Much more will be pretend, after the experience of all that has passed, in the different stages of the French revolution, that we ought to be deterred from probing this great question to the bottom, and from examining, without ceremony or disguise, whether the change which has recently taken place in France, is sufficient now to give security, not against a common danger, but against such a danger as that which I have described?

In examining this part of the subject, let it be remembered, that there is one other characteristic of the Trench revolution, as striking as its dieadful and destructive principles, I mean the instability of its government, which has been of itself sufficient to destroy all reliance, if any such reliance could, at any time, have been placed on the good faith of any of its ruleis. Such has been the incredible rapidity with which the revolutions in France have succeeded each other, that I believe the names of those who have successively exercised absolute power, under the pretence of liberty, are to be numbered by the years of the revolution, and each of the new constitutions, which, under the same pretence, has, in its turn, been imposed by force on France, every one of which alike was founded upon principles which professed to be universal, and was intended to be established and perpetuated among all the nations of the earth-each of these will be found, upon an average, to have had about two years, as the period of its duration.

Under this revolutionary system, accompanied with this perpetual fluctuation and change, both in the form of the government and in the persons of the rulers, what is the security which has hitherto existed, and what new security is now offered? Before an answer is given to this question, let me sum up the historical existence.

of all the revolutionary governments of France and of their characters in relation to other powers, in words more emphatical than my which I could use—the memorable words prenounced on the eve of this last constitution by the orator who was selected to report to an assembly surrounded by a file of grenadiers the new form of liberty which it was destined to enjoy under the auspices of general Buomaparts. From this reporter the mouth and organ of the new government, we learn this important lesson enry to conceive why peace was not concluded before the esta blishment of the constitutional government The only govern ment which then existed described itself as revolutionary it was in fact only the tyrinny of a few men who were soon overthrown by others, and it consequently presented no stability of principles or of views, no security either with respect to men or with respect to things.

"It should seem that that stability and that security ought to have existed from the establishment, and as the effect of the committutional system and yet they did not exist more perhaps even less, than they had done before. In truth we did make some partial treaties, we signed a continental peace, and a general congress was held to confirm it; but these treaties, these diplomatic conferences, appear to have been the source of a new war more inveterate and more bloody than before

"Before the 18th Fructidor (4th September) of the 5th year, the French government exhibited to foreign nations so uncertain att existence that they refused to treat with it. After this great errort the whole power was absorbed in the Directory the legis lative body can hardly be said to have existed; treaties of peace were broken and war carned every where, without that body having any share in those measures. The same Directory, after having intimidated all Europe and destroyed at its pleasure serial governments, until the howing how to make peace or war or how even to establish thelf was overturned by a breath, on the

Vide Speech of Boulay do is Meurite, in the Council of Tive Hundred, as &t Cloud, 19th Brumske (9th November) 1799

13th Prainel (18th June), to make room for other men, influenced, perhaps, by different views, or who might be governed by different principles

"Judging, then, only from notorious facts—the French government must be considered as exhibiting nothing fixed, neither in respect to men or to things."

Here, then, is the picture, down to the period of the last revolution, of the state of I rance, under all its successive governments!

Having taken a view of what it was, let us now examine what it In the first place, we see, as has been truly stated, a change in the description and form of the sovereign authority, a supreme power is placed at the head of this nominal republic, with a more epen around of military despotism than at any former period, with a more open and undisguised abandonment of the names and pretences under which that despotism long attempted to conceal itself. The different institutions, republican in their form and appearance, which were pefore the instruments of that despotism. are now annihilated, they have given vay to the absolute power of one man, concentrating in himself all the authority of the state, and differing from other monarchs only in this, that, as my honourable friend* truly stated it, he wields a sword instead of a sceptre. What then is the confidence we are to derive either from the frame of the government, or from the character and past conduct of the person who is now the absolute ruler of Prance?

Had we seen a man, of whom we had no previous knowledge, suddenly invested with the sovereign authority of the country; invested with the power of taxation, with the power of the sword, the power of war and peace, the unlimited power of commanding the resources, of disposing of the lives and fortunes of every man in France, if we had seen, at the same moment, all the inferior machinery of the revolution, which, under the variety of successive shocks, had kept the system in motion, still remaining entire,

all that by requisition and plunder had given activity to the rovolutionary system of finance and had furnished the means of creating an army, by converting every man who was of age to bear arms, into a soldier not for the defence of his own country, but for the rake of carrying unprovoked war into surrounding countries; if we had seen all the subordinate instruments of incohin nower subsisting in their full force and retaining (to use the French phrase) all their original organization and had then observed this single change in the conduct of their affairs that there was now one man with no rival to thwart his measures, no colleague to divide his powers, no council to control his operations no liberty of speaking or writing no expression of public opinion to check or influence his conduct under such circumstances should we be wrong to pause or wait for the evidence of facts and experience, before we consented to trust our safety to the for bearance of a single man, in such a situation, and to relinquish those means of defence which have hitherto carried us safe through all the storms of the revolution? if we were to ask what are the principles and character of this stranger to whom Fortune has anddenly committed the concerns of a great and powerful ha tion)

But is this the actual state of the present question? Are we talking of a stranger of whom we have heard nothing? No Sir we have heard of him we and Europe and the world, have heard both of him and of the setelltes by whom he is surround ed and it is impossible to discuss fairly the propriety of any an swer which could be returned to his overtures of negociation, without taking into consideration the inferences to be drawn from his personal character and conduct. I know it is the fashion with some genilemen to represent any reference to topics of this insiture at invidous and irritating but the truth is that they rise unavoidably out of the very nature of the question. Would it have been possible for ministers to discharge their duty in of fering their advice to their Soverign either for accepting or declining negociation, without taking into their account the reliance to be placed on the disposition and the principles of the person?

on whose disposition and principles the security to be obtained by freaty rust, in the present circumstances, principally depend? or would they act honestly or caudially towards parliament and to-vaids the country it, having been guided by these considerations, they sorbore to state publicly and distinctly the real arounds which have influenced their decision, and it, from a talse delicates and groundless timidity they purposely declined an examination of a point, the most assential towards enabling parliament to form a just determination on so important a subject?

What opinion, then on we led to form of the protensions of the Consulto those particular qualities which, in the official note, affording us, from his personal character, the me repre atod smost pledge of peace? We are told this is his second attempt at general pacification. Let us see, for a moment, how this second attempt has been conducted. There is, indeed, as the learned gentleman has said, a word in the first declaration which refers to general peace, and which states this to be the second time in which the Consul has endeavoured to accomplish that object. We thought fit, for the reasons which have been resigned, to decline altogether the proposal of treating, under the present circumstances, but we, it the same time, expressly stated, that, whenever the moment for treaty should airive, we would in no case treat, but in conjunction with our allies. Our general cfusal to negociate at the present moment, did not prevent the Consul from renewing his overtures, but were they renewed for the purpose of general pacification? Though he had hinted at general peace in the terms of his first note, though we had shewn, by our answer, that we deemed negociation, even for general peace, at this moment, madmissible, though we added, that, even at any future period, we would treat only in conjunction with our allies, what was the proposal contained in his last note?-To treat, not for general peace, but for a separate peace between Great Britain and France

Such was the second attempt to effect general pacification a proposal for a separate treaty with Great Britain. What had been the first?—The conclusion of a separate treaty with Austria and,

D

in addition to this fact, there are two unecdoles connected with the conclusion of this treaty which are sufficient to illustrate the disposition of this pacificator of Europe. This very treaty of Compo Formio was estentationally prefessed to be concluded with the Emperor for the purpose of enabling Buonaparte to take the command of the arms of England and to dictate a separate peace with this country on the banks of the Thames. But there is this additional circumstance, singular beyond all conception, considering that we are now referred to the treaty of Campo Formio as a proof of the personal lispounon of the Consul to general peace he sent his two confidential and chosen friends. Berthier and Monge charged to communicate to the Directory this treaty of Campo Formio to announce to them, that one enemy was hum bled that the war with Austria was terminated and, therefore that now was the moment to prosecute their operations against this country they used on this occasion the memorable words " the Kingdom of Great Britain and the French republic eaunot exist together." This, I say was the solemn declaration of the denuncs and ambasedors of Buonaparte himself offering to the Directory the first fruits of this first attempt at general pace fication

So much for his disposition towards general pacification let us look flext at the part he has taken in the different stages of the Irinch revolution and let us then judge whether we are to look to him as the security against revolutioner; principles let us dotermine what reliance we can place on his engagements with other countries when we see how he has observed his engagements to his own. When the constitution of the third year was established under Barras, that constitution was imposed by the arms of Buoon parts then commanding the army of the Trumwinter in Parts. To that constitution he then swere fidelity. How often he has repeated the same outh I know not but trucquat finat we know that he has not only repeated it himself but tenderred it to others under circumstances too striking not to be stated.

Sir, the house cannot have forgotten the revolution of the fourth of September, which produced the demissal of Lord Malmesbury

from Lule. How was that revolution procured? It was proemed charly by the promise of Buonaparte (in the name of his army), decidedly to support the Directory in those measures which I d to the infringement and violation of every thing that the authors of the constitution of 1795, or its adherents could consider as fundamental, and which established a system of desponser inferior only to that now realized in his own person. before this event, in the midst of the desolution and bloodshed of Italy, he had accorded the sacred present of new bunners from the Directory, he delivered them to his aimy with this exhortation -"Let us sucar, tellow soldiers, by the mones of the patriots who have died by our side, eternal hatted to the enemics of the constitution of the third year." That very constitution which he soon after enabled the Directory to violate, and which at the head of Ins grenadiers, he has now finally destroyed. Sn, that oath was again renewed, in the midst of that very scene to which I have last referred, the oath of fidelity to the constitution of the third year was administered to all the members of the assembly then sitting (under the terror of the bayonet), as the solemn preparation for the business of the day, and the morning was ushered in with swearing attachment to the constitution, that the evening might close with its destruction.

If we carry our views out of France, and look at the dreadful catalogue of all the breaches of treaty, all the acts of perfidy at which I have only glanced, and which are precisely common mate with the number of treaties which the republic have made (for I have sought in vain for any one which it has made and which it has not broken), it we trace the history of them all from the beginning of the revolution to the present time, or if we select those which have been accompanied by the most atrocious cruelty, and marked the most strongly with the characteristic features of the revolution, the name of Buonaparte will be found allied to more of them than that of any other that can be handed down in the history of the crimes and miseries of the last ten years. His name will be recorded with the horiors committed in Italy, in the me-

علجا أمر



additional contributions were imposed upon its inhabitants—and, in not more than four months afterwards, this very republic of Venice, united by alliance to France, the creature of Buomparte himself, from whom it had received the present of French liberty, was by the same Buomaparte transferred under the treaty of Campo Formio, to "that non yoke of the proud House of Austria," to deliver it from which he had represented in his first proclamation to be the great object of all his operations

Sir, all this is followed by the memorable expedition into Egypt, which I mention, not merely because it forms a principal article in the catalogue of those acts of violence and perfidy in which Buonaparte has been engaged, not merely because it was an enterpuse peculiarly his own, of which he was himself the planner, the executor, and the betrayer, but chiefly because, when from thence he retires to a different scene to take possession of a new fhrone, from which he is to speak upon an equality with the kings and governors of Europe, he leaves behind him, at the moment of his departure, a specimen, which cannot be mistaken, of his principles of negociation. The intercepted correspondence, which has been alluded to in this debate, seems to afford the strongest ground to believe, that his offers to the Turkish government to evacuate Egypt were made solely with a view "to gain time"," that the ratification of any trenty on this subject was to be delayed with the view of finally cluding its performance, if any change of circumstances favourable to the French should occur in the interval But whatever gentlemen may think of the intention with which these offers were made, there will at least be no question with icspect to the credit due to those professions by which he endeavoured to prove, in Egypt, his pacific dispositions enjoins his successor, strongly and stendily to insist in all his intercourse with the Turks, that he came to Egypt with no hostile design, and that he never meant to keep possession of the country, while, on the opposite page of the same instructions, he states in the most unequivocal manner his regret at the discomfiture of his

The Frinch army in order to follow them passes over the terntory of the republic of Vennces, but it will never forget that
antient irrendship unites the two republics. Religion government,
customs and property shall be respected. That the people may
be without apprehension, the most severe discipline shall be main
tained. All that may be provided for the army shall be faithfully
paid for in money. The general in chief engages the officers of
the republic of Vennce the magnitrates, and the priests, to make
known these senuments to the people in order that confidence
may cement that fir indship which has so long united the two na
a mans, fauthful in the path of honour as in that of rictory. The
French soldier in terrible only to the enemies of bin liberty and his
government. Buomaparte."

This proclamation was followed by exections similar to those which were practiced against Genoa, by the renewal of similar professions of friend hip and the use of similar means to excite insurrection At length in the spring of 1797 occasion was taken from disturbances thus excited to ising in the name of the Venetian government, a proclamation, bostile to France and this proceeding was made the ground for military execution against the country and for effecting by force the subversion of its antient government and the establishment of the democratic forms of the French revolution. This revolution was scaled by a tienty signed in May 1707 between Buonaparte and commissioners appointed on the part of the new and revolutionary government of Venice By the second and third secret articles of this treaty Venice ngreed to give as a ramsom to seeme itself against all further exnctions or demands the sum of three millions of livres in money the value of three millions more in articles of natal supply and three ships of the hue and it received in return the assurances of the friendship and apport of the French republic. Immediately after the signature of this treaty the arsenal the library and the palace of St. Marc were ramacked and plundered and heavy

Vide Account of this transaction in the Proclamation of the Senate of Venice April 12 1795,

additional contributions were imposed upon its inhabitants—and, in not more than four months afferwards, this very republic of Venice, united by alliance to France, the creature of Bijon parte himself, from whom it had received the present of French liberty, was by the same Buon parte transferred under the treaty of Campo Formo, to 'that non-voke of the proof Home of Austria," to deliver it from which he had represented in his first proclamation to be the great object of all his operations

Sir, all this is followed by the memorable expedition into Laypt, which I mention, not merely because it forms a principal article in the catalogue of those lets of violence and periody in which Buon parte his been engaged, not merch because it was an outerprise peculiarly his own, of which he was him to the planner, the executor, and the betrever, but chiefly because, when from thence hearth s to a different scene to take possession of a new throne, from which he is to speak upon in equility with the kinge and governors of Europe, he leaves behind him, at the moment of his departure, a specimen, which cann it be inistal en, of his principles of negociation. The intercepted correspondence, which has been alluded to in this debate, seems to afford the strongert ground to believe, that his offers to the Furkish government to the cuate Egypt were made solely with a view "to gain time"," that the ratification of any tienty on this subject was to be delayed with the view of finally cluding its performance, if any change of cucumstances favourable to the Trench should occur in the interval But whatever gentlemen may think of the intention with which these offers were made, there will at least be no question with respect to the credit due to those professions by which he undervoured to prove, in Egypt, his pacific dispositions. He expressly enjoins his successor, strongly and steadily to insist in all his intercourse with the Turks, that he came to Egypt with no hostile design, and that he never meant to keep pessession of the country, while, on the opposite page of the same instructions, he states in the most unequivocal manner his regret at the discomfiture of his

favounte project of coloniang Egypt and of maintaining it as a territorial acquaition. Now, Sir if in any note addressed to the Grand Vizar or the Sultan, Buonaparte had claim d credit for the sincerity of his professions that he forcibly invaded Egypt with no view hostile to Turkey and solds for the purpose of molecting the British interests is there any one argument now used to induce us to believe his present professions to us, which might not have been equally urged on that occasion to the Turkish gorgonact? Would not those professions have been equally supported by solemn asseverations, by the same reference which is now made to personal character with this single difference that they would then have been accompanied with one instance less of that periddy which we have had occasion to trace in this very transaction?

It is unincersary to say more with respect to the credit due to his professions, or the reliance to be placed on his general chamoter but it will perhaps, be argued that whatever may be his character or whatever has been his past conduct he has now an interest in making and observing peace. That he has an interest in maling pence is at best but a doubtful proposition, and that he has an interest in preserving it is still more uncertain. That it is his interest to negociate I do not indeed deny it is his interest above all to engage this country in separate negociation in order to loosen and dissolve the whole system of the confederacy on the Continent to palsy at once the arms of Russia or of Austria, or of any other country that might look to you for support and then either to break off his separate treaty or if he should ha e concluded it to apply the lesson which is taught in his school of policy in Egypt and to rerive at his pleasure those claims of ind minification which may have been reserved to some Lappier period

This is precisely the interest which he has in negociation; but on what grounds are we to be convinced that he has an interest in concluding and observing a solid and permanent precisentlen?

Under all the cucumstances of his personal character, and his newly acquired power, what other security has he for retaining that power, but the sword? His hold upon France is the sword. and he has no other. Is he connected with the soil, or with the habits, the affections, or the projudices of the country? He is a stranger, a foreigner, and an usurper, he unites in his own person every thing that a pure Republican must detest, every thing that an enraged Jacobin has abjuiled; every thing that a sincere and faithful Royalist must feel as an insult. If he is opposed at any time in his career, what is his appeal? He appeals to his fortune, in other words to his army and his sword Placing, then, his whole reliance upon military support, can be afford to let his military renown pass away, to let his laurels wither, to let the memory of his achievements sink in obscurity? Is it certain that, with his aimy confined within France, and iestiained from impads upon her neighbours, he can maintain, at his devotion, a force sufficiently numerous to support his power? Having no object but the possession of absolute dominion, no passion but military glory, is it certain, that he can feel such an interest in permanent peace, as would justify us in laying down our aims, reducing our expense, and relinquishing our means of security, on the faith of his en-Do we believe, that after the conclusion of peace, he would not still sigh over the lost trophics of Egypt, wrested from him by the celebrated victory of Aboukir, and the brilliant exertions of that heroic band of British seamen, whose influence and example rendered the Turkish troops invincible at Acre? Can be forget, that the effect of these exploits enabled Austria and Russia, in one campaign, to recover from France all which she had acquired by his victorics, to dissolve the chaim, which, for a time, fascinated Europe, and to show that their generals, contending in a just cause, could efface, even by their success and then military glory, the most dazzling triumphs of his victories and desolating ambition?

Can we believe, with these impressions on his mind, that if, after a year, eighteen months, or two years, of peace had elapsed, he should be tempted by the appearance of a fresh insurrection it

In land, encouraged by renewed and unrestrained communication with France and fomented by the fresh infusion of jacobia prin ciples; if we were at such a moment without a fleet to watch the ports of France or to guard the coasts of Ireland, without a dispossible army or an embodied militia capable of supplying a speedy and adequate reinforcement and that he had suddenly the means of transporting thitler a body of twenty or thirty thousand I reach troops can we believe, that at such a moment his ambition and vindictive uphit would be restrained by the recollection of engagements or the obligation of trenty? Or, if in some new trisis of difficulty and danger to the Ottoman empire, with no British navy in the Mediterranean no confederacy formed no force collected to support it, an opportunity should present itself for rohumin, the abandoned expedition to Egypt, for renewing the avowed and fa ourste project of conquering and colonizing that rich and firtile country, and of opening the way to wound some of the vital interests of Eugland and to plunder the treasures of the east in order to fill the bankrupt coff is of France would it he the interest of Buenaparte under such cifcumstances, or his principles his moderation his love of peace, his aversion to conquest and his regard for the independence of other nationswould it be all, or any of these that would secure us against an attempt which would leave us only the option of submitting, without a struggle, to certain loss and diagrace or of renewing the contest which we had prematurely terminated and repeating it without allies, without preparation with diminished means and with increased difficulty and bazard?

Hitherto I have spoken only of the reliance which we can place on the professions the character, and the bonder of the present liret Consul but it remains to consider the stability of his power The revolution has been marked throughout by a replict, cersion of new depositance of public authority each suppositing his professor; what grounds have we as yet to believe that the new murpation more edicus and more underguised than all rest preceded it, will be more dumble? Is it that we rely on the particular provisions contained in the code of the pretended constitution which

was proclaimed as accepted by the French people, as soon as the garrison of Paus declared their determination to externimate all its enemies, and before any of it aracles could even be known to half the country, who e consent was required for ats establishment?

I will not pretend to make deeply into the nature and effects of a constitution, which can hardly or regarded but is a force and a mockery. It however it could be supposed that its provisions were to have any effect, it seems equally ad pied to two purpose, that of giving to its founder for a time an absolute and uncontrolled authority, and that of laying the certain foundation of future disumon and discord, which, it they once preval, must render the exercise of all the authority under the constitution impossible, and leave no appeal but to the syord.

Is then military despotism that which we are accustomed to consider as a stable form of government? In all ages of the world, if lysberg attended with the least stability to the persons who excressed it and with the most i ipid succession of changes and revolutions. The advocates of the I reach revolution I oasted in its outset, that by their new system they had furnished a security for ever, not to Prance only but to all countries in the vorld, an unst militiry despotism, that the force of standing sames was rain and delusive, that no artificial pover could resist public opinion, and that it was upon the foundation of public opinion alone that any government could stand. I believe, that in this instance, as in every other, the progress of the Prench revolution has belied its professions, but so far from its liting a proof of the prevalence of public opinion against military force, it is instead of the proof, the strongest exception from that doctrine, which appears in the history of the world. Through all the stages of the revolution military force has governed, public opinion has scarcely been he ird. But still I consider this as only an exception from a general truth I still believe, that, in every civilized country (not enslaved by a jacobin faction) public opinion is the only sure support of any government I believe this with the more sitisfaction, from? conviction, that if this contest is happily terminated, the establish-

Hostages and the other tyrannical measures of the Directory at a the moment when we were endeavouring to discourage to he zardous an enterprise. If under such circumstances we find them giving proofs of their unalterable perseverance in heir principles if there is every reason to believe that the same disposition nrevails in many other extensive provinces of France if every party appears at length equally wearred and disappointed with all the successive changes which the revolution has produced of the question is no longer between monarchy and even the pretence and name of liberty but between the antient line of hereditary princes on the one hand and a military tyrant, a foreign usurper on the other; if the armies of that usurper are likely to and sufficient occupation on the frontiers, and to be forced at length to leave the interior of the country at liberty to manifest its real feeling and disposition what reason have we to anticipate that the restoration of monarchy under such circumstances, is impracticable?

The learned pentleman has, indeed told us that almost every man now possessed of property in France must necessarily be in terested in resisting such a change and that therefore it never can be effected. If that single consideration were conclusive sening the possibility of a change for the same reason the revolution itself by which the whole property of the country was taken from its antient possessors could never have taken place. But though I deny it to be an insuperable obstacle, I admit it to be a point of considerable delicacy and difficulty. It is not indeed for us to discuss minutely what afrangement might be formed on this point to conciliate and unite opposite interests. but whoever considers the precurious tenure and depreciated value of lands held under the revolutionary title and the low price for which they have gonerally been obtained will think it, perhaps, not impossible that an ample compensation might be made to the bulk of the present possessors, both for the purchase-money they have paid and for the actual value of what they now enjoy; and that the entrem proprietors might be reinstated in the possession of their former rights, with only such a temporary menifice as reasonable men would willingly make to obtain so essential an object,

The honourable and le uned gentleman however, has supported his reasoning on this part of the subject, by an argument which he undoubtedly considers as unanswerable—a reference to what would be his own conduct in smalle encumstances, and he tells us, that every landed proprietor in Trance must support the present order of things in that country from the same motive that he and every proprietor of three per cent stock would join in the defence of the constitution of Creat Britain. I must do the learned gentlem in the justice to believe, that the habies of his profession must supply him with better and nobler motive, for detending a constitution which he has had so much occasion to study and examine, thin any which he can derive from the value of his proportion (however large) of three per cents even supposing them to continue to increase in price is rapidly as they have done, during the list three years, in which the security and prosperity of the country has been established by following a system directly opposite to the counsels of the learned gentleman and his friends

The learned gentlem in's illustration, however, though it fuls with respect to himself, is happily and uptly applied to the state of France, and let us see what interence it furnishes with respect to the probable attachment of monied men to the continuance of the revolutionary system, as well as with respect to the general state of public credit in that country. I do not, indeed, know that there exists precisely any fund of three per cents in France, to furnish a test for the patriotism and public spirit of the lovers of Trench liberty But there is another fund which may equally answer our purpose—the capital of three per cent stock which formerly existed in France has undergone a whimsical operation, similar to many other expedients of finance which we have seen in the course of the revolution—this was performed by a decree, which, as they termed it, republicanised their debt, that is, in other words, struck off, at once, two-thirds of the capital, and left the proprietors to take their chance for the payment of interest on the This remnant was afterwards converted into the present five per cent stock. I had the curiosity very lately to inquire what price it bore in the market, and I was told that the

price had somewhat risen from confidence in the new government and was actually as high as secretices. I really at first supposed that my informer meant seventeen years purchase for every pound of interest, and I began to be almost jealous of revolutionary credit but I soon found that he literally meant seventeen pounds for every hundred pounds capital stock of five per cent that is a little more than three and half years purchase. So much for the value of revolutionary property and for the attachment with which it must implied its possessions towards the system of government to which that value is to be ascribed!

On the question Sir, how far the restoration of the French monarchy if practicable, is desirable. I shall not think it necessary to say much. Can it be supposed to be indifferent to us or to the world, whether the throne of France is to be filled by a prince of the house of Bourbon or by him whose principles and conduct I have endeavoured to develope? Is it nothing with a new to influence and example, whether the fortune of this last adventurer in the lottery of Revolutions shall appear to be permanent? Is it nothing whether a system shall be sanctioned which confirms by one of its fundamental articles that general transfer of properly from its ancient and lawful possessors, which holds out one of the most terrible examples of national injustice and which has fur maked the great source of revolutionary finance and revolutionary strength against all the powers of Europe?

In the exhausted and Impoverished state of France it seems for a time impossible that any system but that of robbers and confiscation, any thing but the continued torture, which can be applied only by the engines of the revolution can extort from its runled inhabitants more than the means of supporting, in peace the yearly expenditure of its government. Suppose then, the heir of the house of Bourbon reinstated on the throug, he will have sufficient occupation in endeavouring if possible, to heat the wounds, and gradually to repair the losses of ten years of cult convulsion to reanimate the drooping commerce to relandle the industry to replace the capital and to revive the manufactures of the country. Under such carcumstances, there must probably be

a considerable interval before such a monarch, whatever may be his views, can possess the power which can make him formidable to Europe, but while the system of the revolution/continues, the case is quite different. It is true, indeed, that even the gigantic and unnatural means by which that revolution has been supported, are so far impaired, the influence of its principles, and the terror of its arms, so far weakened, and its power of action so much contracted and circumscribed, that against the embodied force of Europe, prosecuting a vigorous war, we may justly hope that the remnant and wreck of this system cannot long oppose an effectual resistance. But, supposing the confederacy of Europe prematurely dissolved, supposing our armies disbanded, our fleets laid up in our harbours, our exertions relaxed, and our means of precaution and defence relinquished, do we believe that the revolutionary power, with this rest and breathing-time given it to recover from the pressure under which it is now sinking, possessing still the means of calling suddenly and violently into action whatever is the remaining physical force of France, under the guidance of military despotism, do we believe that this power, the terror ' of which is now beginning to vanish, will not again prove formida. ble to Europe? Can we torget, that in the ten years in which that power has subsisted, it has brought more misery on surrounding nations, and produced more acts of aggression, cruelty, perfidy, and enormous ambition, than can be traced in the history of Fiance for the centuries which have elapsed since the foundation of its monaichy, including all the wars which, in the course of that period, have been waged by any of those sovereigns, whose projects of aggrandizement, and violations of tienty, afford a constant theme of general reproach against the ancient government of France? And with these considerations before us, can we hesitate whether we have the best prospect of permanent peace, the best security for the independence and safety, of Europe, from the iestoration of the lawful government, or from the continuance of revolutionary power in the hands of Buonaparte?

In compromise and treaty with such a power, placed in such hands as now exercise it and retaining the same means of anuoy-

ance which it now possesses, I see little hope of permanent security. I see no possibility at this moment of concluding such a peace as would justify that liberal intercourse which is the essence of real antity no chance of terminating the expenses of the anxieties of war or of restoring to us any of the advantages of established tranquility and as a succerc lover of peace. I cannot be content with its nominal attainment; I must be durious of pursuing that system which promocs to attain in the end the permanent enjoyment of its solid and substantial blessings for this country and for Europe. As a sincere lover of peace. I will not sacrifice it by grasping at the shadow when the reality is not substantially within my reach—

Cur egitur pacem noto? Quia infida est quia periculara quia esse non potest

It Sir in all that I have now offered to the house. I have succeeded in establishing the proposition, that the system of the French revolution has been such as to afford to foreign powers no adequate ground for security in negociation, and that the change which has recently taken place has not yet afforded that security if I have had before you a just statement of the nature and extent of the danger with which we have been threatened; it would remain only shortly to con ider whether there is any thing in the circumstances of the present magnetic to induce us to accept a security confessedly inadequate against a danger of such a descention

It will be necessary here to any a few words on the subject on which gentlemen have been so fond of dwelling. I mean our former negociations and particularly that at Lisle in 1797. I am decrease of stating frankly and openly the true motives which induced me to concur in then recommending negociations, and I will have at to the house and to the country to judge whether our conduct at that time was inconsistent with the principles by which we are good of at present. That revolutionary policy which I have endeavoured to describe that gignatus system of produgation and bloodshed by which the efforts of France were supported and which counts for nothing the lies and the property of a nation,

had at that period driven us to exertions which had, in a great measure exhausted the ordinary means of defraying our immense expenditure, and had led many of those who were the most convinced of the original justice and necessity of the war, and of the danger of jacobin principles, to doubt the possibility of persisting in it, till complete and adequate security could be obtained. There seemed, too, much reason to believe, that without some new measure to check the rapid accumulation of debt, we could no longer trust to the stability of that funding system, by which the nation had been enabled to support the expense of all the different wais in which we have engaged in the course of the present cer-In order to continue our exertions with vigour, it became necessary that a new and solid system of finance should be established, such as could not be rendered effectual but by the geneall and decided concurrence of public opinion. Such a concurrence in the strong and vigorous measures necessary for the purpose could not then be expected but from satisfying the country, by the strongest and most decided proofs, that peace on terms in any degree admissible was un ittainable.

Under this impression we thought it our duty to attempt negociation, not from the sanguine hope even at that time that its result could afford us complete security, but from the persuasion, that the danger arising from peace under such circumstances was less than that of continuing the war with precurious and madequate means. The result of those negociations proved, that the enemy would be satisfied with nothing less than the sacrifice of the honour and independence of the country. From this conviction, a spirit and enthusiasm was excited in the nation, which produced the efforts to which we are indebted for the subsequent change in our situation. Having witnessed that happy change, having observed the increasing prosperity and security of the country from that period, seeing how much more satisfactory our prospects now are, than any which we could then have derived from the succesful result of negociation, I have not scrupled to declare, that I consider the rupture of the negociation, on the part of the enemy as a fortunate circumstance for the country. But because these are my sentiments at this time, after reviewing what has minco passed does it follow that we were, at that time insurere in en deavouring to obtain peace? The learned gentleman indeed assumes that we were and he even makes a concession of which I desire not to claim the benefit he is willing to admit, that on our principles, and our view of the subject insincerity would have been justifiable. I know Sir no plea that would justify those who are entrusted with the conduct of public affairs, in holding out to parliament and to the nation one object while they were in fact, pursuing another I did in fact, believe at the moment, the conclusion of peace (if it could have been obtained) to be proferable to the continuance of the war under its increasing risks and difficulties. I therefore wished for peace. I sincerely laboured for peace. Our endeavours were frustrated by the act of the enemy. If then the circumstances are since changed if what passed at that period has afforded a proof that the object we aimed at was unattainable and if all that has po and since has proved that if peace had been then made it could not have been dura ble are we bound to repeat the same experiment, when every reason against it is strengthened by subsequent experience and when the inducements, which led to it at that time have ceased to exut?

When we comider the resources and the spirit of the country can any man doubt that if adequate security is not now to be obtained by treaty we have the means of prosecuting the contest without maternal difficulty or disager and with a reasonable prespect of complet by attaining our object? I will not dwell on the improved state of public credit, on the continually increasing amount (in spite of extraordinary temporary burthers) of our permanent revenue, on the yearly accession of wealth to a degree upprecedented even in the most flourishing times of pence which we are deriving, in the milist of war from our extended and flourishing commerce; on the progressive improvement and growth of our manufactures on the proof, which we see on all sides of the uninterrupted accumulation of productive enjitelt; and on the active exertion of every branch of national industry

which can tend to support and augment the population, the riches, and the power of the country

As little need I recall the attention of the house to the additional means of action which we have derived from the great augmentation of our disposable military force, the continued triumphs of our powerful and victorious navy, and the events, which, in the course of the last two years, have rused the military ardour and military glory of the country to a height unexampled in any period of our history

In addition to these grounds of reliance on our own strength and exertions, we have seen the consummate skill and valour of the aims of our allies proved by that series of une ampled success which distinguished the last campaign, and we have every reason to expect a co-operation on the continent, even to a greater extent, in the course of the present year If we compare this view of our own situation with every thing we can observe of the state and condition of our enemy, if we can trace him labouring under equal difficulty in finding men to reciuit his aimy, or money to pay it, if we know that in the course of the last year the most rigorous efforts of military conscription were scarcely sufficient to replace to the French aimics, at the end of the campaign, the numbers which they had lost in the course of it, if we have seen that the force of the enemy, then in possession of advantages which at has since lost, was unable to contend with the efforts of the combined aimies, if we know that, even while supported by the plunder of all the countries which they had over-iun, the French armics were reduced, by the confession of their commanders, to the extremity of distress, and destitute not only of the principal articles of military supply, but almost of the necessaries of life, if we see them now driven back within their own frontiers, and confined within a country whose own resources have long since been proclaimed by their successive governments to be unequal either to paying or maintaining them, if we observe, that since the last zevolution, no one substantial or effectual measure has been adopted to remedy the intolerable disorder of their finances, and to

supply the deficiency of their credit and resources, if we see through large and populous di tricts if France either open war leved against the present a arpation or evident marks of disumon and drametion, which the first occasion may call forth into a flame if I my Sir this companie a be just I feel myself authomred to conclude from it not that we are entitled to consuler our selves certain of ultimate success not that we are to suppose our selves ex mpt of from the unto cerem vicios tudes of war, but that considering the value of the object for which we are contending the means for supporting the contest, and the probable course of human events we should be inexercable if at this moment we were to relinquish the struggle on eny grounds short of entire and complete security against the greatest dunger which has ever yet threatened the world that from perseverance in our efforts and r such estermistances, we have the fairest reason to expect the full attainment of that object but that at all events, even it wo are disappointed in our more ranguine hopes, we are more likely to gain than to lose by the continuation of the contest that every month to which it is continued even if it should not in its effects lead to the final distruction of the facobin sixt to must tend to far to weaken and exhaust it as to give it at least a greater erm parathe security in any other termination of the war that on all these grounds this is not the mement at which it is consistent with our interest or ur duty to listen to any preparate of negociation with the present Ruler of France; but that we are not therefore pledged to any unalt rable determination a to our future conduct; that in the we must be regulated by the course of events and that it will be the duty I his Majesty & ministers from time to time to adapt their masures to any variation of circumstances, to conand I how far the effect of the military open twas of the allie or of the internal disposition of France corn pend with our present expectations and on a time of the whole to compare the difficulties or risk which may arise in the procedulon of the centest, with the prospect of ultimat vicess or of the degree of advan mee which may be derived from its larther continuouses, and to be

governed by the result of all these considerations, in the opinion and advice which they may offer to their sovereign.

The address was carried,

Aves . 265 Nots . . 64

February 17, 1800

MR PITT having moved the order of the day, for referring his Majesty's message" to a committee of the whole house, to consider of a supply to be granted to his Majesty, and the house having resolved itself into a committee accordingly, he then rose and said —

The motion which I shall submit to the committee this day, is founded upon a principle which has been often, and has recently been recognised in this house, that we are to proceed in a vigorous prosecution of the war, a measure which we in common feel to be necessary for the safety, honour, and happiness of this country. Those who were of opinion that his Majesty's government acted wisely in declining negociation at this period with the enemy, will not be backward in consenting to continue, or, if necessary, to augment the force that may be deemed proper to be used in the common cause, such as was employed last year, or may be employed this, and

* " GTORGE R

"His Majesty is at present employed in concerting such engagements with the Emperor of Germany, the Elector of Bavaria, and other powers of the empire, as may strengthen the efforts of his Imperial Majesty, and materially conduce to the advantage of the common cause in the course of the ensuing campaign, and his Majesty will give directions that these engagements, as soon as they shall have been completed and ratified, shall be laid before the house. But, in order to ensure the benefit of this co-operation at an early period, his Majesty is desirous of authorising his minister to make (provisionally), such advances as may be necessary, in the first instance, for this purpose, and his Majesty recommends it to the house to enable him to make such provision accordingly.

which affords the best prospect of success on the frontier of France This gives even to France, an opportunity of relieving itself from a galling yoke and obtaining a happy repore and to its neighbours a hope of permanent tranquillity It affords a prospect of delivering the remainder of the continent (for much of it was delivered during the last campaign) from the horror of a system which once threatened even more than all Furope with total destruction These are among the great objects which we must end as our to accomplish. Above all, we have to crush and disable the system of sacobiansm or if we even fail in completely destroying that monster we should at least persevere till we have weakened the in truments and engines by which it propagates its properples for it is generally agreed, that there can be no safety for Europe as long as pacubin in remains strong and triumphant. Those therefore I say who were of opinion that his Majorty's ministers acted whely in declining to neg state with the enemy at this moment will not be unwilling to assent to the motion with which I shall have the honour of concluding But I should hope that even those who recommended negociation and who I believe recommended it without much confidence of ultimate success if it were attempted, will acquiesce in the measure that I am now going to propose. The majority of this house and the great majority of the people of this country will I am confident agree that if the war is to be carried on at all it should be earlied on upon that scale which is most likely to bring it to an honourable if possible a speedy but at all events to a secure concludor.

After what I have seen of the brilliant achievements lat year it is not for me to say how much is to be expected from the exertions of the Imperial arms this is not for me to argue—it resit upon a much better foundation than any argument can be. I am aware that there is fresh in the minds of those who are most anxious for the honour of the common cause a supposition that there may not be the same cooperation of both the Imperial courts or that the same force will not be employed a joint France in the present year or the ensuing campaign, as there was the last

compagn. It ike this opportunity of sating that there is resen to believe the I my erer of Russia will not employ his mins to the same extent, it to any extent, named I mee, in comparction with Austria. I send this en reformer night 1 stated also, tort there was no reas in an Indiese that his Imperial Majesty, the The perior of Russia, valle while we from the most conduct coloring tion with this country, or e to show his resolution not to nenunced with I times, whilst it put nex a system, such as it does now, that ending is the frequality of Lurope and all its establist meets. But if there yere any grounds of apprehension that his Imposed Majesty would withdrig all co-operation of should then take the uter's of regard the as in additional reason for the measure which his Meje ty has taken and which was communicated to us by his gracious message, part of which the committee has just heard read, and the commutee will learn with satisfiction, that the force from the power of Germany will be greater in the ensuing event ugo then it was in the list, great and brilliant as its victories were. I should therefore expect the concurrence of this committee to my me sure which may be lifely to further so very desirable in object. If the general object, theretore, be likely to meet the concurrence of the house, as by recent discussion the house has already declared and pledged itself it should, I might now proceed to my motion, but there are some other points upon which it is perhaps expected that I should touch briefly. At this period of the year, and from circumstances which I need not enumerate, we cannot have the treatics ready to be laid before parliament, therefore the house cannot judge ultim tely on the scheme, part only of which is now laid before it, but I say there is already enough before us to make it incumbent on parliament, at this crisis, to enable his Majesty to make advances such as may prevent the enemy from having any idvintage by postponing the efforts of the allies beyond an early period, or of preventing the campaign from being opened with that vigour which the friends of the common cause against the common enemy could wish the great object of the present measure is to

honourable gentleman discover that the jacobinium of Robespierre, of Barrier the jacobinium of the Triumsirate, the jacobinium of the Five Directors which he acknowledged to be ryal has all vanished and disappeared, because it has all been centered and conducted into one man who was reased and nursed in its bosom, whose celebrity was gained under its auspices who was at once the child and the champion of all its aircentes and horrors? Our security in negociation is to be the Boonapart, who is now the sole organ of all that was formerly dangerous and pestiferous in the revolution. Jacob also is allowed formerly to have outed because the power was divided. Now it is single and it no loner lives. This discovery is new and I know not how it has been made.

But the honourable gentleman asks, What is our intention? He

asks. Whether the war is to be carried on till jacobinism is finally exinguished? If he means that war is to be carried on till jacobi nism has either lost its sting or is abiidged in its power to do evil. I say that this is the object of our exertions I do not say that we must wage war until the principle of jacobinish is extinguish ed in the mind of every individual were that the object of the contest. I am afraid it would not terminate but with the present experation. I am afraid that a mind once tainted with that infection never recovers it healthful state. I am afraid that no puri fication is sufficient to eradicate the poison of that foul distemper. Lyen those no see who so loudly tell us now that the danger of pacobinism is past are endeavouring to disarm us of the means of carrying on the war we now wage against its remitted by those arts which they employed to bend as down bef re its meridian splen lour. They tell us again that, by b, resisting that pestilent mischlef we are promoting distress, that we are despoing humanity. They tell us that we ba e spent two hundred millions for a phrase-for the words ' just and necessary." I hope Sir that the people of this country will not be governed by words No Sir the people of England will not be so mbled We have spent two hundred millions; but what has been the object-what have been the fruits of this expen

diture? If this country has spent two hundred millions, they have been spent to preserve the sources of its prosperity, its happiness, its glory, its freedom. Yes, Sir, we have spent that sum, and I trust we are ready, as I am sure we are able, to spend two hundred millions more for purposes so great and important. I trust this country is ready to exert its efforts to avail ourselves of the assistance of our allies to obtain real security, and to attain solid peace.

It is true that in this contest different opinions may exist as to the means by which the danger is to be resisted, the Emperor of Russia may approve of one course, the Emperor of Germany may adopt another But is it not strange that the honourable gentleman should be so particularly displeased that we should be desnous of the co-operation of the Emperor of Germany, who has not gone so far in his declarations on the subject of the war as the Emperor of Russia? Is it a ground of objection with the honourable gentleman, that we should avail ourselves of the assistance of those who do not declare themselves in favour of that object which he professes himself particularly to disappiove? It, as I do not believe, the Emperor of Germany did not see any danger in French principles, if, as I do not believe, the Emperor of Germany considers it as no desirable object to overthrow that government by which they are embodied and organized, yet are we to refuse the co-operation of that power which may so essentially contribute to promote that security which we have in view? Without changing our own objects, may we not avail ourselves of the aid of other powers, though the motives of the co-operation may not be those which dictate our own exertions? Admitting that the Emperor of Germany has no other view but to regain possession of the Netherlands, to drive the enemy back to the Rhine, to accover the fortresses which it was for a moment forced to abandon, are these objects which we have no interest to promote? are these designs which have no relation to British policy-no connexion with Butish safety? Whatever be the professions of Austria, she must dread the hostility of French principles, she must distrust the security of republican peace - Why, then, should we be unwilling to

employ the co-operation of Austrian arms for objects in which we ourselves are so nearly cone true! It is on duty it is our lighest interest to encourage the exertions, and to promote the views of Austria, with which our only security is so materially concerned.

The honourable gentleman took another ground of argument to which I shall now follow him He said, that the war could not be just, because it was carried on for the restoration of the House of Bourbon; and secondly that it could not be necessary because we had refused to negociate for peace when an opportunity for negociation was offered u. As to the first proposition that it cannot be just because it is carried on for the restoration of the House of Bourbon he has as used the foundation of the argument. and has left no ground for centroverting it or for explanation because he says that any attempt at explanation upon this subject is the mere ambiguous unintelligible language of ifs and bats and of special pleading. Now Sir I never had much liking to special pleading and if ever I had any it is by this time almost entirely gone. He has besides so abridged me of the use of particles, that though I am not particularly attached to the sound of an if or a but I would be much obliged to the honourable gentleman if he would give me some others to supply their places. Is this, how ever a light matter that it should be treated in so haht a man ner? The restoration of the French monarchy I will still the honourable gentleman, I consider as a most desirable object because I think that it would afford the strongest and best security to this country and to Furope But this object may not be at tuinable and fit be not attainable we must be satisfied with the best security which we can find independent of it. Pence is most desirable to this country. Let negociation may be attended with greater coils than could be ecunt rbalanced by any benefits which would result from it. And if this be found to be the ease; if it afford no prospect of security of it threaten all the colls which we have been struggling to a rt if the prosecution of the war shord the prespect of attaining complete security; and if it may be prosecuted with increasing commerce with increasing means and with increasing prosperity except what may result from the

visitations of the sensons, then I say, that it is prudent in us not to regoente at the present moment. These are my huts and my its. This is my plea, and on no other do I wish to be tried, by God and my country.

The honourable gentleman says, that we reduce our own means in the same proportion that ye exhaust those of the ciriny this, indeed, the conclusion which we must draw from a survey of the respressive situations of Prance and England, since the negociation at Paris, and particularly those at Lisle? Does the honourable gentleman really think, that the means of this country here been exhausted in the same proportion vith those of the enemy? Does he think that the expense of a new compaign will produce that effect? On the e grounds of comparison the question is to be decided, and not upon those topics which are adduced to create a projudice ogainst the var, and those insidious representations employed to render it unpopular. It is, indeed, to become the allies of jacobinism, to connect, as some affect to do, the present scarcity with the subject of the war. It is, indeed, to resort to its most destructive vicapons, thus to appeal to the feelings of the mult tude, and call upon them to decide on such a ground upon a question, of which, in their coolest state, they are, perhaps, unqualified to judge. When we see such arts employed, I think it pretty strong proof that problems is not extinct. If indeed we find that it is still alive even in the minds of spectators, what influence must it not possess with those who are involved in its scenes, and who rule by its influence?

It is said, however, that I endeavour to prevent the freedom of deliberation, by saying, that parliament, by its former vote, is pledged to this particular measure. Most certainly I have no such intention, on the contiary, I stated only, that those who think the war should be continued, must approve of every means by which it can be carried on with vigour and success. The question then is, whether the measure is calculated for that end? If it is, it would be to suppose parliament guided by no consistent view, if it did not meet with its approbation. That the honourable gentleman and his friends should oppose the measure, I should be disposed to

escribe, not so much to their disapproving it, as to their opposition to the war itself. I took it for granted indeed that even some of those who opposed the war itself hight acquiesce in this measure because I trust their sentiment is sincere they cannot prevent the war—they must be desirous to see it carried on with vigour and success. If they had no other object but to palsy our efforts, to disarm our force in the prosecution of a contest, which their votes cannot prevent their objects would be criminal, their language would be mischievous. I hope however that the feelings which in candour I supposed gentlemen on the other side to possess, will not be belied by their conduct.

The honourable gentleman says, that though his friends are few, they have represented the opinions of the country on a former occasion, and that they now represent it in their expressed desire of peace. If he meant this in the full sense of his expressions, it is another proof that sacobinson is not yet overthrown for it is one of its most favourtle principles, that the few who compose the sect represent the opinion of the many. I recollect an expression of an honourable gentleman . who now seldom favours us with his presence when speaking of humself and his friends who express the voice of the people which is nearly the same with the language of the honourable gentleman this night. But I must require a little more evidence then either of them ever produced to prove that they speak or ever have spoken, the voice of the country On the occasion alluded to when government thought it expedient to make an attempt at negociation, I diny that the voice of the majority of the country was for peace but many entertained a hope that there was some chance of security in negociation and wished the attempt to be made. Covernment coincided with them in opinion but very few now regret, from what has since occurred in France and from every part of her conduct, that the attempt did Lill; and I am confident that the majority of the country is not now represented by those gentle men who are eager for regociation and who wish for peace with out security and nathout stability. I am no enemy to pence but

I must think that the danger of patching up a peace without any probable ground of permanency, is greater even than that of carrying on a wer. With respect to the negociation at lasle, I believed at that moment that the projection of the war was frought with more danger to the country than the establishment of peace, if peace could have been concluded on such terms as were then proposed to the enemy. It was the result of a comparison between the futher prosecution of the wir, and the then existing the of the country, a state different from that in which, I am happy to say, the country finds itself at this moment Sir, to siv, that the prevalence of peoblineal principles in France do not at present allow me to hope for a secure pence. As I declared upon a former occasion, without that "tempt to obtain peace we could not have made those subsequent excitions which have proved so successful. But because of our present increased means for carrying on will, I ask the honourable gentleman, is it fair in him to argue that I was insincere in labouring for peace at a time, when the circumstances of the country dictated the expedience of ittempting it?

We are told, however, that our policy ought to be changed as the Russians are no longer to co-operate with Austria. But may not the Russians be employed with idvantage in the common cause, though they no longer act immediately in conjunction with the Austrians? It is not for me to point out the particular way in which their force may be directed in conjunction with the moveable maritime force which this country possesses. I need not say how, while the frontiers of France are invested by a powerful military force, the Russians may co-operate in supporting those insurrections which actually prevail, and which threaten to break out in every part of France. May not these efforts produce a great and valuable diversion for the Russians? This is sufficient to show that their co-operation may still be extremely valuable. To say more would be no less improper than unnecessary.

If, however, the Russians are not to assist the cause by their efforts upon the continental frontier of France, does it not be-

April 21, 1800

The boute pursuant to the order of the day resolved mall into a committee, to consider of his Mejevy a message relative to the propositions of the Irish parliament for an incorporating Union with Great Bunda; and Mr. Sylvotter Douglas having taken the chair of the committee.

Mu Pirr rost :

Sir -The sentiments of this and the other house here been so clearly and decisively expressed in the rote which was given on this important subject during the last session of parliament, that I feel it altogether unnecessary to renew the arguments then advanced and accoded to with respect to the advantage expedience and necessity of the measure. Recollecting, that the grounds then offered for the union of both kingdoms were so solid and unalterable as to meet with an almost universal concurrence and also recollecting that the subsequent discussions which have taken place in the parliament of the sister kingdom must have confirmed and rivetted the decision to unequivocally manufested in this country. I shall only trouble the committee so far as to recall the magnitude of the question which is now submitted to their consideration and to remind them that it is not one partial consideration not a single provision however great it mucht be which claims their attention but a consideration in which the desirest and most essential interests of both countries are most intimutely connected. If we wish to accomplish the great work that we have und staken we must look to the whole of this important and complicated question we must look at it in a large and comprehensive point of view we must consider it as a measur of great national policy the object of which is effectually to count met the restless muchinations of an inveterate enemy who has uniformly and engiously cadeasoured to effect a reparation between two countries whose connection is as precesary in the safety of the one as it is for the prosperity of the oth r. We mult look to the a the only men use no can adopt which can enim the discentions allay the animosities and disspate the balances which have unfortunately exlated; as a measure where

o next is to communicate to the sister langdom the skill, the capitil and the indusery, which have rused this country to such a puch of cyclinece to see to her a full participation of the comto the constitution of lagland, to mute the effections and it oarcisef two poverful p tions, and to place under one public will in direction of the whole force of the empire -We most consider one is a measure. Sin, the object of which is to offered on effectively remain for those importantions which exist in that precarrous system do that premit bases the two countries together a sestem which, if an encorporate upon should unfortunately not take place, may altimately tend to their separation Sir, when these are the objects which are to be obtained by this mersure, the comentice will not, I am sure, consider it is a measure of partial or local convenience, or of partial or local sicrifice, but in forming their opinions they will consider its general effect upon the whole of the aggregate of the empire deciding on this question we ought to be netuated by another feeling, a feeling which it is not necessity for me to state, because the magnamimity of every gentleman must have suggeted it to his own mind. In the union of a great nation with a less. we must feel that we ought not to be influenced by any selfish policy, that we ought not to be actuated by any narrow views of partial advantage. We must refute by our conduct (what indeed we might have hoped our terms had already refuted, if what fell from an honourable gentleman this day did not unfortunitely prove that a degree of unaccountable prejudice still existed) the idea that we have any other object in view than that of promoting the mutual advantage of both kingdoms. We must shew, that we care not grasping at financial advantages, that we are not looking for commercial monolopy, we must show that we wish to make the empire more powerful and more secure, by making Ireland more free and more happy. These, Sir, are the views-these iro the only views with which I could ever have proposed this measure, and it is with these views alone that it can be rendered effectual to its object, and establish mutual harmony and confidence between the two nations

But it is not in rely a sentiment of generosity and magnanimity which influences her conduct in this striking a balanco between two nations, justice requires that the greater share of advantage should fall to the less powerful one. I rounded such has been the rapid progress which this country has made in commerce and in capital that it has arrived at a degree of prosperity unexampled in the history of the world that it is in a situation in which Perhaps no other country ever was, either to treat with a friend or to contind with an enemy; such on the rapid and unprecedented advances which we are making in commercial prosperity that relimiting that the a lortion of this measure might be attended with particular inconvenience and local disadmintage the wealth which the country will acquire even while this decursion is going on a wild much more than compensate for such particular loss. It is not necessary for me to ditain the committee by dilating any more upon this part of the subject. I flatter myself that every gentleman who hears me concurs with me in every sentiment which I have ad niced. If with feelings such as these we proceed to the examination of these articles, with an intention of not overlooking any part of them of examining there with n view to see whether they may require any alteration but at the tame time with a firm determination not to suffer small difficulties. to stand in the way of important national arrangements and advantages if Sir I say we are actuated by these feelings, I boys, the century will not conclude without the accomplishing of thi great national work which will give a full participation of our wealth and happiness to milions of our fellow subjects-which will place upon a tim bays the connection between the two countines and will nurment and scenie the strength and prospertly of the empte.

I will not trouble the committee with airr further observations of a general nature; I will now take a view of the resolutions which have been had before us, and which have been agreed to be the parliament of Ireland. It will be recommented for its too how far they account with these which were agreed to last sees us in the Dritish parliament, and how far they which they have altered,

or added, is objectionable. In looking at them with this view, it will be seen that the first article merely relates to the name of the United Kingdoms, upon which I appi hend no difference of opinion can subsist. The second article relates to the succession of the crown, and which is piecisely the same as that which was agreed to by the pailiament of Great Britain In the third article is the beginning of the detail, which must necessarily take place in treaties of this sort between independent nations. It divides itself into five leading branches, viz the regulations which respect to the imperial legislature, the provisions for the security of the established church, the regulation of the commercial intercourse between the two countries, the arrangement of their respective proportions with respect to revenue, and, finally, the provisions relative to courts of justice. In examining and deciding upon these resolutions, I must, beg gentlemen to compare them with those which were agreed to by the parliament of Great Butain, and transmitted to Ireland In our resolutions we agreed that the whole of the United Kingdoms should be represented in one imperial parliament—we stated, that the number and proportion which the members from Ireland should bear to those of Great Britain, and the regulations respecting the mode of their election, should be such as might be finally agreed upon by the respective parliaments of the two kingdoms. In these resolutions the pailiament of Ireland have stated their opinions upon these points the first and most important of which is, the share which they ought to have in the representation in the house of commons.

Upon a full consideration of the subject, the parliament of Ireland are of opinion, that the number of representatives for Ireland in the house of commons ought to be one hundred. Upon this subject, the first question to which I have to call the attention of gentlemen (supposing that they adhere to the resolutions of last session) is, whether the number so mentioned by the parliament of Ireland is so reasonable, and founded in such fair proportions, that we ought to agree to it? For my own part, Sir, I will fairly confess, that upon this part of the subject it does appear to me extremely difficult to find any precise ground upon

which to form a correct calculation or to entertain a positive preference for any one specific number of members rather than another but I am the less' anxious about it because I do not consider the consequences as very important. In my view of representation, founded upon the experience of our constitution. I think no are entitled to say that if a nation becomes united with us in interests and in affection it is a matter of but small importance whether the number of representances from one part of the United Empire be greater or less. If there are enough to make known the local wants, to state the interests, and convey the sentiments of the part of the empire they represent it will produce that degree of general security which will be wanting in any rain attempt to obtain that degree of theoretical perfection about which in modern times we have heard so much. Considering it in this point of view (if the interests of the two countries are identified and the number of representatives are adequate to the purposes I have mentioned) I really think the precise number is not a marier of great importance. At the same time when it is necessary that the number should be fixed it is necessary to have recourse to some principle to guide our determination; and I am not mare of am, one that can more properly be adopted than that which was laid down in the discussions upon this part of the subject in the parliament of Ireland. I mean a reference to the supposed population of the two countries, and to the proposed rate of contribution. I do not think that the proportion of the population, or the capability of contribution, taken separately would either of them form so good a criterion as when taken together but even when combined I do not mean to say that they are perfectly accurate. Taking this principle, it will appear that the proportion of contribution proposed to be estably hed as seven and a builf for Creat Butain and one for Ireland; and that in the proportion of population Great Britain is to Ireland as two end a half or three to one so that the result upon a combine tion of these two will be a methog more than five to one in fa our of Great Britain which is about the proportion that it is proposed to mighth between the representatives of the two countries.

With respect to the mode in which these members are to be selected in order to be sent over to the imperial pulliament, it is such as in my opinion must prevent the possibility of any suspicion arising in the minds of gentlemen. It is obvious that no wish was entertained by those by whom these articles were proposed, to introduce an additional number of members, with any view to an augmentation of the influence of the crown If it is admitted that it would be highly inconvenient to add the whole of the house of commons of Iteland, which consists of three hundred members, to that of Great Britain, it is obvious that some principle of selection must be resorted to, and I cannot conceive any one that could have been adopted more equitable or satisfactory for Ireland, or less liable to objection The plan proposed is, that the members of the counties, and of the principal commercial cities, should remain entire. With respect to the remaining members to make up the number of a hundred, without thinking of abstract principles, without talking about the difference between one description of boroughs and another, being obliged to make a selection, the plainest and most obvious mode is resorted to, with a view to the obtaining of local information, and to the security of the landed interest. The remaining members are to be selected from those places which are the most considerable in point of population and Those gentlemen who have objected to the introduction of theoretical reforms in the constitution, and in the representation of this country, will find that there is nothing in this plan which has a tendency towards that object, or which makes a distinction between different parliamentary rights. The plan which it is proposed to adopt, is the only one that could have been iecurred to, without trenching upon the constitution mittee must perceive, that, in acquiescing in this resolution, they will consent to an addition to the existing house of commons, without making any, the slightest, alteration in our internal forms; that this regulation is conformable to the resolution which last year met with the approbation of the parliament of Great Britain, and that no alteration is proposed in the numbers of the British house of commons

It would not, perhaps be necessary for me to say any thing more upon this topic yet knowing, Sir how strong some opinions are on the subject and knowing the share I formerly had myself in sentiments of that nature I must declare that I do not with to avoid the discussion. I rather desire to duclose my most secret thoughts upon the question of reform, as I do not think myself authorised from a firm conviction of their purity and justice to decline any investigation upon that topic, respecting which I did once entertain a different opinion. Whatever change may be found necessary in the parliament of Ireland I maintain, Sir that by preserving the frame of the British parliament we have one great and very neculiar advantage of which it is impossible for any sophistry for any arts, for any violence to deprise us. We have found this vast benefit in our adherence to practice in two distinguished instances I mean with respect to Wales and Scot land The union of England with both those countries was effected without any injury to the frame of the English parliament and the effects resulting from that system have been productive of the most permanent utility. It might have been urged as an objection à priors that the frame of parliament should be altered but, fortunately for us our ancestors preferred the preservation of that which experience had rendered dear to them. But Sir whatever may have been the opinions of different men upon the subject of reform since is was first agitated in this country I do not assume too much in saying it is now generally admitted that we ought not to alter any thing beyond the immediate object of the alteration itself, and that we are called upon to do that with as little change as is consistent with the efficacy of the measure. In other words, Sir I contend that it is pecessary to confine the proposed change to that which requires to be changed leaving every thing che entire. We are therefore to limit our alterations to Ireland, whose situation so imperiously calls for alteration, and to leave England untouched and entire in the enjoyment of that which has uniformly constituted its certain defence and protection. But this is not all and I begieve to trouble the cominitice with a few more remarks, since this consideration has occurred in the discussion of the articles of union. If any gen

theman recollects how little the friends of reform have at any time agreed upon a specific plan, how hitle the sense of the public has ever declared in favour of reform, how difficult the measure has been at all times allowed to be by its most enlightened and zealous supporters, how jarring and contradictory the opinions of those persons who patronized it must have been I say, Sir, if gentlemen recollect all these striking and unanswerable circumstances, I shall only ask them, would it be wise and consistent to connect the question of union with the question of reform? If the union be of itself a measure of great difficulty, as it is generally admitted, I appeal to the candour of every man, would it be prudent, would it be safe, to involve it in a question of the greatest perplexity, of the most embarrassing nature, and attended with fatal consequences as to our internal interest?

On the ground then of prudence, what I have said must I think alone be sufficient: but as I do not wish to have the least reserve with the house, I must say, that if any thing could throw a doubt upon the question of union-if any thing could in my mind counterbalance the advantages that must result from it, it would be the necessity of disturbing the representation of England. but that necessity fortunately does not exist. In stating this, Sn, I have not forgotten what I have myself formerly said and sincerely felt upon this subject; but I know that all opinions must necessarily be subservient to times and circumstances, and that man who talks of his consistency merely because he holds the same opinion for ten or fifteen years, when the circumstances under which that opinion was originally formed are totally changed, is a slave to the most idle vanity Seeing all that I have seen since the period to which I allude; considering how little chance there is of that species of reform to which alone I looked, and which is as different from the modern schemes of reform, as the latter are from the constitution, seeing that where the greatest changes have taken place, the most dreadful consequences have ensued, and which have not been confinéd to that country where the change took place, but have spread then malignant influence almost in every quarter of the globe, and shaken the fabric of every government seeing that

in this general shock the constitution of Great Britain has alone remained pure and untouched in its vital principles-IA cry of " Hear! Hear!" on the opposition side! - I wish centlemen would hear me and then answer me-when I see that it has resisted all the efforts of sacobinism sheltering itself under the pretence of a love of liberty when I see that it has support ed itself against the open attacks of its enemies and against more dangerous reforms of its professed friends i that it has defeated the unweared machinetions of France and the no less per severing efforts of jacobins in England and that during the whole of the contest at has musermly maintained the confidence of the neonle of England -I say Sir when I consider all these circum stances, I should be ashained of myself if any former opinions of mine could now induce me to think that the form of represents tion which in such times as the present, has been found amply suf ficient for the purpose of protecting the interests and securing the happiness of the people should be idly and wantenly disturbed from any love of experiment, or any predilection for theory Upon this subject. Sir I think it right to state the inmost thoughts of my mind; I think it right to declare my most decided opinion, that, even if the times were proper for experiments, any oven the slight est change in such a consultation must be considered as an exil. I have been led farther into this subject from the temporary in terruption which I met with than I intended but I did not mean to have passed by the subject of the Itah Members, without accompanying it with some observations on British representation.

I have next to state that however these members may be chosen there is one consideration which cannot full to press itself upon our minds: I mean that by the laws of England care has been taken to present the influence of the crown from becoming too great by too many offices being held by axambers of parliament. In Ireland there are laws of a similar mature but not quite to the same extent so that it might happen that in the hundred mean bers to be chosen there may be a great number holding places. It will occur to gentlem in that some protein ought to be made upon this subject. I feld this continuent as strongly as any man;

but gentlemen must be aware that it is impossible to provide against it by an article of union, to be binding upon the united parhament, because we have found from experience, that the number of offices to be held by members must always remain in the discretion of parliament, to be regulated from time to time as circumstances may require. On the other hand, if no regulation upon this subject is at present in ide, it may happen that in the first hundred members chosen there may be a great number holding places, and consequently under the influence of the crown, who will have to decide in the imperial parliament, upon the extent to which that influence ought to extend. The committee will recollect, that the greater number of the members that are to come over will be the representatives of counties and the great commer-Of these I believe there are not above five or six who hold offices. With respect to the remainder, it must be obvious, from the manner in which they are to be chosen, that it is impossible to ascertain exactly the number of offices they may hold, they cannot, however, exceed the number of twenty Unless, therefore, the numbers of those holding places were so great as to excite real jealousy, it would not be necessary to deprive them of then places in the first instance, as their numbers would not be sufficient to have any great effect in deciding upon the question of the extent of I understand that a motion was made the influence of the crown by a gentleman last week for an estimate upon this subject, but he must beware that such an estimate could not be made up in this country, nor even in Ingland, without great difficulty thing can be more fair than what I shall propose, viz that no more than twenty of the persons so coming over shall hold places, and if it shall happen that a greater number of them than twenty hold places during pleasure, then these who have last accepted them shall vacate their seats, this will, upon the whole, I think. obviate every objection that can be made in point of principle

We then proceed to the number of the other house of parliament, and their precise number, I own, does not appear to me a matter that calls for close investigation or minute inquiry. The number for Scotland, as we all know, is stateen to represent the

of Ireland and an improvement in the system of representation in this house

The next point a the power reserved for his Majesty to create new piers. The objection is that they may be too large for the constituent body and occasion a great deal of inconvenience to that which is elective. To this I amount that they can never exceed a given number, and that it is necessary to give this power to the crown for that the titles in Ireland are under very different circum tances from those of Scotland In Scotland, the titl's of nobility are much more ancient under very diff rent limitations. and must from that yers dull rener of limitation continue much longer than those of Indiand in the one the titles are in descend to collateral branches in the other the patents an mor limited are confined to immediate male descendants and consequently must much sooner expire. In the one the probability of extinc tion is very small in the course of a vast period of time in the other it wind I certainly happen in a short time if the power of adding to or making up the number were not given to the crimin The other part of this article on the frame of the parliament of Ireland relates to controverted elections and the privileges of peerige to such as are not chosen to represent it; then existinue under the same regulation as the peemge of Scotland

The next article relates to the continuance of the church of Inland and of England and of Scotland upon which the articles lifter in nothing from the articles which we ourseless he exist to treland except under the head of a convectal in to which I approximate there can be modified in fidual only in the ones interesting a subject that the prospective of the church of Inland next can be permanent unless the against the time in the law as a grand power to the unital parliament to make since provides on our this respect in a fine beyond may act of the own that can be present be noted in . It may be pay at least problem in at an opportunity of the tricking what may be fitted in the fact his Man in call of early constituting with the year of a paying a subject without section at provide productions of the production of the production

The next is an article of more detail, and on which the discussion may be more laige hereafter than I can expect it to be at present-It is extremely interesting, I mean the article of commerce. I am sure every gentleman in this house is ready to say, that the consequence of the union ought to be a perfect freedom of trade, whether of produce or of manufacture, without exception if possible, or that a deviation from that principle ought to be made only where adhering to it may possibly shake some large capital, or materially diminish the effect of the labour of the inhabitants, or suddenly and violently shock the received opinion or popular prejudices of a large portion of the people, but that, on the whole, the communication between the two kingdoms should in their spirit be free, that no realous, should be attempted to be created between the manufacturers of one place and the other, upon the subject of " raw materials," or any other article for it would surely be considered very narrow policy, and as such would be treated with derision, were an attempt made to create a jealousy between Devonshire and Cornwall, between Lancaster and Durham, between Northumberland and Scotland, between Wales and Chester, Hereford, or any other county. I say then, the principle of the union on this head should be liberal and free, and that no departure from it should ever take place, but upon some point of piesent unavoidable necessity That perfect freedom of trade is your object and your end, and if in any instance you turn aside from that road, you, only do it because you are convinced that on the whole matter you follow the shortest way to arrive at the end of your journey. Lought also to say, that some degree of local inconvenience is not to be set in the way of a great national arrangement, and happy am I to observe, that such is the enlarged judgment, and just and patriotic feeling, of the enterprising merchants of both nations, that they will be found, generally speaking, as forward as any member of this house to act up to the spirit of which I have just taken notice All regulations, therefore, under the heads of bounties or prohibitions, and all subjects of that nature, should be made as moderate and equitable as possible

The parliament of Ireland have added an article of great in portance which is however, consistent with the resolutions trans mitted from this country it is that there shall not only be no new prohibition but those now in existence with a few exceptions, shall be repealed. It is a great satusfaction to me that the articles are few and that the duties do not exceed ten per cent With respect to the woollen, they propose a protecting duty for the period of twenty years With respect to the cotton mana facture, they also propose a protecting duty of ten per cent. but on two important branches of it viz the callico and the muslin for the encouragement of which they are very anxious the duties which they propose are considerably higher. In the whole of these alterations I do not think there is any thing which can give any unconners to our manufacturers except in the single case of the woollen trade. The manufacturers of this country do not. I believe, wish for any protecting duties all they desire is a free intercourse with all the world and though the want of protecting duties may occasion partial loss, they think that amply compenanted by general advantage. In the article of wool I understand there is much difficulty entertained. In the case of manufactures, where capital is invested protecting duties may for a time be required but can any man believe that the exportation of manu factured wool from this country could be productive of any semous inconvenience in the present unexampled prosperity of our trade? Can any man believe that by permitting this exportation capital can be so immediately transferred as to occasion a sudden shock in any part of the country! This is a subject upon which I am anxious to obtain every information but I am inclined to think that the effect of this arrangement will be to encourage the growth of wool in Ireland and that we may draw supplies of it from that country I do not fear that then, will be trade enou, b for both countries in the mark is of the world and in the market which each country will afford to the other; and I have no doubt but that the capitals of Great Britain and Indand will be employed in that species of trade to which it can be applied with the most advantage

I beg paidon of the committee for anticipating with more particularity than was necessary, what may be hereafter said upon some of the subjects on which I have spoken, especially on that of trade; but I have done so because I wished to take a short survey of the general outline of this important subject. I am not aware of any thing very material which I have omitted, but if there should be, it may be supplied hereafter

The next article, and the only one consisting of minute details, relates to apportioning the shares of the revenue of each country respectively. It were a circumstance much to be wished, that the finances of both countries were so nearly alike that the system of both could be identified, but as from the different proportions of debt, and the different stages of civilization and commerce, and the different wealth of the countries, that desirable object is rendered impracticable, at least for some time to come, it becomes an important question, would you defer the advantage of the union because you cannot at once carry it to the extent you would wish? Or will you defer it until, by the increase of the debt of Ireland, and the decrease of the debt of England through the means of the sinking fund, the two countries had so far approximated to each other, that an identity of finance might be established in the first instance? But it had been said, what security can you give to Iteland for the performance of the conditions? If I were asked what security were necessary, without hesitation I would answer, The liberality, the justice, the honour of the people of Great Biitain have never yet been found deficient. I would refer them to former precedents, I would desire them to look at our conduct towards those nations who have already incorporated with us, to Wales and to Scotland, that will convince them that there is the most perfect safety, even if there were no stipulation. But to avoid all suspicion of unduly loading our sister kingdom nith more than her due share of the expenses of the state, to obrate all imputation of partiality, particularly as on that objection the opposers of the union grounded one of their most important objections, the parliaments of both countries have fixed the proportions to be paid by each for a limited time, at the expiration of

which it is presumed the finances of each may so far approximate. that they may be assimilated and identified with a provision nevertheless that if that desirable event can be effected sooner, the Imperial parliament shall have power to make any future revi sion or alteration. It most certainly will be desirable to ascertain, in as exact a proporti n as possible the quantity to be paid by each country The plan I have already proposed is as I think, the best that can be derived not taking it from any one enterion, but from a blended and mixed consideration of the population and the revenue. Upon this the proportions are founded and the ratio fixed for twenty years, unless the Imperial parliament shall make future regulations. And here it may be necessary to observe, that the finances of both countries may be identified although the debt of England should exceed by a large proportion the debt of Ireland because by the rapid increase of the sinking fund it may be as seen discharged as the debt of Ireland and a large debt sooner docharged will be equivalent to a smaller debt require ing a lenger t rin for payment. I have already stated Sir that the proportion of contribution of Ireland is to England, as about seven and one halt to one and this is calculated upon the consumption of the several articles considered both as to their value, and their value compared with their bulk, and upon those articles of general use which seemed to afford the materials for the surest ground of calculation such as male ten sugar and others of that description it is a peared from this investigation that the proportion proposed in thi resolution has been the proportion as nearly as can be ascertained in which Ireland has contributed during the present war. It must be in at eath-factors. Sir, to consilir that in adopting the present the present existing system is not as all disturbed. It will continue in its accustomed proportion and at the same time for the security of Ireland at is privided that any article hall not pay a great risk than the same article pay at the same in England. If then shall remain any ually reserve aft the current expenses are pold at a to be approprieted either to the head two of the debt or for the pur poses of national improvement for that country. There then m main seme other regulations which have for their elect the gra-

dual abolition of all distinction in finance and revenue between the two countries, and to accelerate the time when both countries form but one fund, and pay one uniform proportion of taxes through-It is obveus, while there remains a disproportion of debt, they cannot form one fund, that event cannot take place till by the operation of circumstances that disproportion is destroyed yet, Sir. as I have already observed, the real value of the respective debts may be alike before they are of the same magnitude, because it our sinking fund will discharge four larger debt, before the debt of Ireland can be discharged, though not of equal magnitude, the greater debt discharged in the shorter time may not surpuss the less debt remaining a longer time unpaid, and whenever the real value shall be alike, the finances of both countries may be assimilated and identified, and it will remain in the discretion of the united parliaments to abolish all distinction of quotas and contributions, and fix one rate of taxation throughout the united kingdoms, subject merely to such local abatements as from circuinstances may become necessary

The remaining provisions are such as I conceive no gentleman in this house can object to, they is late to agriculture, and to the allowance to Ireland of a participation of your territorial revenue from India. I hope and trust that this plan is equitable on a large scale, favourable I know it is, satisfactory I hope, to Ireland, and I trust also, that in the main it will appear to this house that the whole has a tendency to accelerate the period of identity as well as union. The last article is one that has in it nothing new, it relates to the courts of justice in Ireland, it preserves to Ireland its civil and ecclesiastical courts, subject to the regulations which the wisdom of the united parliament may adopt

I trust I have made myself understood in what I have stated to the committee under general heads, which will require detail hereafter. I have said enough to satisfy this committee, that the Irish pullament's resolutions are consistent with those which you a laid at the foot of the throne, and pledged yourselves to carry into effect on your part, if ever, by his Majesty's command, they

came again to you for con ideration. When I recollect also that the objection to the resolutions, when they were before the par humant of this country was not so much to the substance of the resolutions themselves, nor the manner in which they were to be submitted to the parliament of Ireland as that the subject should not then be agitated because neither the parliament nor the records were in a state to agree to the measure. I trust I may say that the fears which were expressed on that occasion were illusive and the hope that was entertained has been verified. The ample discussion which every part of this subject has met with (so ample that nothing like its deliberation was ever known before in any legulature) has silenced classour -has rooted out prejudice.l as o er ruled objections,-has an vered all arguments -has refuted all cavils, and caused the tlan to be entirely approved of Both branches of the legislature after long discussion, mature deliberation and laborious inquiry have expressed themselves clearly and decidedly in its tayour. The opinion of the people who from their means of information were most likely because best enabled to form a correct judgment is decidedly in its farmer

Let me not say however for I do not intend it that there were amon, the intelligent part of the public none who were against the measure. I know there were and I know too, that in a question involving so many interests, the same thing will to different individuals appear in different points of view hence arries a diversity of opinion. That has been the case in almost every thing that ever was argued and must be so in every thing that is contisted; but after all it is clear that the parliament was in a situation that the people of Inland were in a situation to judg of this measure. It was not because the measure was not signically opposed the friend of the measure have had to stand arginst the threats of popular viol-nee-against the enemies of the government under the lead of protestants -arainst the violent m and inflame I spirit and ferer attack of the Ireh catholic, and mainst the mategat of all exils the spirit of all on chief the imriacal te opr estion and det emined bottility of furious Jacobinism; the, had to meet the inflamed partions of disappose of ambition,

which in arther usen diprotect of significal paragraphs, under religher to be consisted in soften to extent, while the best tendered to to, Involutionary A early or for converged within a wild reduce the state to end but her this are reported to rates. sale dingulation por two attraperation over land to a not tern and to proceed by their let that their each fury were the energytic, there product is the creek, and their hop colliners. The member the come bear had to stort a most the pentils the shirt to execut and unhappyly and used the late Irish islather than had be routed from the next but turn corn er er er the transle and companies of reglement technical tech enon beeing them but the union. At tit find a nation to present a order become now than like of a non-one expection of their logics. We have viet that the studies of perhapsens and the goal's for of the people of helical has precalled ever that might have or fire, or haves in the frontento copported, and the enemy at a opposit the great natural of pres, and are enabled Is all that he hope icu, to mile, pretty accurately of the sending the of bott, with their tendence or effect on the top of the Ren's empire. It is neder that confinues that I no what I am rue deing, and and continue to do what ver is ty depend on me, to submit to the committee all measure an enter entry this prest and important note to its full, and, I trust, speedy accomphsheicht.

Mn Pirr then proposed the resolutions voted by the Irish parhament, for the adoption of the committee

Upon which Mr. Grey i inriediately mosted an amendment, "That an humble reddress be presented to his Majesty, praying that he will be eraciously pleased to direct his ministers to suspend all proceedings on the Irish Union, till the sentiments of the Irish people respecting that measure can be atternanced."

Mr. Pitt concluded the debate v ith a short reply

We were told, Sir, by honourable gentlemen last year, when the parliament was against the union, "reject it" they tell us they night, when we know the parliament have voted the union, 7.1

" appeal to the people". I never can consent to such doctrine There may be occasions but they will ever be few, when an appeal to the people is the Just mode of proceeding on important subjects. The present is not a fit moment to appeal to the people of Ireland when, if we did so the whole economy of our legisla tive system the eastomary proceedings in cases which involve the rights and liberties of the people, the jurisprudence of the coun try would be thrown into confusion and all this at a moment when we are about to effect that which the parliament of Great Britain has declared essential to the peace of Ireland and to the safety of the empire. The ground that honourable gentlemen tale to press this appeal is not less remarkable. They do it because they would know what is the opinion of the people of Ire lead which they assume before hand is against the umon. If they believ this, let them give us the proof for theirs is the nsertion. But Sir I adhere to the onimon of the parliament of Irriand and will not ther fore consent to a convocation of primary assemblies, and of bodies of men to vote addresses found ed on I reach principles arrayed as they would be against legisla tive authority and constitutional freedom. However did we er in resort to the people, who would take the expression of their ortulon given aimidst tumult to the tury of passion? Who w uld a sume that opinion as fitting to be adopted for the rule of e induct in a great political undertaking? On the subject of any expeal in the 11 sent in times, it would be well if gentlemen recollected at at was very jacpetly and as far as it affected to go e acon a ratel i them ble lord who not last. It cannot I man mer that the housen's release ndopt. I the determination of e is part initially but he acted on an opinion subsemining due virlang to be change of time and encountainer I work to bit ent to that cultur opinion on the affire bill the identification specially during the present war of La Santher of mit explicitly sents Insomuch that tinng otler n ur ribe c aviction of the entire approbation

of the people of the measures of his Majesty's government, have retired from this house, have chosen to neglect their duty to their constituents, to desert the post of honour, or of danger, because those measures are approved of by the people. Such then is the fallacy of the general opinions of those honourable persons who, added to the weight of their own very grave authority, ask us this night to resort to public meetings, there to collect the sentiments of a mixed populace Could the appeal be made, what pledge do the honourable gentlemen give that the meeting shall be orderly, decent, and temperate? Those gentlemen have a ruling passion, which seems on all great occasions to incline them to unfull the banr us of popularity to the mob. but leaders have not less frequently paid the forfest than followers. The honourable member has quoted a great master of hum in nature*, to illustrate his opinion of a popular election. That poet, as if he had foreseen the period, as if the political intrigues of common balls had been familiar to him, has well pourtiaged the character of such a The returns of members by common halls, and the subsequent actum by scrutiny, have shown how practised are certain politicians in the art of swelling the number of a popular meeting Yet such seems to be the necessary consequence of popular appeals. When I consider how defective must be any opinion that is the result of an appeal to a people wholly influenced by a few factious demagogues, I must tell the honourable gentleman, I could not adopt the opinion of the people of Ireland collected at primary assemblies But the people of Ireland approve of the

^{*} Mr Grey, in describing the clandestine manner in which the Irish petitions had been obtained in favour of the Union, had quoted the lines of Buckingham in Shakespeare's King Richard the Third,

[&]quot; Some followers of mine own,

[&]quot; At lower end o' the hall, hurl'd up their caps,

[&]quot; And some ten voices cried, God save King Richard!

[&]quot; And thus I took the 'vantage of those few-

[&]quot; Thanks, gentle citizens and friends, quoth I,

[&]quot; This general applause, and cheerful shout,

[&]quot; Argues your wisdom, and your love to Richard"

union they have in effect concurred in it; and it becomes the wisdom of the parliament of Creat Brituin to consolidate the interests of the two countries, by agreeing to a measure of which the certain operation will be to promote and perpetuate the prespenty

(JUNE 27,

The amendment was rejected,

92

Ayes 30 Note 216

the power the resources, and the independence of the empire.

And the three first revolutions were then moved and carried without further opposition.

June 27, 1800

Ow a motion by Mr Sheridan, for a Call of the Hoese on that day fort night,

MR PITT rose and replied to the honourable mover as follows:

Sir having attentively listened to the observations made by the honourable gentleman and considered the nature of his motion, I think myself warranted in maintainin, that the whole of his argument applies to one or two propositions. His chief object is calculated to lead to an immediate peace with the French republic or to induce the house to address his Majesty no longer to place his confidence in his present ministers. As far as the call of the house goes I would leave it to others rather than take a part in the mere propriety of the measure; and I shall content myself with observing that the call must in every possible view prove uscless. It is eridently unnecessary because if the question be admitted to possess strong claims to attention ats importance will operate as powerfully as any call of the house in producing the desired attendance. Were the house to acquesce in the motion of the hon urable gentleman at compliance would produce many senous inconvinences. But returning to the speech of the henourable gentleman I cannot but remark that if I were to at ue on the names which he lad stated. I should not trouble the bonse at any lemath; not indied and aim I inclined to say much as

most of his arguments are founded upon the iccent news* to which he has thought proper to allude, and which he undertakes to inform us is rendered so certain and authentic that it should, in his opinion, cause a complete change in the sentiments entertained and recognised by gentlemen during the whole of the session. I know not what to think of the honourable gentleman's idea of authenticity, but to draw any conclusion from the statements of the enemy alone, will scarcely convey to an unprejudiced mind a correct notion of authenticity. However authentic and official the articles of intelligence in question may be, though they do not even go so far as to be supported by the credit of the French papers-for I certainly have not seen them there-yet to draw a conclusion from such authorities without any farther inquiry, upon a subject in which all Europe is implicated, and in the prosecution of which our exertions have been so honourable to ourselves. would be to decide without the common grounds necessary to form an opinion On the imperfect and partial information of the enemy, the British house of commons is called upon to interpose its advice with the executive government, it is called upon to exercise a power which it should never exercise without the most mature deliberation, and in cases of uigent necessity, for it is an extraordinary power, -it is called upon to concur in an address to his Majesty, without investigation, without a fair comparison of facts, and all this strange and unaccountable interference, so eagerly pressed by the honourable gentleman, is made to rest upon the authority of French papers It appears, that to propose this conduct to the house is to propose, that, without knowing the extent of the advantages gained by the enemy; without ascertaining the sum of the losses sustained by our ally; without consulting that ally with respect to the vigour of his present situation, and the magnitude of his future resources, whatever calamitous reversés he may have recently experienced, we should at once come to a decision, sudden and unexpected, where cool reflection and serious inquiry are most necessary I trust, Sir, the house

^{*} The defeat of the Austrians at Marengo

union they have in effect concurred in it; and it becomes the wisdom of the parliament of Great British to consolidate the finerests of the two countries by agreeing to a measure of which the certain operation will be to promote and perpetuate the prosperity the power the resources, and the independence of the empire.

The amendment was rejected,

Ayes 50

Note ; 236

And the three first resolutions were then moved and carried without further

obbogitore
Vett the first responsors were frem moved with critical authorit impro-

June 27, 1800

Ow a motion by Mr. Sheridan, for a Call of the House on that day fort-airbit.

Mr. PITT rose and replied to the honourable mover as follows:

Sir having attentively listened to the observations made by the honourable centleman and considered the nature of his motion, I think myself warminted in maintaining that the whole of his argument applies to one or two propositions. His chief object is call culated to lead to an immediate peace with the French republic or to induce the house to address his Majesty no longer to place his confidence in his present ministers. As far as the call of the house goes. I would leave it to others rather than take a part m the mere propriety of the measure and I shall content surveil with observing, that the call must in every possible view prove useless. It is evidently unnecessary because if the question be admitted to possess strong claims to attention, its importance will operate as powerfully as any call of the louse in produce to desired attentance. Were the i of the h nourable centl man at cur e nous inconveniences. But return here urald genthman I cannot but re argue on the masons which he had stated

the house at any length mortindeed am I mel

I flatter myself, that when the real question for the decision of the house is fairly explained, all differences must cease, and all topics of division be suspended Believing it to be equally the object of

present high price of provisions, have induced me to call you together at an earlier period than I had otherwise intended. No object can be nearer my heart, than that, by your care and wisdom, all such measures may be adopted, as may, upon full consideration, appear best calculated to alleviate this severe pressure, and to prevent the danger of its recurrence, by promoting, as far as possible, the permanent extension and improvement of our agriculture

"For the object of immediate relief, your attention will naturally be directed, in the first instance, to the best mode of affording the earliest and the most ample encouragement for the importation of all descriptions of grain from abroad. Such a supply, aided by the examples which you have set on former occasions, of attention to economy and frugality in the consumption of corn, is most likely to contribute to a reduction in the present high price, and to ensure, at the same time, the means of meeting the demands for the necessary consumption of the year

"The present circumstances will also, I am persuaded, render the state of the laws respecting the commerce in the various articles of provision, the object of your serious deliberation. If, on the result of that deliberation, it shall appear to you that the evil necessarily arising from unfavourable seasons has been increased by any undue combinations or fradulent practices, for the sake of adding unfairly to the price, you will feel an earnest desire of effectually preventing such abuses, but you will, I am sure, be careful to distinguish any practices of this nature from that regular and long established course of trade which experience has shewn to be indispensable, in the present state of society, for the supply of the markets, and for the subsistence of my people.

"You will have seen with concern the temporary disturbances which have taken place in some parts of the kingdom. Those malicious and disaffected persons who cruelly take advantage of the present difficulties to excite any of my subjects to acts in violation of the laws and of the public peace, are, in the present circumstances, doubly criminal, as such proceedings must necessarily and immediately tend to increase, in the highest degree, the evil complained of, while they, at the same time, endanger the permanent tranquillity of the ountry, on which the well being of the industrious classes of the community must always principally depend.

" The voluntary exertions which have on this occasion been made for the im-

arisen who well understood the foundation on which it stood could think for a moment that, to redress any supposed miscaled which in times of peculiar scarcity and distress monoclopy might be supposed to have occasioned it would be right to strike at the freedom of trade and the application of industry and capital. To do so would be to hime us back to something worse than the system that prevailed five hundred years ago son much as the state of the country, the distribution of property and the employments of industry, were so infinitely different from what obtained at the period when that system prevailed. Indeed nothing could be more about than to suppose that such a scheme even though satired to the area from which it is derived could be applicable to the new interests and deman is of another state of society.

But the system recommended by hu Majesty is equally removed from these opposite extreme. It is that which true wisdom and enlarged policy alone will recognize it is that aline. I am convinced which the house will pursuo in the application of the remedies which the case may require. I arliament will inquire it will collect facts, it will seek information it will examine eridence, and if an abuse is proved to exist the remedy will be canvassed upon its own ments.

It is not my with in this stage of the business, to state any equinous which I may have altraidy conceived upon the subject. In proceeding to the minute investigation of the subject however it i not amiss to point out the errors on both sides, from which remedial policy ought to be exempt. There are some sorts of remains under hit in that to how can in me case be employed as then may be about which it will be the d sin of parliament to correct by every monas in it power to emply. All however that the present question requires is to express an rendiness to concur in the remaines necessary to promote importation and economy. For this purpose no time for deliteration can be required we must already be prepared on three points with a clar epision, and sendy to yields ourselves it give them the utmost of et.

On the causes by which the present high price is occas and

there are, no doubt, many opinions, both the extent of the evils and the remedy have been disputed. The question is embarrassed by many prejudices. Some, whose motives are unquestionable, and the humanity of whose views is conspicuous, may have been led to give encouragement to the errors, and a sanction to the clamous which have prevailed on the subject. Others, whose motives are more doubtful, have endeavoured to combine two distinct grounds of prejudice, and to connect the scarcity with the Thus upon two subjects, each in itself liable to much misconception, and in its nature demanding a cool examination, violent clamour has been rused; I trust, however, that there are but few who think it wise or useful to connect the discussion of these two topics. The causes of the scarcity, and the policy and necessity of the war, present distinct subjects of consideration; and none will blend the discussion of the latter with the former, who wish only to communicate information, and to suggest remedies

An honourable baronet*, and an honourable gentleman + near him have, indeed, attempted to connect the argument but, with all deference to then talents, I confess I should, before advancing any thing in reply, wish to hear what more weighty arguments might be urged in support of the same side. It appears to me, that, on a general view, no man can contend that the war has any material tendency to increase an evil which can be traced to other But, I perceive from the gestures of gentlemen opposite, that the doctime, of which I had given the credit to the honourable baronet and the honourable 'gentleman who spoke last, is more generally cutertained. On this point, then, we shall have an opportunity of a more detailed discussion on a future day. I must think, however, that it is not too much to expect from the candour, from the good sense, from the prudence of gentlemen on the other side, that the consideration of the high price of provisions should be guided only by views of public benefit; that no matter should be introduced into it for the purpose of collatera

^{*} Sir Francis Burdett.

effect for the purpose of creating undue feeling and unfounded clamours. By this candour I shall endeavour to guide my own conduct, and I shall be sorry to remark any deviation from it in others.

But since this question has been started. I beg leave to finit a

few general observations, which seem completely to overthrow the argument of those (if there be any) who smously impute the dear ness of provisions to the war. In a more detailed discussion I shall be ready to examine separately the effect of every tax which has been imposed ince the year 1,93; to state the utmost effect which it could be supposed to have produced in-city or indirectly on the price of grain and to prove that these taxes could form, even on the most exa gerated computation a very incon identific part of the men and price of providers. To sher that the war has not any general effect to raise the price of grain comider only the price of grain at different periods of the present war though the an ument would be strengthened by a review of former wars Three or four years have been years of comparative high price In the years 1794 and 1795 the price was high but in the interral of nearly three years that succeeded that is from about Michaelmas 1796 to Midsummer 1799 the price sunk perhaps too low for the fair profit of the farmer. The general price then in Lingland (to which I confine my remark) was from 4% to 49s. a quarter From Vichaelmas 1798 to Lady day 1799 it was How then if the war were the cause of the dear not above 48s new did it happen that the effect which on the hypothesis should have been increasing was surjeed d luring an interval of nearly three years; and alun likewise during these years, some of the taxes to which the effect is chiefly membed had been im a seed? I revious to the lat mentioned period (one of great cheapness; the triple assessment had exact dia tachemonth and mu there produce lats full effect. This plan fact is alone worth a thousand infrances leduced by empitious nationings. I know not whether this met will be an numer to the organients that I Inversity t board but I think it is not les the sufficient inniver to those of the hor ourable haronet. In matters of this kind, it is the shortest way to employ such plain and familiar reasoning, and though it may not always be a safe and solid mode of argument to presume against the validity of an objection, I am persuaded that arguments like that which I have mentioned will often be found to answer by anticipation the statements by which they are op-I shall not enter into any comparative statement of the prices in former wars, nor misist on the ingenious arguments that have been adduced to show that war is tayourable to lowness of price. It is descrying of remark, however, that this country, which from the period of the revolution, for a great part of the present century, had been used to export great quantities of grain, ceased to export and began to import in the middle of that peace which succeeded the most successful war in which this country ever was engaged. Thus it is clear, from a deduction of facts, that was of itself has no evident and recessary connection with the dearness of provisions, and that there can be no reason for at all combining the question of scarcity with the distinct inquity respecting the policy of the war

There may, indeed, mise much difference on particular facts, on points of inference, and the nature of legislative operation, but there are leading principles that must be common to all who enter upon the discussion with candid and liberal sentiments consideration of the present calamity we ought, as men of humanity, to look at it with the deepest feelings of compassion for the distresses of our fellow-creatures, as public men, with a profound sense of the importance of watching over the welfare of the industrious classes of the community, as men of prudence, who are bound to provide for their interests, and who will not stoop to flatter their errors, we ought to consider it as a malady affecting the state, but one in a delicate spot, not to be incautiously touched-not to be treated with new and violent remodies -to follow untried theories must be peculiarly fatal in a matter of so much nicety, and wherein citors must be of the most malignant and extensive mischief. In the prosecution of the inquiry, we ought to be open to information, indefatigable to examine, but sareful to weigh, and cautious to proceed when the speculation

of corrective regulation would lead to overthrow the good that we have proved for projects not even recommended by plausibility

As to the extent of the deficiency of the late harvest it would be no less rath than amnecessary to give any opinion. For the practical remedies proposed, a knowledge of the precise deficit is not required. This however we know that notwithstanding the clamour about monopoly previous to the harvest it is now unl versally admitted that the old stock was very nearly exhausted An early harvest, therefore, found us with less stock than usual; of course that stock unless aided by importation from abroad and economy of our own resources, must be applicable to the consumption of a shorter period of time than usual. Having already mentioned substitutes and remarked that experience had rendered us more familiar with their utility and the mode of their application, I shall just mention how they may be rendered more effectual on the present occasion. We know that last year the crops failed almost generally in all the articles of provision. This year, th meh wheat is short several other kinds (particularly barley) are plentiful both at home and abroad By the due application of the resources of economy and of substitutes, soined to importation, I am satisfied that the supply of the year will be made to answer the consumption 1 do not with to under rate the difficulties of cur situation but this I will agent, that, if we emiloy proper precaution and exercise becoming firmness, we have in our own wer the remedy for the listress under which the country labours I do not imagine indeed that any extraordinary and rapid di minution of price is to be expected; but if he abstrain from all ru h experiment in the establi hed course of trude, there is the best r ason to think that there will be a comiderable reduction of price a reduction gradual and permanent one that will alleviate the districts of the poor, without risking that increase of consurartion which on hit so much in the present circumstances to be Besides the actual deficiency this year the late high thees might be accounted fir on a fleeting that the stock of last year was admitted, the the farmer must have been unable both

to provide for the demands of the market, and to prepare for the supplies of seed which a more favourable season had required

This of itself is sufficient to explain the high price for several weeks, without supposing any great deficiency of crop, or any improper aits to keep back grain and to starve the market certainly was an unfortunate error to ascube the prices too much either to the deficiency on the one hand, or to monolopy on the In the one case it gave a sanction to high price, and in the other to unfounded popular clamour. The past puces, however, I am fully convinced, ought not to be taken as a proof and index of what future prices may be - If the order of things by which the market has so long been regularly supplied be not disturbed by impolitic interference, if we are prudent to encourage importation, and firm to oppose all useless waste, there must in the course of the year be a gradual abatement of price. In fact, as soon as the effect of importation and economy begin to be felt, no regulation will be necessary to supply the market and to reduce the price. The most prejudiced will see, that the surest remedy for monopoly, if it has existed (and I do not believe it has existed to any considerable extent), is to increase the quantity and to diminish the consumption, to which highness of price must essentially con-It coin has been kept up, it will be sufficient to bring it out, to show both to the grower and consumer that we have the means of rendering the supply of the whole year adequate to the A proper diminution of price will then ensue for no man who truly estimates the difficulty of our real situation, and the means by which alone it can be relieved, would desire that in a time of scarcity the price should experience a temporary depression to what it would be in a time of plenty. This would be to remove the necessary and most effectual corrective of scarcity.

I trust, therefore, that one of our first measures will be, to go into a committee of the whole house, to fix the quantum of bounty to be allowed on importation. I should next propose, that a select committee be appointed to investigate the subject of the scarcity, and to this committee will be referred that part of the king's speech which refers to this point. I should propose like.

wise that the committee shall from time to time recommend such measures as seem on the result of its inquiry fit to be adopted. I do not wish to anticipate any of their measures but one suggests itself which may be of great benefit as a regulation particularly from the order of the sound of the probability of the probability of the probability of the probability of the participation of the probability of the

ing prints respecting the scarcity. On the question of peace or war I hall only observe that as the papers on which the ments f the case must be decided are not yet before the house it would be premature to enter at large into the discussion. There certainly in thin in the address which pledges any opinion of the bouse on that coint this pledge it gives indeed which no man I hope e il hank from that if peace cannot be concluded on terms consee at with public faith with the national honour and interests we shall continue to support his Vinjesty with that firmness deei con and energy which this house has uniformly duplayed. I carnot auticipate any difference of opinion on this head. The speech states what will no doubt appear distinctly from the communications that are about to be laid before parliament that his May us es ald not negociate without separating his interests from the e of his allies and the importance of those alliances is justifled by the derive of the enemy to dissolve thom. If, then the enemy a lyanced a pretension so unbeard-of as that his Majesty as the price of connection with them should break his faith to there alles with whom he was connected; If as the price of being united in mosts with his Majests. I rence wished to put an end to th uri n which substited between him and his affice surely I ou ht not to presume that in such a preliminary to a presciation en memor of this house will and conditions, which prove the stigenes of the wisho pretend to be the fill adsoft general tranquil hty or conditions to which his Majesty could have accorded. I

trust, therefore, that as unanimity is desirable on every occasion, the house will without delay, and with a concurrence approaching to unanimity, proceed to declare its readiness to adopt such measures as alone are calculated to afford relief to the community. This is the only way to prove a sincere and enlightened regard to the interests and well-being of the poor. By shewing a real and substantial regard to their happiness, we shall guard against the consequences of the false and dangerous expectations with which, by factious persons, they have been deluded on the subject of the remedies of which their sufferings admit. Pailiament cannot by any charm convert scarcity into plenty; but it is something to shew that no time is lost in adopting every practicable means of alleviating the present distress, and ensuring the regular subsistence In the further discussion let us proceed with cauof the people tion, and examine with impartiality. Let us act with proper temper, firmness and sobriety, that we may be able to discover where the , cause of the evil really rests, and apply the remedy which will be truly serviceable.

The House, after negativing an amendment proposed by Mr Grey, agreed to the address without a division

November 27, 1800.

On a motion by Mr Tierney for the House to resolve itself into a committee, to inquire into the State of the Nation,

Mr PITT spoke to the following effect.

Sir—The honourable gentleman* in the speech which he has just concluded, has gone over a most extensive range of argument, and indeed has extended the topics of discussion beyond the notice which he first gave of his intention. It seemed to be his original view to confine the object of the inquiry he proposed to move, to points connected with the high price of provisions. He talked of moving to have the governor of the bank examined respecting the

influence which the operations of the hank and of paper circula bon might have produced upon price; but he has now abandoned these restrictive views; he has not mentioned a word of the examinution of the governor of the bank, and has thought it better to more for a commutee of the whole house on the state of the nation, as best fitted to investigate that infinite variety of subjects which he has duelt upon as the grounds of inquiry It is natural, therefor that the honourable centlemans topics should be numerous. The question of peace and war the operations of our military force the conduct of those by whom they are planned or exccuted our alliances; our fimmenal situation; the state of our constitutional rights, though introduced by the honourable scatterian in a parenthesis; our internal circumstances, with which the dramess of provisions and its remedies are all connected thus form the natural topics to which a motion, like that which has been made must be directed

110

The honourable gentleman has said what is true undoubtedly of every important occasion in which this house is called upon to deliberate that the eyes of the country are upon us. The eyes of the country maked are most currently fixed upon us. They look with expectation as they must feel the good or the lead consequences which result from our decisions. The measures in which the house has been on upied during the preceding part of the session have in the li host degree en ros ed the attention of the public and their hopes have not been disappointed. They are the attention of parliament directed to the consideration of the difficulties under which the community labours and employing every practical remedy to alleviate their di tres. I am convinced too that the people are well aware that there do most for their cause and are most sincerely impressed with their sufferings who confine them selves most closely to the mans hate of jeet of relieving the calamitl's und r which the hat ur I do rut say that the whole si tuation of the econity may n t f rm a fit sulject for inquiry in a committee of the wholl home if sining and conclusi e grounds finding the established But I must contend that a committee

fith malion is that which for the last hundred years

800.] PARLIAMENT

The instances when it led to an ed in some urgent cases, where the instances when it led to an ed in some urgent cases, where the topic of inquiry had a direct e committee on the India bills, the government. Such were the fortunate illness of his Majesty. and, more recently, during the was to be determined. At prewhen the question of the regency ed is, whether the circumstances sent the only thing to be consider and that general inquiry which of our situation be such as to define and, or specific investigations the honourable gentleman reconnect.

directly leading to practical meas omplicated question of peace and With respect to the large and dipon every other point of national war, I believe that upon that, as e turned upon parliament, but I interest, the eyes of the people at 10d they do not expect that they do believe that at the present pel sion or of our discussion I becan form the subject of our dec the house and of the public upon lieve, that the general feeling of that the question is no otherwise the subject of peace and war is mbled, than in this respect, that changed since we were last ass as given the strongest and most since that period his Majesty e desne for peace he has shown inequivocal proofs of his since rifices for the attainment of so dewillingness to make great sads have been frustrated by the unan object; and his effor mands of the enemy, which have hable and unexampled de a negociation. Under these cirted the setting on foot sud hous for the attainment of peace. want one consistent way of contributing to that object confidence in his Majesty's gobe to continue to place the to done, to strengthen his hands, vernment which they have hith the support which has been given

and to teach our enemies, that d with that firmness and determito his Majesty will be continue attended with such happy effects nation, which has hither to been, upon these general grounds, it Having stated thus much, I thuse other side of the house to prove, rests with the gentlemen on this local for a particular nurnose, and when the general state of things seems only to confirm us in the determination with which we so lately separated, of supporting this contest with steadiness, it rests. I say with the gentlemen on the other side to state what are the new grounds upon which they call upon us to inquire. When Sir I ask for new grounds it may perhaps be a little uncandid with respect to the motion itself, because the greater part of the objects which the honourable gentle man has represented as calling for inquiry are objects with respect to which it is impossible to give new grounds for the house must have perceived that most of the events to which he has al luded are such as he has had frequent opportunities (and the honourable gentleman cannot be accused justly of having neglected many of them) of bringing under the consideration of the house He has frequently made them the subjects of motions, and stated them as fit cases of inquiry and the house has as often had opportunities of expressing its opinion on these points. Thus every part of his argument respecting the conduct of the war (except only that part of it which relates to creats which have happened since the month of July last) has been over and over again discussed and decided upon. I might, therefore upon all these topics, unless the honourable gentleman had advanced something new, which he certainly ha not, have contented myself with referring to the former d cr-sons of parliament upon them when the events were still tresh in the memory of every one

But Sir I confess that the mode of recapitulation which the homourable gentleman has employed I cannot allow to past without a imadicision. The himourable gentleman begins with remarking upon a diclaration of my right honourable friend that the present was a war of unexampled success; but he did injustice to the assertion by omitting the limitation with which it was coupled namely that it was a war of this campled success in relation to the share which Creat Britania ad taken and with regard to live peculiar interests. That my right honourable friend justicen is strictly just appears even from the administration.

of the honourable gentleman. He allows that that part of our national force, that which he himself and his friends have extolled as the only service on which we should rely for defence, has been glanous and successful beyond any former example. Does not this prove that in regard to the peculiar share of this country in the contest, it has been most successful? Why then, even upon the view of a joint war of various success, and embracing so many objects, does the honourable gentleman choose to keep out of consideration that part of it recognised to be our particular province, and implying an exclusive merit? How can be aftirin that the war has been full of disgrace, when our navy, by his own confession, has acquired such unrivilled distinction? This then is the candour with which the honourable gentleman commences a motion for such various and extensive inquiry. But does the honourable gentleman say, that, on the general view which he takes, those naval exertions in which he exults have been attended with no advantage to the cause of Lurope? Does he think it nothing to have completely destroyed the navy and commerce of our rival? Is it nothing to have protected our own trade, to have augmented our own resources, by the spoil of the enemy's possessions? But not to dwell on these clear and undemable testimonics of separate success and peculiar advantage, will it be said that our allies have derived no advantage from the victories of the British fleets? If our military operations were even to be laid out of view, if we were to forget for a moment that our armies have, on different occasions, given the most important aid to the common cause, that they have never encountered in the field the force of the encmy without reaping their full share of glory, considering the benefits that have resulted from our naval exploits alone, have we had no share in contributing to the defence of Europe? Does the honourable gentleman recollect the achievement of the gallant Lord Nelson, whose merit he so highly extolled? Does he think that, great as was our share of the glory and success of that galtant admiral's exploits, we engrossed them all? Does he think that the same of the battle at Abouki did not pervade all Europe? Does he think that it was partial in its effects, or fleeting in its

corner of the globe. It added a new lustre to the British character and inspired a new reverence for the British name; which I will not say the honourable gentleman's speeches, but not even the effect of any future calamity can ever be able to efface. The noble commander deserved the panegyric the honourable gentleman pronounced on him. It was he that gave the direction to the bravery of his companions, and to the force with which he was entrusted which carried so plentiful a burvest of glory to the country But it is no derogation from the ment of Lord Velson, or from the real and courage of those who seconded his enterprises, to tak whose exertions made that fleet disposable? Was there no ment in amplying the means by which the battle of Aboular was fought? The honomable gentleman asked was not intelligence to be pur chased? Might not ministers have ascertained the destination of the fleet that sailed from Toulon? To that species of foresight which determines by the event, there may seem no judgment requalite to weigh and to compare intelligence and to draw a just conclusion from contradictory or doubtful information. Can it be forgott in with what unparalleled sceresy Sir Roger Curtis was de tached to the Mediterranean on pretence of being sent to guard Ireland against threatened invasion; and that he had actually ar rived there before his coming was suspected-before it was known in this country that he had gone thither? Does the honourable gentleman think that this virilance and precaution had no share in producing that achievement to aluch he pays so just a tribute of admiration? It is impossible. It requires but the short enume ration I have made to draw from the honourable gentlemans ad missions a testimony in favour of the vigilance and conduct of administration Review our operations; let us consider whether they have been of advantage to Lurope Can it be forgotten how often our successes have animated our allies depressed and dis couraged to new efforts in their own defence? If we often have the achievements of our many enabled our niles to combine new mea sures of resistance against the common enemy? How often has the greatest separate success Leen felt and recognised as a new impulse

identified with those of France who will deny that it was politic and necessary to prevent the possessions of the Dutch from being converted into instruments of hostility in the hands of their subduers, against a people who had disinterestedly exerted themselves for their protection?

The same course of argument was employed in regard to Spalo

we were told by the honourable gentleman that we had rendered Spain but little inclined to annoy us an active and important ally of our enemy Spain he says was our friend Well 1 Did she not abandon us in definance of the most solemn engagements? I do not recollect that, in the discussions which the subject of the par has so often produced a single voice was ever heard in this house to doubt the pusillanimity the want of faith the atrocaty which distinguished the treacherous departure of the councils of Madrid from the cause of Europe Never was there a single voice heard to doubt the justice of our warfare against a state that basely shrunk from the ties of a generous confederacy to the degradation of a hollow alliance with the for she detested. If then Spain like Holland in loriously ferrook a manly though a dan gercus structle and became the humble vasal of France were we to allow the prependerance of the enemy to draw forth and embody against us all the means of Spain? Were we to see the navy of Spain united to that of France without an effort to disconcert or to punish that foul association? Can we forget that the only achavement of the French fleet escaped for a moment from years of blockade was to sail to Cadiz and bring off in triumph " the Spanish fleet to be retained in Brest partly as an hostage against Spain and as an instrument of hostility against this country? And does the honourable gentleman think it provoking the Spaniarus; that it is unmanly unnecessary hosplity to prevent the remnant of the many of Spain from being surrendered into the hands of the enemy -no less as a bodge of the ruin and submission of that we teled kin dom, than as affording additional means to our mals to execute their plan of invetorate aerimony towards the peace and prosperity of the lints h copier ?

The bimourable gratieman ran over the catalogue of the colonial

possessions we had acquired with a strange air of indifference, as if what he enumerated had been something too vile and worthless I do not intend merely more than the honourable to dwell upon gentleman to dwell upon these points, though the consideration that it was a review of our triumphs, of the memorials of our glory might render the survey not unpleasant or unprotitable. Martinique, St. Lucie, Tobago! And does the honourable gentleman really proceed through the enumeration with that sovereign contempt which he professes? I recollect that, in the last peace, in which I had some share, these islands in the West-Indies were supposed to have no small importance. The honourable gentleman was not then in parhament, and there is nothing of system or connexion in his opinion to lead me to conjecture what might have been his sentiments on the topics then disputed. But I remember well that some of those gentlemen, whom I have long been accustomed to see opposite to me, and one or two of whom I still perceive, particularly one honourable gentleman,* whose accuracy will correct me if I am wrong, contended strenuously for the importance of these islands. St Lucie alone was represented to be something equal in value to Martinique, which was called the key of the West Indies I know not, indeed, how their value may now have been sunk, though, in all the circumstances which attended the last peace, the cession of Tobago alone was considered as a shameful abandonment of our national interests Those who clamoured for that peace were, I confess, sufficiently disposed to object to its provisions after it was concluded. notwithstanding, however, the situation of the country, and the circumstances under which the American was terminated, all authorities admitted the importance of those islands which the honourable gentleman now holds so cheap

The honourable gentleman mentions Newfoundland as another of our conquests. Newfoundland we could not conquer, because we had not lost it, but we took the islands of St Pierre and Miquelon. I need not, I am sure, Sir, inform the house, that the

fishenes of Newfoundland have been for a century the constant object of realthip between France and Lugland from the peace of Utrecht to the present time it has formed one of the strongest objections to the last peace was, that the dutinet reserved for our fisheries was not large enough and therefore Sir I cannot think the catalogue of our conquests quite so triding and unimportant as the honourable gentleman seems inclined to represent it

May I venture to ask the h nourable gentleman whether tha possession of Minorca is of importance to this country though in enumerating our acquisitions it almost escaped his notice? The honourable centleman did not indeed forget the environ of Malta but he say, we must not mention it as an acquisition because it did not belong to France at the beginning of the war. The honourable centleman seems, indeed to have set down a very extraordinary and whimsical regulation with respect to what we are to call acquisitions. He enlarges upon the injury which the country will sustain from the French being in possession of Egypt : but if it is an injury surely our possessing Malia must be in our favour either to facilitate our efforts for driving them out of Egypt or to render their possession of it less disadvantageous to us. But mark the singulanty and consulency of the honourable centleman's area ment we must not take any credit from the conquest of Mults because the French did not powers it before the war wet the advantage which the French will derive from the possession of Egypt is strenuously insisted upon though they were not in possession of it at the commencement of hostilities! But it is said that we has absorbed all the pollesions of the Dutch. It is true that we obtained materia n of there places which however latte their intrinsic, alor to us may be an object of great importance as the keys of the ea t Will it be could that if ever the Dutch should again be deposed to renew it at alliance with us, which in former times has proved no less beneficial to both enuntries than to Lumps in general it will be more advantageous & r them to have those present to unit the guardianship and keep of Great Britain than in the hands of France? We know that in 1787 t my would have been served as instruments of annotance to this country they would now have been employed to the same purpose. We were bound by self-defence to anticipate the enemy's designs—we were bound to prevent the wealth and resources of the Dutch, the means of feeding their riches, from being transferred to the enemy by whom they were oppressed

Reviewing then the circumstances and success of this wai, with the events of former wars, even those to which the public may look with particular triumph, or individuals with a fond partiality, I cannot think that the present yields, in the importance of its success, to the most brilliant period of our history I shall not compare it minutely with the glory of the Duke of Marlborough's war, nor with the glorious successes of the seven years war advantages have been as extensive, as solid, and as important as any that ever were purchased by our armies. There is one point which I have omitted, and which the honourable gentleman nearly forgot altogether, and that is, the glorious success which has, attended our aims in India, under the direction of a noble friend of mine*, successes which have increased and consolidated our empire in that quarter of the world. The honourable gentleman wishes to compare what has been done lately in India with former achievements there it is impossible to make the comparison The noble Marquis has performed every thing that could be done in the present moment. Will the honouiable gentleman not admit, that the destruction of the power of Tippoo Saib was an event of the greatest and most important advantage to this country. Our? conquests from Holland and Spain, are to be laid out of the question, because they were our friends · but was Tippoo our friend ? Was he forced by France into the war against us? Was he not in India, what France is in Europe, the inveterate enemy of the happiness, the power, and the independence of Great Britain? Was he not in alliance with France? Did he not act in concert with her in the Egyptian expedition, the importance of which he extols so much ?-Away then with such sophistries! they cannot have the slightest effect upon

^{*} Marquis Wellesley.

any man who has been a witness to the events which have hap pened since the commencement of the war

I have now Sir stated my view of the general subject of the war. But there is another point of view in which we mu t consider it and in which it must make a deep impression upon us we are not merely to consider what we have taken from France but what we have preserved. The honourable gentleman says, we entered into the war to curb the power of France Sir there is no end to the various definitions which those gentlemen give of the object of the war but we know why we entered into it we entered into the war because the French would not let us be at peace. We entered into the war because the French would not let us remain In tranquility unless we consented to sacrifice the independence of Europe and the happines the safety and the honour of this country. In the course of the contest we have had to contend with great difficulties foreign to the war. One of these difficul ties was such an one as we now experience, I mean that of scarcity t we had the misfortune four times in the present war to experi ence unfavourable seasons. We have had besides to contend with convul ions in the mercantile part of the public. This sub ject was discussed at the time when it happened and it was then found not to have been in any material degree can ed by the war We have had I admit to centend against reverses and disa ters; and I will venture to say that those who lamented ever them be came they disappointed their hopes and wishes for the success of their country and thee who lamented over their for the pur pere of lepter ing the public spirit were equally unprepared for and but expected that e traord many and unfortunate turn which the at-or of our allies tor int the opening of the present camp sign. But having to cent ad with all these events we have had be ides, and I am serry I am oblige I to admit it to e niend with an up to performance of six ut tions to a nic of our albest with a der liction of their er marment by others with a complete siela if n of the in at when it ages to others (a in the call of by in) and with an unice is table and unforescen change of conduct in

others, from whose exertions, however, in some periods of the war, we have derived the greatest advantage-I allude, now, Sir, to the conduct of the court of Petersburgh We have had, Su, all diese things to contend with, but can they, with any justice, be attributed is crimes to this country? And is it nothing that, in a contest into which we have been forced against our will, we have preserved our corpure undiminished, maintimed our constitution inviolity, and decreased, or, is the honourable gentleman thinks, destroyed that spirit of jacobinism which originited in, and has been supported by France? But this is not all you have not only maintained your possessions entire, but have destroyed the maintime pover, and taken-the most valuable maritime possessions of your enemy, and in the course of all the ching's and revolutions of sin ounding nations, you have stood firm and even to the confederacy as you entered into it, and did not desert it in the hour of danger, or of peril, even while others were descriting you. Are there considerations nothing? Is it nothing that, having had to struggle, not for imaginary objects, but for our very existence as a free state, with our commerce marked out as an object of destruction, our constitution threatened, we have preserved the one unimpaired, and most materially augmented the other, and, in many particulars, increased our national wealth, as well as its glory? I say, it is thus the matter stands with regard to this country, and yet these are the topics, or at least some of the topics, on which the honoural le gentleman chooses to say he has laid fan grounds before the house to call upon it to conclude with him (for so his motion would in its spirit indicate), that there is great misconduct in his Majesty's government.

The honourable gentleman has taken a general view of the affairs of this country, and I shall, without being too minute, endeavour to follow him over the outline of his observations. Some of them I need hardly touch upon, because they have been the subjects of repeated discussions in this house, in various stages of the present war. On all those points which were discussed before parliament, parliament have determined, and were I to argue them again, I could only expect to tire the patience of the house

with unnecessary repetition. I need therefore with reference to many of the topics musted upon so vehemently by the honourable gentleman to night only remind the house of what it has already done presuming that it will not now think otherwise than it has thought already where no fresh argument nor any new circumstance has appeared to alter its opinion. Many of the observation however of the honourable gentl man although fallacions and inconsistent I shall take notice of not on account of their force but of their extraordinary tendency. I hope the honour able centleman used hasty words, such as may possibly escupe a person in the heat of speaking and that he himself considers the words that he used of that description-I mean the expression in plying that he thought our honour was lost and our character degraded in the course of the present war and that by the man ner in which our army had been employed under the present ad ministration." It will be seen however when the subject is innunred into Thear! hear' from the other side] -the gentl usen opposite are anxious to seize on a word which is employed to sig mfy discussed-when the matter then is drien aid it will be seen to whem the blame of it is imputable or rather it will be proved that there is not the least f undation for the charges which the hono trable gentleman has ad anced. An inquiry is demanded but a it possible that the house could listen to more as of this kind erery in ment some persons thought proper to bring a vague and general charge of inseconduct? It is enough that on general grounds of ergument and presumption it can be shown that there s no heres sty for supplising any thing with. It can never be the duty of this he was to encourage such a disposition

But the how unable gentleman is pleased to revise a planae which was a add as of 13 mg malit homographe friend, who, with all the scell at judities which belong to him its more resurchable for the recent cy of his plana than for the measure of a scheme root has it in a more possible whence thought it has no more possible who had been personally as the related to the normal section. He did not mean to as

that he wished to enter into a minute inquity into every plan which he has been concerned in advising, he meant to profess, what he felt, a readiness to defend the measures of administration, if any one had a desire to object to any part of such measures: not that he thought it would be right that the time of the house should be taken up in discussing all the measures of administration, one by one, until the whole was examined, that would be an endless task, although I am confident it would be triumph int to my right honourable friend. He was ready then, and so he is now, to defend mis Majesty's in insters in every measure adopted this war, provid d somebody imputed any thing that was improper to us, and laid some ground which might call for an inquity was therefore a little surprised to find such a construction put upon my right honourable friend's declaration as I have heard tonight, which was, that he wished from day to day to discuss all the measures of administration during the war. I should be glad to know what cyldence it is of guilt for a man to deny a charge which is exhibited against him, and to argue upon the plain understanding of it, without any formal inquiry, which can never alter the facts that are obvious to all who see and hear? This is the common-place course with which loose charges of this kind are attempted and have for the last hundred years been attempted to be supported upon these occasions, and they are generally maintained with a degree of vehemence in declamation, which is proportioned only to their weakness in point of reason unconnected charge is made, and then, because those who are accused by it assign reasons why it should not occupy the time of the house, the party accused is immediately pronounced guilty.-I do not complain of this: the honourable gentleman has many precedents to plead in favour of this mode of argument, and I am not without some authorities on the part which I take in opposing him, neither is the honourable gentleman to take it for granted, that the public will think he is right, because he alleges that he is so, not am I to expect a favourable sentiment in my behalf, on account of what I uige in vindication of ministers the impartial part of the public will judge from the assertions of neither,

but fairly on facts between both Let it not be understood, that I admit there is any general rule to decide a question like this all that the house can do now is to consider whether they will say that what they have already done was already that will be the case if they go into a committee to inquire into what they have already determined for that is the case in most of the points to which the bonourable gentleman refers

The honourable centleman has alluded to former wars, not only as to the force employed in them but also to the expense with which they were attended. In the first place we should consider that as to the article of expense that has been in a progressive. state of advancement for the last forty years it is found to be so in all the common and ordinary affairs of life and therefore it would be an extraordinary thing if the expense of war which consusts in paying for articles of use in common life were exempt from advancement more than other things are. The army and the navy are fed like other men and most of the expenses of a miletary station are like other expenses, formed chiefly on common articles of consumption. But what is rather curious is that the honourable gentleman says we have double the force we had in a former war to which be alluded and yet he affects to be surprised at the expense being double although upon his own reasoning, the same force ought to be allowed double the expense. Such is the argument of the honourable gentleman, and that is what he calls a conclusive argument. The hopograble gentleman thinks our present military establishment too much and yet I have heard him and those with whom he has been in the habit of acting state with some unimation the productous exertions which France had made in the face of all the powers of Europe who opposed her I have often complered those efforts of the I rench exaggerated pretty much in this house but I always thought and I have never attempted to discusse it that France from its very stat unfarourable a it was to any useful purpose had advantages over others in the way of raising forces f r the support of the war The whole of their revolutioners policy was well adapted to this end; and now, althou h the objects which were pretended to be

in view from that revolution are gone away, yet it possesses that strength in a considerable degree for the purpose of violent efforts For the violent principle of taking, without regard either to justice or to policy, still remains in full force, they are still in a state to lay violent hands on any property they can find, for the purpose they want, and men they put in requisition wherever they are wanted. This has made me feel, and I have repeatedly said, that, in respect to sudden efforts to gain their object by force, they have an advantage over every legitimate government in Europe. and therefore it is not a matter of wonder that their exertions have sometimes surpassed any that were made under the reign of Louis the Fourteenth But although this be the case, will any man tell me, that, because France has such means of making great exertions by violence, we, having to contend with such an enemy, are culpable because their violence is gigantic, and that it must be said that our affairs are ill-directed because we have not had twice the success we had in former wars, since we had twice the force we had in a former war? All this is insisted upon, as if the French force was not at all augmented, whereas the very arguments of the honourable gentleman, and indeed all others on the same side with him, have always had for their basis the tremendous force of the French. It is then asked, what have we done in the present war? I would answer, "You have given your enemy considerable annoyance, and might have done more, if others had adhered to the cause as you have done" There was a time when, if the combination had in all its parts been as true to its profession, and as steady to the general interest, as it is your glory to have been, you might have made, in conjunction altogether, a formidable attack in the interior of France-there was a time when, in my opinion, that might have been done, but it did not happen that the opportunity was seized as it might have been. what then? It will hardly be said that the fault of neglecting it is imputable to his Majesty's ministers. Why then, under these circumstances, and in this condition of things, I would ask, what other object had we to look to, but that of endeavouring to dimiaish the force of the enemy? I do not mean to dwell on this

128

There is one objection which the honourable gentleman has stated to the conduct of his Majesty's minuters, and I admit, if there is any ground for it they ought not only to be consured but this house ought, without any delicacy, or apprehension for the present condition of things to address his Majesty to dismisthem from his service at once; that is that his Majesty's mi nisters form an obstacle to the attainment of a anfe and honour able peace -That we are to look for this more from ourselves than from our allies is uniquestionably true, but what peace is it that we are to make? The honourable gentleman says, we have no security with regard to our allies let us suppose for the sale of the aroument that we have not what then is to follow? That we are to try to obtain peace at all events? Shall we tell Buons parte that we have no confidence in our allies and that therefore we no h to treat with him for pence? I say No! I say of I had no confidence in our allies I would not make that humble suppliention for peace. I would at worst put forward the best ro source of this country to maintain the contest until we should be able to obtain a safe and honourable peace and I am persuaded that crunding & rat is not the way to obtain an honourable peace Having said thes, I will add by the way that when gentlemen talk of peace. I cannot persuade myself they mean any but a safe and honourable one and yet to bring forward into debate in this house topics which are calculated to impress upon numerics at home and our enemies abroad an idea that we an di tremed an I that we distrust our government. I cannot help thinking is a mode but ill adapted to the accomplishment of that object. It was indeed if the louse adopted this motion, the way to make the recorde distruit and our enemies depres our government. As to the conduct of our ally the imperor I will repeat what I had or en um to state on a firmer malit. I sail I had no idea, that previon to the battle of Marengo there was any intention on behalf of his Imperial Majesty to enter on a r newal of negociation with I more separa ely and di tinetly. I did distinctly state that at and from that p-n I the First Consul of France made some propearls for that purpose; that, pressous to the hattle of Martra's

.1

there was a proposal made to his Imperial Majesty, but that there was not any disposition shown in the whole of that time, in the court of Vienna, to make a separate pence. I do declare that I believe we have the whole intelligence that belongs to that subject, nor have I the least distrust of the sincerity of his Imperial Micjesty in refusing to enter into a separate negociation. Since that time we have assurances from the court of Vicinia, of the most rigid adherence to the same principle of refusal to enter into a separate negociation, and to pursue the same plan as that on which that refusal vas founded. I stated this the other night to come up to the 4th of November I am able now to carry the am intelligence to a later period by a few days, that is up to the 9th of November. I have no reason to distrust the sincerity of the professions of his Imperial Majesty, as conveyed by that intelligence. So stands that part of the case upon our alliance with Austria. But I know also, that great and extraordinary exertions have been I should add, that I will not make myself a guarantee for what may hereafter happen, I will not be answerable, for I cannot prophesy what new exents may happen, or whether any or what over-ruling necessity may change either the conduct or the councils of the court of Vienna. I can only say, that as far as I have known, and I have no reason to distrust my information, the court of Vienna is hitherto explicit. If I should be disappointed in my expectation, I can only say I cannot help it, but hitherto I have no reason to think I shall. The question therefore is, what is prudent for us to do in the prosecution of this contest? Is sny, the wisest course we can take is to preserve the character that we have for honour and good faith, on which may yet depend the safety of Europe,

I should now come, in order, to the parenthesis of the honourable gentleman on the state of our constitution. But, first, for the sake of connexion on the subject of our allies, I will say a word or two respecting the Emperor of Russia. Concerning the embargo, to which the honourable gentleman has alluded, though I have received no information on the subject, I am disposed to believe the intelligence true, particularly as we know that not

long ago a similar measure was adopted an embargo was laid on and taken off in a few days—a circumstance by no means unlikely to take place on the precent occasion. Whatever may have die tated this rash and precipitate step this much I can say that nothing on the conduct of this government ever gave any enuse why the magnanismity of the Emperor Paul should so suddenly have been withdrawn from the confederacy in which his co-operation must have been nittended with so much benefit to Europe and that no ground of difference has ever existed between the two greenments in any points upon which any variety of opinions can take place in this country.

And now I come to observe upon the state of our constitution, as it was alluded to By the honourable gentleman. It is a point on which I feel it would be improper for me to say much for it has been discussed over and over again in this house. I contend that provisions have been adopted for the preservation of the con stitution which but for such provisions would have been destroy ed and the honourable gentleman would not to-night have been in this house to expandit upon these topics, per should I have been here to nower him. As to the influence of the crown I will only say that its increase is a topic often resorted to for the pur poses of declargation; but I can hardly think that any man ex r seriously regards it as matter for alarm but even supposing it to be increasing which I deny there certainly is no necessity for going into a committee of the whole house to consider of the state of the nation in order to consider of that subject, there is if n cessary, a much more compendious way charmin -1 11

The next point to which the honoural le gentleman ad crited was first of the statement of finance and the internal state of the country particularly the price of corn. As to the curn I find it, honoural le gentleman withes to inculcate this as an I simbled principle that the war is the principal cause of the his hone of the provisit as I rewhich he start I three causes the increase of the confunction around from waite partly of the army and harps the increase of the provision of the confunction of the provision of the confunction of the provision of the provision of the provision of the confunction of the provision of the provi

the issue of paper has occasioned, which has arisen from the stoj page of the Bank from payment in specie. These were the points, and the last was the principal one on which he dwelt upon each of these I shall make some general observations, but as I did on the first day of the session, so I shall at the present moment, avoid detail upon these topics, partly because a minuteness in general is dry and tedious, and partly because I speak in the hearing of many who have better judgments than I have, especially on the subject of paper money. The preliminary observations are, that there is waste in the consumption of the army and navy, there is great expense in importation from abroad, and there is a depreciation in the relative value of the circulating medium by the increase of the paper First, I will observe, that all these causes are not peculiar to the present year, for, many of them have been stated to exist in as great, and some of them in a greater degree than they do at present. In the years 1798 and 1799, we had a greater number of military forces than we have at present, and as to the stoppage of the payment of the bank, that stoppage has taken place for some time, and the difference between the paper cuculating medium of that time and the present is very inconsider-As to the taxes, which are supposed most to operate to raise the price of articles, there are none of them that bear hard upon the farmer, and can therefore have no unfacduate effect on the piece of corn None of these can have been the great cause of the high price of provisions, because, when these were at their height, provisions were infinitely cheaper than they are at present; nor can the war be the cause of the price, because the taxes have been felt as severely as they are now, (within about 400,000% which was added last year,) and yet the high price of provisions was not known when all these causes operated

Here Mr. Pitt took a view of the beneficial effects of the land-tax redemption bill, the operation of the sinking fund, and the policy of laising supplies within the year, as had been done by the assessed taxes and the income bill, which he considered indeed as a solid system of finance, but which he did not apprehend would become perpetual in time of peace, as the bonourable gentleman had stated,

132 for it was carable of medification as it now stood and it would perhaps be prudent after a given internal of peace to relax its present exaction it would otherwise in some respects change its character, being only a war tax however, opportunities would occur hereafter to consider these topics. As to exchequer bills, he had to observe that they ought not to be considered as currency except such of them as were of short dates. It was much talked of that the exchequer bills were a mass of paper which was hija mous to the public but this year they had been circulated at a premium instead of a discount which they usually were at this, he contended proved beyond dupute that the market was not as the honourable gentleman contended, overstocked with a circulating medium for if that were so these bills could not possibly be at a premium, they must of necessity be at a discount, from these points the honourable gentleman had given a general state of the finance of the country He did not concerno that this was a time for going into a minute detail upon this subject and he

house upon the sul sect But the honourable gentleman had made one observation which merited particular attention he had stated, by way of alternative that either the present system must continue, and the bank payment in specie remain su nepd d (which he said would by and by suin the country altogriber) or ele the lank should resume its paymen in each, and then it would lo Imposible to certifue the cirtest. Now this was a dilumna in which he heped the house would never find this country. He hoped and trusted that we were neither reduced to the one nor the other of the e two point but that we should be able to curante that system by which we had but no anobled danger, and that we were fur from being under any merenty of changing its nor did to

thought the house would feel no difficulty in deciding that it was not necessary to co into a committee on the state of the mation in order to inquire into these things for many of them had already not only been discussed generally but particularly and very much in detail in a committ cof the wild bouse annous resolutions had been founded on them and there had not been offered in this discussion may thing that ought to change the sentiments of the believe the house would adopt any such doctrine as this, they would, on the contrary, explode it, for the tendency of it was to proclaim to the enemy our mability to continue the contest, in which our existence as a free nation was at stake. A feeling was always ready to manifest itself on the consideration of this subject, which reguired no aid from the eloquence of any man, the bare statement of it was sufficient. In one word, the motion of the honourable gentleman contained a naked proposition, which was this-"Whether the house would now, withou reason, abandon a proposition which they had so often, and with the best reason, adopted, and uniformly acted upon?" As to the calculation of the probable expense of continuing the war, he should not now go into it, he was of opinion that it could not be materially different from that which attended it the last year, nor was this any thing of a reason for going into a committee on the state of the n ition

L'therefore submit, Mr Pitt continued, that, upon the whole of what has been land before the house to-night, I have said enough to satisfy it, that upon none of the grounds stated by the honourable gentleman is he justified in calling upon this house to institute an inquiry into the state of the nation, that much of what the honourable gentleman has stated to-night arises out of matter which has been discussed over and over again, and well decided, that his facts are misplaced, and that, as far as he proceeds on reasoning, his reasoming is fallacious and therefore do I conclude, that there is no just ground laid before you for a committee to inquire into the state of That is the general ground of opposition which I state on the one hand -on the other, I say that the internal state of the country requires your attention in a special manner to other topics, and that your time ought not to be consumed in unnecessary discussions upon points which lead to no practical conclusion, that you will have a committee up stairs, which will take due care of the most immediate interests of the country at this important crisis, that this motion leads to no immediate or iemote advantage, that it may do mischief, by holding out encouragement to the enemy, and by causing a diffidence, if not despondency, in

the people of this country by teaching them to su pect that there is something in the state of the nation which is alarming—for which there; i no foundation. For all these reasons I do give my decided opposition to this motion.

The motion was negatived;

Ayes 37 Noes 157

February 2, 1801

DEBATE on the address of thanks to his MI jony for his most graciou. Speech on opening the serviou.

The address being moved by her Watkin Wilhams Wynne and seconded by Mr. Cornwallis,

Mr Grey proposed the f llowing amendment :--

"And that the house will proceed with all possible disputch to make such implicies into the general state f the nation but store e-pecially into the conduct of the war and into our relation with foreign powers as may estable as to offer this M jest such advice as we may think most conductive to the honour of his rown tool the general interests of his people.

"Ind fard T t sure his M yesty that if, owing to any imper and in reasonable p ten lens on the part of the enemy peace carnot be obtained at such t rms a are cocal tent with security; if the representations which his M gaty ha carreted to be made to th court of Petersburgh, in consequence of the out ages communited against the ships peoperty and person of his subjects, have not treed of that reparation which the nature of the care req lost and if the diff once which appear unhappily t he areas between his M garty and the other North in Powers, are of nature which present of income die decisions and the impossibility of any copitable adjustment renders now not in castinded with incomist of well give his Majody every toppert which is means of the commity can afford in the put kept and oracle.

nee that he M feety put male care for the welfare of his prophe will induce him to take such me or as shall prevent heartforward a calamition wave of the returning reception has becomes, eather by improvident and bother total property or by general negligence and profession; and shall serve a work and measure or intention of their affairs, under the encessaryled difficulture in the decreasingled difficulture in the decreasing of the contract of the contract

Mr Pitt then rose

Sir, in rising to make some observations upon what has fallen from the honourable gentleman, † I cannot avoid noticing a currous proposition which he advanced in the early part of his speech,

* " My Lords and Gentlerien,

"At a crisis so important to the interests of my people, I derive great satisfaction from being enabled, for the first time, to avail myself of the advice and assistance of the parliament of my United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland

"This memorable æra, distinguished by the accomplishment of a measure calculated to augment and consolidate the strength and resources of the empire, and to cement more closely the interests and affections of my subjects, will, I trust, be equally marked by that vigour, energy, and firmness, which the circumstances of our present situation peculiarly require

"The unfortunate course of events on the continent, and the consequences which must be expected to result from it, cannot fail to be matter of anxiety and concern to all who have a just feeling for the security and independence of Europe

"Your astonishment, as well as your regret, must be excited by the conduct of those powers, whose attention, at such a period, appears to be more engaged in endeavours to weaken the naval force of the British empire, which has hitherto opposed so powerful an obstacle to the mordinate ambition of Trance, than in concerting the means of mutual defence against their common and increasing danger

"The representations which I directed to be made to the court of Petersburgh, in consequence of the outrages committed against the ships, property, and persons of my subjects, have been treated with the utmost disrespect, and the proceedings of which I complained have been aggravated by subsequent acts of injustice and violence

"Under these circumstances, a convention has been concluded by that court with those of Copenhagen and Stockholm, the object of which, as avowed by one of the contracting parties, is to renew their former engagements for establishing, by force, a new code of maritime law, inconsistent with the rights and hostile to the interests of this country

"In this situation, I could not hesitate as to the conduct which it became me to pursue—I have taken the earliest measures to repel the aggressions of this hostile confederacy, and to support those principles which are essential to the

and which he repeated towards the conclumon of it, but with less confidence, viz. that the monority in this house in point of fact speak the sense of the majority of the people. Upon what ground

maintenance of our raval ettergth and which are grounded on the system of public laws so long established and recognized in Europe.

"I ha e at the same time, given such sarurances as manifest my disposition to revew my socient relations with those powers whenever it can be doze consistently with the bonomer in ye crown, sad with a last report to the safety of my subjects. You will, I am permaded, omit nothing on your part that can afford me the most vegerous and effectual support in my firm determination to maintain, to the atmost, against every attack, the naval rights and the interests of my empter.

" Gertlemen | the Heave of Geremons

I have directed the estimates for the several branches of the public service to be hid before you deeply as I lument the continued necessity of adding to the burdens of my people. I am persuaded you will feel with me the import ance of providing effectual means for those esterilous which are indispensibly remuchts for the homour such escarby of the country.

" My Loris and Gentlemen

- "I am confident that y ar deliberations will be uniformly directed to the great object of improving the benefits of that happy Union, which, by the bleramy I Providence has new been effected; and of promoting to the wimose, the prosperity of every part of my dominions.
- "You will, I doubt not returne the inquires which were so differently proscented in the last seviden of parliament as to the best means of relieving my subjects from the pressure of the present high price of provisions; and of pervenung as far as it can be done by human forwight, the recurrence of similar difficulties. In these endeavours and as every measure that can contribute to the happeness of my people, the great end of all my winhes, you may be assured of my could concurrence.
- I on may rely on my stalling myrell of the enthest opportunity which shall stand a prospect of transming the present costess on grounds construct with east security and become upon with the maintenance of those strandal myles on his the standal strength most always principally depend.

It will affect me the trace and most heartich sandarion whomers the E-posters of or exercises shall enable me that to restore to the religion to the beauty of perce and ther by confirm and supposed those at a near which result from our internal attailor and which, ever now of the E-fract of war. In the carried to so press to extent the systematic result arts, commence, and reviews of the compy.

the honourable gentleman has made that assertion, I am utterly incapable of guessing, but if it be true, every one of those great and honourable efforts by which, in the course of nine years, we have secured the independence and exalted the character of this country, which have enabled us to withstand the dangers and vicissitudes of this most induous contest, which have afforded the means of security to Europe, at the same time that they have his therto saved this country from the calamities which have visited almost all the rest of the globe-if, I say, the honourable gentleman's proposition be true, then all these noble efforts have been made for nine years, not only without the consent, but against the opinion of a majority of this house and of this country. Before the honourable gentleman can establish that proposition, he must convince the majority that they ought now to act in direct opposition to every principle upon which their conduct has hitherto been founded, and I confess I do not expect that he will succeed in such an attempt. I do not believe there are any among us who sat in this house in the last session of pailiament, who do not iccollect the discussions which took place upon every subject which the honourable gentleman has commented upon in his speech, (except one, which forms the more immediate question before the house, and to which I shall come by-and-by,) I do not believe, I say, that any of these gentlemen can lightly forget the opinions which they formed, and the principles upon which they acted. do not believe, Sn, (being one of those who think as highly as my honourable friends who moved and seconded the motion for the address, of that important measure which has consolidated the strength of the empire), that these honourable gentlemen whom we have this day, for the first time, the happiness of seeing among us, will disappoint the sanguine expectations that we formed of henefits to result from that important event. I am sure they have brought with them the same zeal, and the same principles which These gentlemen have supported us against an host of enemies have had, in another place, the severe duty imposed upon them of contending with jacobinism on their own soil, and I am sure they would not wish to infuse that timidity into us, the least mixture of which would have been certain turn to them. Whatever may be the confident language which the honourable gentleman may think proper to use upon this occasion, I cannot but believe that the present is a proper time for the discussion of that great and in portant question which is prepared for us by ereuts, which we could not control but which we must meet

The honourable gentleman has, in the course of his speech in troduced several topics which he says have been frequently dis cussed befin and which he expressess his hope will again be in vestigated. Upon both these grounds, I am not disposed to trouble the house at length upon any of these subjects, at present. There is, indeed but one new question before the house I in an that which has been announced to us in his Majesty s most grace us speech from the throne respecting our differences with the Northern powers. Sir I mult confess that the manner in which the honoural I gentleman has treated every part of this subject has really filled me with astonishment both when I conaid r the g neral plan of his speech, and the particular statements into which he want in support of his argument. The honourable gentleman thought it right in the first place to express his doubts of the justice of our claim with respect to neutral vessels and in the next place (which appeared to me fully as singular) to ques tion the importance of the point now at i six. But though the honourable gintlinan scened di posed to entertain doubts en I into upon which I believe thirt is hardly another man to be found in this country who would I estute for a moment yet there a r other perat upon which his mind appeared to be five from doubt and he of ine to completely made up. If after a full dle cuss n of this question at should appear that the claim which this country has made is found if in the clearest and most indisputable ju i ce-if it it ould be provid that our grain as, may our very existence a maintain and e ery thing that has raised us to the exalt. I situation which we hold depend upon our pores in, and exercing threaf I as all the should be proved in the most satisficiony mann e still the h nourable antifemon is propered are ously to declare in this house, that such me the circumst no

in which we stand, that we ought publicly and explicitly to state to the world that we are unequal to the contest, and that we must quietly give up for ever an unquestionable right, and one upon which not only our character, but our very existence as a maintime power depends. This is the conduct which the honourable gentleman advises us to pursue at once, without determining, without investigating, whether it is compatible with our safety. I really find much difficulty, Sii, in reconciling this language to that sort of spirit which the honourable gentleman talks of in another part of his speech, in which he says, he is far from wishing to make the country despond—[Mi Grey here said across the table, that he had been misunderstood.]—Sir, I am stating what the honourable gentleman said, and I shall be happy to find that he did not mean what he said.

I shall now, Sir, endeavour to follow the honourable gentleman through his argument, as far as I can recollect it, upon the important question of the Northern confederacy the order which he took, I must begin with his doubts, and end with his certainties, and I cannot avoid observing, that the honourable gentleman was singularly unfortunate upon this subject. for he entertained doubts where there was not the slightest ground for hesitation, and he contrives to make up his mind to absolute certainty, upon points in which both argument and fact are decidedly against him. That part of the question upon which the honourable gentleman appears to be involved in doubt, is with respect to the justice of our claim in regard to neutral vessels. In commenting upon this part of the subject, the honourable gentleman gave us a lesson in politics, which is more remarkable for -its soundness than its novelty, viz that a nation ought not to enforce a claim that is not founded in justice, and that nothing would be found to be consistent with true policy that was not conformable to strict justice I thought, however, I heard the honourable gentleman in another part of his speech, where he was arguing the question of the expediency and propriety of our negoenating a separate peace with France, contend that no considera-

tion of good faith to Austria ought to prevent us from entering into such a negociation. [Mr Grey mid ho had not laid that down as a principle but merely with respect to the circum stances under which we stood with regard to Austria]---I am glad to hear the honourable gentleman contradict me but I certainly understood him to say so I am also glad to find, that when the issue of fact is found against him be has no demurrer in reserve upon the principle. Upon the justice how ever of our claim the honourable gentleman states himself to be wholly in doubt There is, Sir in general a degree of modesty in doubting that conciliates very much and a man is seldom in clined to bear hard upon an antagon; t whose attack does not execced the limits of a doubt. But Sir when a gentleman doubts that which has been indisputably established for more than a cen tury-when he doubts that which has been an acknowledged principle of law in all the tribunals of the kingdom which are alone compet at to decide upon the subject and which parlia ment has constantly known them to act upon-when he doubts principles which the ablest and a next statesmen have uniformly ad miced-I say Sir the doubt that calls in question principles so established without offering the slightest ground for so doing, shows a great deal of that pert presumption which as often as modesty leads to scopicism. I wish to a k every gentleman la the house whether it has not been always known that such was the principle upon which our courts were neura from the cour mencement of the present war up to the moment that I am speak ing? I rak whether that principle has not been maintained in every war? Let me at the same time ask whether in the count of the speeches of the gentlemen on the other side of the house any one time of alarm has been emitted, which cather fact could form h or ingenuity supply? I believe I shall not be answered in the negative and yet I believe I may safely a wert that it never occurred to any one member to increase the difficulties of the country by stating a doubt upon the question of right and it will be a most singular curcumstance, that the hencurable grather

man and his friends, should only have begun to doubt when our enemics are ready to begin to combat. But though I have heard doubts expressed upon a subject on which it appeared to me that a doubt could hardly have entered the mind of an Englishman, I have not heard one word to show on what ground there can exist a doubt upon the justice of our claim—a claim which, until this house decides the contrary, I shall consider as part of the law of the land, for I consider the maritime law, and the law of nations, as acted upon in our courts, to be part of the law of the land. I speak in the presence of some learned gentlemen who are conversant in the practice of the courts to which I allude, and who, I am sure, will contindict me if I state that which is incorrect. I ask any of these learned gentlemen, whether they would suffer the punciple, upon which our claim rests, to be called in question an any of their courts? But when we come to consider this question as applying to the contest in which we may be engaged, there are so many considerations that are decisive upon the subject, that I am really convinced by the manner in which the honourable gentleman treated it, that his doubts have all arisen from his not having looked into the question.

There are two ways in which this subject is to be considered: the first is, what has been the general law of nations upon this subject, independent of any particular treaties which may have been made? The next is, how far any piecise treaties affect it, with regard to the particular powers who are the objects of the present dispute? With respect to the law of nations, I know that the principle upon which we are now acting, and for which I am now contending, has been universally admitted and acted upon, except in cases where it has been restrained or modified by particular treaties between different states And here I must observe, that the honourable gentleman has fallen into the same error which constitutes the great fallacy in the reasoning of the -advocates for the Northern powers, namely, that every exception from the general law by a particular treaty, proves the law to be as it is stated in that treaty, whereas the very circumstance of making an exception by treaty, proves what the general law of

110

nations would be if no such treaty were made to modify or alter it. The honourable gentleman alludes to the treaty made between this country and France in the year 1787 known by the name of the commercial treaty. In that treaty it certainly was stipulated that in the event of Griat Britain being engaged in a war and France being neutral she should have the advantage now claimed and rice versa but the honourille centleman confesses that he recoll tes that the very same of jection was made at that time and was fully ammered and that it was clearly proved that no part of our stipulation in that treaty tended to a dereliction of the principle for which we are now contending Besides, when it is considered how far the interests of this courtry can be implicated in a natal war in which France is neutral it will not afferd any proof either that we considered the principle as unimportant or that we gave it up. I could without in the slightest degree weakening the esuse which I am endeavouring to support give to the honourable gentleman all the benefit he can possibly derive from the commercial treaty with France and from particular treaties with other states, and I should be glad to know what ad antage he could derive from such an admission. If he could show treaties with any given number of tates stilif there were any state in Lurepe with whom no such treaty was in existence, with that state the law of nations, such as I am new contacting for must be in full force. Still more at will be alloved to me that if there is any nation that he furborne to be a party of the treates that maintain ditles princy le and has enferced it rights; in such a case in inference that can be dimen from treating with other powers can hale any weight. The ut mo tith bonom urable guil man culturar notes nonthat I do n t thin' he would be founded in in tier would be the --! at of there was no per ral consent with respect to the principles, pare replan to ance on he to reme as a civile in ther coses. But what will the he metable gentleman us if any ad of my station, an imp inan once I give to him this sheet an wer if at with et i om of ib thre Sottlem places with while we are at present in the un subjected of the features of our

umform practice, and of the opinions of our courts, we have the strict letter of engagements by which they are bound to us?-What will be say, if I show, that their present conduct to us, is as much a violation of positive treaties with us, as it is of the law of nations? With respect to Denmark and Sweden, nobody here, I am saic, has to learn that the treaties of 1661 and 1670 are now in full force, and nobody can read those treaties without seeing that the right of carrying enemies' property is completely given up. With regard to Russia, the right of this country never was given by us. It undoubtedly was very much discussed during the time that the treaty of commerce with Russia was negociating, but I will not rest my argument upon negative evidence In the convention signed between Great Britain and Russia at the commencement of the present wai, the litter bound herself not merely to observe this principle by a convention, , (not done away, unless we have unjustly commenced hostilities against her), but she engaged to use her efforts to prevent neutral powers from protecting the commerce of France on the seas of in the ports of France Laying aside then every other ground upon which I contend that the principle I am now maintaining is supported, still I say, that the treaties with these three powers, Russia, Sweden, and Denmark, are now in full force, and I ask, whether it is possible to suggest any one ground, upon which it can be contended that these powers are released from their engagements to us? So much for the justice of the claim.

I will not, Sn, take up much more of the time of the house, because there will be papers laid before the house which will place the subject in a clearer point of view than can be done in the course of a debate —but I must say, that with regard to these powers the case does not stop here. What will the honourable gentleman say if I shew him, that in the course of the present war, both Denmark and Sweden have distinctly expressed their readiness to agree in that very principle, against which they are disposed to contend, and that they made acknowledgments to us for not carrying the claim so far as Russia was disposed to carry it? What will the honourable gentleman say, if I shew him that

Sweden who in the year 1780 agreed to the armed neutrality, has since then been at war herself and then acted upon a prince ple directly contrary to that which she agreed to in the year 1780, and to that upon which she is now disposed to act ? In the war between Sweden and Russia, the former distinctly acted upon that very principle for which we are now contending. What will the honourable grademan say if I show him that in the last autumn, Denmark with her fleets and an enals at our mercy entered into a sol mn pleuge not again to send vessels with convoy until the principle was settled and that notwithstanding this solumn pledge this state has entered into a new convention similar to that which was a reed to in 17801. One of the enemy ment of that treaty is that its stipulations are to be maintained by force of arm. Here then is a nation bound to us by treaty and who has recently engaged not even to send a convoy until the point should be determined that tells us she has entered into an engagement ly which she is bound to support that principle by force of arms Is this or is it not war? Is it not that which, if we liad not heard the honourable gentl man this ni bt would lead a man to think he insulted an Englishman I'v questioning his feelings upon the subject? But Sir when all these circum tances are accompani dly armament prepared at a period of the year when they think they has time for preparation without being exposed to our na y his Majorty informs you that these courts have aron d the principle of the treats of 1780, known by the name of the armed neutrality; but if on the honourable centleman 1959 " ne do not know the precise term of the present treaty and ther fire we ought to the no steps until we are completely opprized of its contents." It is time we do not know the exact terms of the treaty but I should think if we demand to know n ether il 3 have made enta, ments ul ich u consideras hostile to our intrests, and they tell us they have but do not till at what exceptions are made in our favour we are not I should think bound to guess them or to goe them eredit for them until they are shewn to us. If m far we uld the honourable gent eman rush be argument? Will be say it as we ought to make splitly

for the treaty, that we ought to take no step, until we have read it paragraph by paragraph, and that then we should acknowledge to those powers that we are now dispirited and not prepared to dispute the point? Does he mean that we should give them time to assemble all their forces and enable them to produce something like a substitute for the fallen navy of I rance? Is this the conduct which the honourable gentleman would recommend to the adoption of this country? Are we to wait till we see the article itself, until we see the seal to the contract of our destruction, before we take any means to insure our defence?

Sir, I will not trouble the house any longer upon the question of right, I come now to the question of expedience, and upon this part of the subject the honourable gentleman is not so much in doubt. The question is, whether we are to permit the navy of our enemy to be supplied and recruited-whether we are to suffer blockaded forts to be furnished with warlike stores and provisions -whether we are to suffer neutral nations, by horting a flag upon a sloop, or a fishing boat, to convey the treasures of South America to the harbours of Spain, or the naval stores of the Baltic to Biest or Toulon? Are these the propositions which gentlemen mean to contend for? I really have heard no argument upon the subject, yet. [Mr. Shendan and Dr Laurence entered the house together, and sat down upon the opposite bench] I suppose I shall be answered by-and-by, as I see there is an accession of new members to the confederacy, who will, I have no doubt, add to the severity and to the length of the contest. I would ask, Sir, has there been any period since we have been a naval country, in which we have not acted upon this principle? The honourable gentleman talks of the destruction of the naval power of France, but does he really believe that her marine would have been decreased to the degree that it now is, if, during the whole of the war, this very principle had not been acted upon? and if the commerce of Irance had not been destroyed, does he believe that, if the fraudulent system of neutrals had not been prevented, her navy would not have been in a very different attuation from that in which it now is ! Does he not know that the naval preponderance which we have by these means acquire?, has given security to this country and has more than once afford de chances for the salvation of Europe! In the wirek of the continent and the disappointment of our hopes there what has been the security of this country but its maral preponderance!—and if that were once give the spirit of the country would go with it. If we had no other guide if we had nothing else to look to but the experience of the present war that alone proves, not the utility but the necessity of maintaining a principle so important to the power and even to the existence of this country.

There was something raft or singular in the manner in which the honourable gentl-man commented upon and argued from the destruction of the naval power of Franco he says, her marise is now so much weakened that we may now relinquish the means by which we have so nearly destroyed it and at the very same moment he holds out the terrors of an invasion of Ireland. The honourable gentleman says. We are not now as we were in the year 1780 shinking from the flects of France and Spain la the channel. "But if that was our only excuse for not everting the principle in the year 1780 we have not now happily for this country, the name reason for not persisting in our rights; and the que tion now is whether with increased proofs of the receivity of acting upon that principle ard with increased means of supporting it we are for ever to give it up?

As to the necessity of making inquiries into charges which are to be exhibited against may part of the conduct of adminis ration, and which are to be funded upon a review of their part conduct it is armonized by the honourable gentlemant that we are to base them land left resus. We shall have experitually of discussing them about hantly a none of them touch the point which is now before us; if the amendment insist stands, we will only be embersured by if rener to it excepts. I think the aim admert call culated to obstruct the proceeding of this country on a lightly safety departs. Many other tijes alluffed to by the honourable

gentleman are important, but they are so only in a secondary de-I think the question of right in dispute between us and the confederated powers, so enumently important, that it claims, at this hour, the undivided attention of this house. As to what has been said on other topics, of the censures which ought to be cast on the counsel we have had any share in giving, for the prosecution of the war, I have the consolution of knowing what they are likely to be, from a recollection of what they have repeatedly been-that they will most probably be put in the same way, and will admit of being answered in the same way, as they have been already answered as often as they were brought forward, and I cannot help flattering myself with the same success that the public will feel, as they have repeatedly felt, that the calamities which have overspread Europe, and which have affected, to a certain degree, this country, though much less than any other, have not been owing to any defect on our part, but that we have pursued principles best calculated for the welfare of human society, the nature and effect of which have been frequently commented upon by those who have opposed and by those who have supported these principles, and with whom I have had the honour to act, and still have the honour of acting, on which, I say, the power, the security, the honour of this nation has depended, and which, I trust, the perseverance and firmness of parliament and the nation will not cease to pursue, while his Majesty's servants discharge their duty.

Mr Grey's amendment was negatived;

Ayes . 63 Nocs

And the address was then put and carried

Felmary 18, 1801

The order of the day being read, the House resolved itself into a Commisse of W ya and M-on. (-whee Ma. Prez rose and addressed the Chalman (Mr Bragge): the following effects

Sir in submitting to the house the statement of the provisions which are proposed to be made for the service of the present year, the first part of the outy imposed upon main, to notice the charges incurred by the union of Creat Britain and Ireland, which has taken place and it is my but mess that the expenses to which both countries are liable should be properly explained. In doing so, I shall take a view of the supplies for the joint service and conform to the accustomed mode by entering into a receptual tion of the different branches of the expenditure for which we are now called upon to make the necessary provision. I shall therefore begin with stating the distinct heads under which the various articles of upply are generally classed.

The first article which presents itself is the Nary and for the expenses of this important branch the sum of 15 800,000 has been granted. Confirmen will observe that this sum exceeds that which was granted last session by a difference of 9 600 000d, as the supply then given was only 13 600 000d. This excess arrest from the reasons which have been mentioned on a former tilglifform the increased number of scamen whom it became necessary to emptry and from the firm persuasi no of the unitive of the measure. It must fine appeared most desirable to every man that this branch of the instancial strength should under the present circumstances, be considerably on in into 1.1 do not mean to take up the office that it is of the house or to trouble guilderen by going into the paratic votes which have been given on this head and a first this ore state the upply at 1.800 fox 1.

The restantial of upply which it is my outs to motive I that Armay I which the survey 0 9 017 Or I it is begin I had it is clied that if or clied y more 6.0000 with the difference is a very real and affected the cutter

names for the year 1801, which are estimated at 2,500,000/ ve shall have a total or 12 117,000/ for the service of England. The surrouted for the service of Ireland amounts to 2,785,600/ and it is formed upon estimace proportioned to the former estimate for Ireland—consequently the whole of the supply for the name of the united kingdom makes a sum of 15,902,000/

The third head of service is the Oranance, which for Great Britain is estimated at 1,639,000% and for Ireland at 299,000%. The former sum is nearly the same as that which was voted last year, and the latter is calculated upon a principle similar to that on which the expenses of the army estimbishment of that country has been already esamated. The committee will perceive that, taken together, they give a sum of 1.958,000%.

With respect to the Miscellaneous Services, under which the plantation estimates, the interest due on exchequer-bills, and tho deficiency of ways and means no classed, the sum appropriated last year to that branch of the public service appears to have been 750,000/ between which and the grant for the present year there is but a trilling difference, as it did not exceed 757,000/ the miscellaneous services of England it is proposed that the supply shall be 550,000l and for those of Ireland 207,000l as I have already stated. There are cortainly other charges of a separate nature, mising out of the union, which remain to be noticed, but I thought it necessary first to bring forward the expenditure of both countries. The vote of credit is stated at 800,0001, for, not conceiving my cause of demand for subsidies, in the present state of Europe, it does not appear necessary to propose a larger sum. The house will, however, I trust, agree with me in thinking that we have no cause to regret those which have been granted, and which, notwithstanding our disappointments, have so eminently tended to evince our firmness and good faith in the prosecution of the contest. This sum is, however, to be considered as applicable to England alone, as, in that which relates to Ireland, the proportion has been adjusted, according to the conditions established by the union The sum for Ireland, therefore, taken according to the Irish grants, which are geneMR PITTS [Fra 18

rally called permanent is 390 000% or in the currency of that country 423 000/ giving to, ther the sum of 1 190 000/ gentlemen will take the trouble to recapitulate the different or tiel which I I are stated they will ascertain their amount to be 35 567 COOL I may have been guilty of some fractional omis sion but the stems will stand in the following order;

180

Yuz	£ 15 800,000
Arms — England f 9 61 000 } f 12 117 0	00 } 15,902,000
Ireland 5,785 0	∞)
Ordnance-Fnolund . 1639,0	00)
Ireland 2)90	00 } 1,938,000
Miscellaneous—England 550 0	00)
Ireland 207 0	00 } 757,000
Vote of credit	800 000
Irula permanent grants (currency £ 423 000)	\$90,000

Sum to be contributed jointly by England and Ire- } 35 587 462 land (including fractions)

In the estimate of the joint charges, incurred by both countries I mu t, Sir beg leav to observe that those placed to the account of In and are calculated with the stricted attention to the terms of the umon. They are ascertained by the scale which has been laid down if two wentcenths for Ireland and fifteen-ecscutechtis for Great Britain to provide for the common expenditure of the quited king lom. Ir land necording to this estimate, will be rated at thus wrenteently of the whole or at 4 10 2591 and Lauland at lift en scriptsenths or at \$1,377 1/3/ It must n t homeser be form in that Ireland; also to be charged two-seventeenths of the shan whi I he neces only must take in our prace establish men a turn by f these that may be incurred by the provincent of the un nin time finar. She will theref to have that allotted proportion of 1.1 0 0000 fir the cliff bit and ther charges on the combited from temak, and cor, in other more the will kne t provide fir the "It! making the all de of her con the

tion 4,342,000l I think it proper to observe, that, in considering the antecedent debt of Ireland, there can be no doubt that it must be a charge to Great Biltain, and I have now to submit the separate charges from Ireland previous to the 1st of January 1801, the day on which the union of both countries was carried into It is almost unnecessary for me to call the attention of gentlemen to the state of the country, which has been this year unusually heavy, from circumstances which are unfortunately too notorious, and have, independently of great distress, affected in a material degree the general produce of the revenue. The scarcity of food has been severely felt, and there has consequently been a defalcation in the public receipts. There are also some other articles in the shape of arrears but, whatever they may be, and however seriously they may affect us, they certainly constitute no part of the joint charge which affects Ireland Whenever any separate charge occurs which may have taken place before the union, we are not to consider it as applicable to Ireland, and I am convinced, Sir, that we shall conduct ourselves with that liberality which ought to distinguish this part of the united kingdom. Upon these grounds, the first statement I have to make to the committee with respect to the charges which belong exclusively to England, is the deficiency of the income tax Upon this unexpected deficiency, I have to remark, that though we were in possession of the most satisfactory grounds to believe that it would produce no less than seven millions, yet such have been the disappointments and failures which have taken place, that we cannot senture at this moment to rely upon its producing more than six As far, however, as I have had opportunities to extend my inquiries, and examine the returns, I can see no great reason to form an opinion that the produce for 1801 will be materially defective in the former sum. But the deficiency which occurs, remains to be made good We are also called upon to provide for the discount on the loan, and the deficiency of mait, as well as for that occasioned by the exchequer bills issued in hey of the assessed taxes in 1798, and the income duties in 1799. The only just opinion which the committee can form on these points, is by comparing the assessments and ascertaining the returns t but at all events it does appear to me not very desirable for the public ser vice that the d ficiencies should not meet with adequate provisions and I shall therefore beg leave to propose the necessary sum. The next article which presents itself of a similar nature, is the amount of the exchequer bills issued on the consolidated fund and voted last year. If gentlemen will recollect that we ha e been deprived of the usual benefits an ing from the duties on malt that we have been deprived of the advantages to the revenue raulting from spirits; and that we have been who drap pointed in our expectations as to the produce of other taxes con nected in a considerable degree with those I have just noticed they will n t I am confident be surprised at these deficiencies. " When we calculated upon their probable results we proceeded upon the most sate factory and solid grounds. It is also my duty to provide for the deliciences of the consolidated fund, with respect to the payment of interest of money advanced on certain occasion such as in the case of Grenada It will Sir be further requirite to include under the same bend the interest for exchequer fills Lept affont as well as to examptehend in the supply the annual sum of '00 000/ granted to the sinking fund a measure which has been productive of such essential benefits, and which I sin cerely tru t will never be abandoned. The different articles which I have start deboth with respect to the charges incurred by Lng. land and Inland fointly and by Lingland separately will stand thus --

2 17ths by Ireland EL ato asg 31.557 173 15 17ths in En land Add for Irland 17th of 1170 oct fre il I tand other clarge nen subdated fund &c 137 711

4 315 600

Charges belonging exclusively to England Deficency of income tax	
To pay off exchaquer bills on consolidated fund	5,560,000
Interest on exchequer bills	**********************
English proportion	37,137,173
Irish ditto	4,318,000
Total	11,185,173

I now, Sir, come to the articles of Ways and Means intended to provide for the extenditure which I have stated, and the first objects that present themselves are the usual duties upon land and malt, or the duties substituted for them upon sugar, tobacco, and malt. I shall take them at the customary sum of 3,750,000/ as well as the surplus of the lottery at 300,000%. The income duty has been already stated at six millions, and deducting from it that part of the loans with which it is now charged, to the amount of 1,740,000% we shall have a disposable residue of 4,260,000%. The exports and imports I estimate at the same rate as I valued them last year, 1,250,000l though there is every reason to think they will be greater, in consequence of some regulations which I mean to propose with respect to the duties on sugar The next article is the surplus of the consolidated fund, from April 1801 to April 1802, which may be estimated at 3,100,0007 For though our expectations have in this branch been much disappointed, we are not without hopes that they will in the course of the present It must be recollected that some articles of the year be realized late additional taxes have not had time to prove operative, and that a very important article of deficiency in the revenue which has suffered, I mean by the droughts in the West Indies, and the remission of duties granted, which are no longer necessary, will, in all probability, he extremely productive. There is, at this moment, a considerable quantity of it bonded in waichouses, which will yield no less than 338,000%. There are several other articles,

MR PITTS

paring the assessments and ascertaining the returns; but at all events it does appear to me not very desirable for the public service, that the deficiencies should not meet with adequate protisions and I shall therefore beg leave to propose the necessary The next article which presents itself of a similar nature, is the amount of the exchequer bills beined on the consolidated fund and voted last year. If gentlemen will recollect that we have been deprived of the u ual benefits arring from the duties on malt that we have been deprived of the advantages to the revenue resulting from spirits; and that we have been also drap pointed in our expectations as to the produce of other taxes connected in a considerable degree with those I have just noticed, they will not I am confident be surprised at these deficiencies When we calculated upon their probable results we proceeded upon the most satufactory and solid grounds. It is also my duty to provide for the deficiences of the consolidated fund with respect to the payment of interest of money advanced on certain occasions such as in the case of Grenada It will Sir be further requnite to include under the same head the interest for exchequer bills kept affoat as well a to comprehend in the supply the annual sum of 200 000! granted to the slaking fund : a mea ure which has been productive of such essential benefits and which I sin cerely tru t will never be alumdoned. The different articles which I hav stated both with respect to the charges incurred by Lug I nd an I In land countly and by Lingland senarately will stand thus --

2 17ths by Ireland 15 17ths by Empland Adl fr Inland o 17ths of 1 170 0004

£ 10 030

31.557,177

frei if hit and other charges on con echlated fund Ac

4 115 (4)

Charges belonging exclusively to England	
Deficency of income tax	
Discount on loan, lottery, &c	
To pay oft exchequer bills on consolidated fund	5,560,000
Interest on exchequer bills	
Usual annual grant for national debt	
English proportion	37,137,173
Insh ditto	4,348,000
Total	41,485,173

I now, Sir, come to the articles of Ways and Means intended to provide for the expenditure which I have stated, and the first objects that present themselves are the usual duties upon land and malt, or the duties substituted for them upon sugar, tobacco, and malt I shall take them at the customary sum of 3,750,000/ as well as the surplus of the lottery at 300,000l. The income duty has been already stated at six millions, and deducting from it that part of the loans with which it is now charged, to the amount of 1,740,000l we shall have a disposable residue of 4,260,000l. The exports and imports I estimate at the same rate as I valued them last year, 1,250,000l though there is every reason to think they will be greater, in consequence of some regulations which I mean to propose with respect to the duties on sugar. article is the surplus of the consolidated fund, from April 1801 to April 1802, which may be estimated at 3,100,000l our expectations have in this branch been much disappointed, we are not without hopes that they will in the course of the present year be realized. It must be recollected that some articles of the late additional taxes have not had time to prove operative, and that a very important article of deficiency in the revenue which has suffered, I mean by the droughts in the West Indies, and the remission of duties granted, which are no longer necessary, will, in all probability, he extremely productive. There is, at this moment, a considerable quantity of it bonded in warehouses, which will yield no less than 338,000l. There are several other articles,

respecting which it must from their nature be uncertain how far our conjectures may be realized but after the experience of two seasons, I cannot help observing that there is no ground to think that the natural product will yield less than before to the time nue. The deticiency in malt and spirits has been between 4 and 500 0004 below the average of the three preceding years; but I believe my opinion to be well founded when I state that the produce of this year will not be less than the average statement. With respect to the biewery there is certainly no intention to dimini h the consumption; for on the continty every encouragement and ficility is given to brewers and I ther fore eccano difficulty in observing, that that article is one which after the engressed price I id or not will of necessary prove a source of great advantage in alleving the elements of the public serice. Although the deficiency in British rimts has been a ry large we are not without strong her with a de duty will go e a sum of 500 0001 more than the last year. Refore I come to the conditions of the loan it may be re-cessive to state the stems of the ways and means in their proper places and the sum to be projected for -

Lettery	200 000
Income duty 6 000 000	٥)
Income duty 6 000 000 jut reit on lean charged therein to 17 10 000 be deducted 1 7 10 000	o} 4,260 000 .
Exports and import	1 30 (20)
Surplus consolulated furd from 5th of April 180	1
to 5th April 160	3,100 (0)
To be provided for by Ir land	4214)
Lean	45 500 PM

2 740,000

41.502 PL 3

Amount of In a pint chiron 4 *19 000
On to repaire.

Carred forward Theorem

Surar male and tobacco

Brought over	*
Add Irish treasury bills	2,039,000
To be provided for by Irish loan English loan	•
	28,039,000

My next duty is to state to the committee the terms upon which the Loan has been made, but I have not thought it necessary to divide it with fractional accuracy. The statement of the terms upon which this loan has been settled, must be a subject of uncommon exultation to the country, as it affords an irresistible proof of the manliness and spirit of the people of England, to meet the attempts of the enemy, and to oppose with firmness and confidence the insidious designs of groundless alarm. They are, indeed, convinced that all classes of the community are bound up in the general interests of the country, as they appear persuaded, that to confront with perseverance and intrepidity the pends with which they are threatened, is the most certain way to secure success to their wishes and exertions. I have, Sn, remarked the insidious but vain attempts which have been made to instil discouragement into the people, but this last event furnishes an irresistible proof of their feelings, and is a decisive testimony in favour of the country Amid the alarm which had been raised, amid the perplexities and printul conjectures with which the public mind was tormented, amid the severe pressure of the moment, when this baigain was concluded, I have, Sir, the satisfaction of now stating, that while I have had the honour of being in office, never did a larger numher of great and opulent persons come forward as candidates on a I received then proposals not mercly as the similar occasion sentiments and assurances of confidence expressed by individuals, but what is better, manifested by the strength and resources of th

country. There existed among them a rival-hip which of the parties should take the loan on terms higher than I had reason to expect. There was no bonus no peculiar advantage, and the bar cain was concluded at 1751 15s, of capital in the three per cents for every 1001 in money Gentlemen will referre that it does not amount to 100/ at the value of the stocks and according to the netwal state of the murket, it was taken at a profit not exceed ing 2 or 24 per cent. There are other circumstances which ren der this transaction not less extraordinary than pleasing. After the many and prognostics which we have beard after the many seenfier aluch has been made and under the embarra smeats prosent in the actual cross of affines; get with all these obstacle, it will be found that the nominal advantage of the loan has been in re favourable candidly considered in a comparative view than any one which has been hitherto made. The rate of interest is in reality only 54 per cent and this is the ninth y or of a nor in which it must be confused our sacrifices have be a uncommonly great. I therefore trust. Sir. I may be allow. ed wherly and fairly to congentulate the house on thi favourable france in n

ft int n to out to tall property for a february the ret has a corntral during the record at his

shall state under their several heads, and the sum which I propose to raise from the whole will amount to 585,000%. The first of these is the article of Tea, on the subject of which the consideration of the house has often been engaged. The house will recollect that I did once submit to the house a measure of commutation with regard to the duties of this article, for the purpose of putting a stop to a system of smuggling which was then carried on to a great extent. The consequence was, that the system was put a stop to, and the capital of the smugglers was destroyed. Although at that time no more than six millions of pounds of teawas imported into this country, it is a positive fact that the importation has since increased to the amount of twenty-two millions of pounds. The house has always adhered to a principle which I wish to be followed on the present occasion, that of laying on taxes which should fall as much as possible on articles of luxury, which should bear upon the higher orders, and from which the lower classes might be exempt. This is the principle by which I shall now be directed. There is not a cottager in the kingdom who will not, after this duty shall be laid on, be able to purchase tea, such as he has been in the habit of using, at a cheaper rate than he could have had it twenty years ago. under the additional duties that have been laid on this article, the sales of the East India company have of late been considerably increased The new duty which I mean to propose is, that of ten per cent on the value of all teas sold above 2s. 6d per pound, and I have calculated this will produce an annual sum of 300,000%.

The next article on which I propose to lay a duty is not an aiticle of necessity; and I suppose it will not be denied but that it will bear a duty double to that which it now pays. I propose that an increase to that amount be laid on all descriptions of Paper, exempting, however, from the duty all paper-hangings and decorations which shall be exported from this country. I shall also propose to allow a discount for all paper on which shall be printed those daily publications which are so extremely interesting to all descriptions of people as to constitute a species of

all policies of inturance to double the amount they now pay. This will produce a sum of 145 0000. And thirdly I mean to propose that all deeds relating to the conveyance of property, which now pay a duty of 10s for every skin of parchiment shall pay 3s, more for every such skin. The produce arising from this may be citi mated at 93 0000.

The last general head of taxation which I mean to submit to the house is one from which a revenue has always been reard to a manner the most satisfactor, and the most consistent with the general convenience of the public of any other that ever existed This resenue is even consistent with the institute of the institution it elt because the public find much more convenience in payin, the duty and expense attending all letters sent by post, than it such mod's of conveyance were to be adopted by the purpos themselves. It is not my intention to propose an increase of pot tage upon letters that shall be sent within the distance of one bundred miles; but for distances beyond that there shall be an inerrors durate of postage and that the rates shall be in proportion to the di tances, and be more numerous than they now are. This will produce the sum of 80 000! I shall also submit to the house the laving of a duty on the packets which convey letters to and from Inland this is a tax that cannot be objected to when it is considered that the packet from Creat Britain to her reloases are subject t taxati n. I also mean t. propose a tax en all inclusures in fareign letters which together with the last duty will yield 17 0009 It is all o my intention to submit to the bone the adoption fame impitution which will arto hed I to the revenue but which will be preductive fithe great at ern merce to people residing in diff rent parts of the entiry. The pathe esta blin ment of com pour to which letters can be consecred from the town to anoth a without I in sect in the execution exercis the are at present. The is a ther branch of the post offer eatab liment from which light and made manufactured mantheed terms at the related by at the economic great me at all lead the solvent announce fight To * I fan it ill i ener The ni tome ter trank

cl postage which I have to submit to the house, and my only difficulty is to line when I im to state it correctly. I mean the pamy post, for all letters sent by which, a rate of one pamy is now paid, and this rate I shall propose to raise to two pance, which will cause an increase of 17,0007 in that department. The whole sum therefore which I expect to be derived from the increase of postage will amount to 150,0007.

The recognitulation then will stind thus

Tee, 101 per cent on all above 21 6d per lb	300,000
Paper, double the present duty, with a few everptions, and an allowance for news-papers	135,000
Printed goods, additional duty of 2½d, on all pay-	140,000
Sugars, 1s 10d per cut, the convoy duty made } proportional and the temporary one to cease	123,000
Timber, 1-3d of the present duties	100,000
Pepper, 3d per lb for home consumption	
Ditto exported, 6d per lb	
Lad, 20s. per ton exported	12,000
Is un oil, 20s a ton	12,000
Raisins to 6d per cut	12,000
Post-office	150,000
Stamps	340,000
Horses for pleasure, where only one 10s f 63,000	
On all above one, 20a 73,000	
Mariet - Marie Bridgerin A.	136,000
Ditto for husbandry, 4s	170,000
ī	1.730.000

£ 1,730,000

This is the vhole amount of the increased builtens which I am under the necessity of proposing to be laid upon the public for defraying the charges upon the loan, and I hope they will not be found to press very heavily on any description of the people of this country, but still less on the lower orders, and if that shall be the judgment of the house, it will afford me a peculiar satisfac-

1

tion to reflect that I have been enabled to acquit this part of my daty with so little inconvenience to the public

Now before I conclude this part of the sulject I am speaking of I shall beg leave to trouble the house with a few words on the subject of the income tax. At the time I first proposed that tax I had reason to hope, from the best calculation I could make that that tax would yield ten millions per annum; but I have been mutaken in that calculation and therefore I feel that I can no longer rely on more than my millions and it would on that account be irrational to hope that the application of it should be directed to the purposes originally intended. I did first propose it with a view that it should be a war tax which in time of proce should repay the excess of the public debt beyond a given amount If I was to push it so as to make it a perpetual tax I feel that I should be destroying the object stielf for which I introduced it If it should be made a mortgage for the sums to be borrowed it cannot be available for the purposes of carrying on the war; and therefore it would not be consistent with my duty to prolong the period for which this tax should be mortgaged. But although it has fallen short of my original estimate it will go a great way to amwer the purposes which I at first intended. Suppose the whole amount should happen to be ten millions a year it would not even then be necessary to mortgogo it to the extent at which I had orl ginally proposed. Since the time this tax was introduced there have been eighteen millions of the public skibt decharged by means of the nd mpts n of the land-tax. I with h wer r to extry this consideration a little further. I do think it right to look forward to the of yet of confine, this tax to the re lucit is of the dela hi the amount at which it ste id in the year 1"98. I think it will be bett it to neerre the income tax as a wor to than to publit to the white a net which I at fire intended. I think it better to a Lent the procedure health on his in well It o er er framm a piet fifeing bie mittin the gear be mittin if the ter a f f r bla the jubble to f conthresports ! sall ner infett unan tich gu. Ph verwat r transminatore -while tar drampth -

years ago, and compaie them with the state of the country in which we have been enabled to make the present loan; whoever looks at this loan and compares the terms of it with those of former loans, must see and feel the great ability which the country possesses of making increased exertions. But although these exertions, so far as they might tend to prevent the increase of public buithers, carnot be carried to the extent which I flattered myself two years ago they would then be, yet it is proper that we should see whether there are not great and essential resources still to be found in the tax on income, taking it at the limited amount of six millions. 4 That tax under certain modifications, together with the aid of the sinking fund, will be found to produce the greatest advantages to future times. After a peace shall be concluded, it will operate as a powerful means of reducing the amount of the public debt; and if we look forward with confidence to these flattering prospects, we shall have no reason to think ourselves mistaken in our hopes if we continue the same scale of exertions we have hitherto done. It is therefore my opinion, that the tax on income ought to be continued as it now is, until it shall, with the aid of the sinking fund, have reduced the deof to the amount at which it stood in the year 1798, when I first proposed that tax. We shall then have conferred the most essential and lasting benefits upon the public; and posterity will not have reason to say that we had done too little, or that we had not made great sacrifices for their benefit. The capital created in the present year will add twenty millions to the public debt, which, added to the fifty-six millions already charged on the income tax, will make a sum of seventy-six millions, the whole of which sum, according to the calculations I made last year, will, by the operation of the sinking fund, together with the income tax, be redeemed in the space of six years. I hope, therefore, that this will appear to be no very discouraging state of the country after the numberless difficulties we have had to encounter ane to anticipate new trials—to look forward to embarrassments and distresses greater than those we have already experienced, but -sure I am that, if the members of this house will be as true to their own character to their own bonour their consistency, and their powers as the attachment and vigour of the country at large has been true to them none of those difficulties that may arise will any longer be felt. I hope and trust that the unshaken firmness of this bouse united to that of a brave and loyal people will here after continue to produce the same effects which they formerly have done; and that with regard to our nature energy acd curradical stringth there will be nothing to dishearten us—nothing to make us in the slightest degree shrink from the performance of our duty. I doubt not Sir but we shall continue to be animated by that spirit and guided by that visiom which have at all times belonged to and di tinguiched the name of Legislander.

It is with uncommon sati faction that I have to direct the at tention of the house to two or three leading considerations which will give gentlemen an idea of the resources which the country lin to thound on. In the first place. I have to state that the permanent revenue of the country has far exceeded any thin, it had ever been before or any thin, which the most sanguing hopes could have anticipated. The amount of the revenue for the year end ing in January last, has exceed all by the sum of 1 500 0001 what was computed by the committee which had taken into its conid ration the finances of the country. If a look to the state of our public debt we shall it we beliefed sufficient to do away every kind of deep adency and muse our hopes. We shall find, that by alberta, to the vitem established wiveral years a of any mirror sing a certain um towards the linuidatein of the patienal I Lt no I sa sum than 50,000 000! of the engiral of that delt Los L. a. completely paid off and that the total amount of the infifond u fi e millions at the We find theref e- that u to !" standing the Lurder of the war more than ew ball of the bett contracted by one number is stone time to a feet a a finish fill c" We fel that the amount of our permittent per it signs of then the ever been in any family amount of figure are the and the sell more mensure from earned never the due the mt to course of this war much sees gratiment a

thought proper to call disastrous and rumous, this maritime nation, exposed as she has been to such numberless difficulties, to the hostility of so many powers, and obliged to maintain an immense naval and military force, has every year been increasing in resources We have increased our external and internal commerce to a greater puch than ever it was before; and we may look to the present as the proudest year that has ever yet occurred for this country The manufactures exported from this country amount in one year, according to the latest estimate, to the value of twenty-four millions, and the amount of foreign produce that has been exported is no less than seventeen millions therefore, we compare this year of war with former years of peace, we shall, in the produce of our revenue, and in the extent of our commerce, behold a spectacle at once paradoxical, inexplicable, and astonishing we shall see, that, in spite of the alarm and agitation which has often prevailed in the course of this arduous contest, in spite of the difficulties occasioned by the strange conduct of foreign nations, in spite of the despondency which has occasionally prevailed, we have still been adding to our resources, and increasing the means of continuing a war that was undertaken and carried on for the maintenance of our honour, our independence, and safety, for the defence of all that was dear to the civilized world, and for the existence of a constitution eminently adapted to all the purposes of public liberty and private happiness Amidst all the dangers that surround us, and the difficulties with which we may be embarrassed, we have still the consolation to think, that we can look up with confidence to our power and resources, that we have it in our power to meet and defeat all the schemes and combinations, which our enemies may practise or raise From this animating prospect we can look back up against us with heart felt satisfaction to what we have done, we can say to the world that we have discharged a most difficult duty, that, under all circumstances, we have maintained our consistency and our independence, and in short, Sir, that we have done every thing, which was at once calculated to preserve the rights of a just and benevolent sovereign, under whose reign the people have

enjoyed such unexampled happiness—every thing that could tend to exalt the character of a great and was legislature and preserve the liberties of a brave and loyal people.

Ms. Pitt then moved the various resolutions in conformity with his speech which were carried in the affirmative.

March 12, 1801

LOFD CASTLEREAGN having moved, as a preliminary to anotherecoon, of which he had given notice respecting the necessity of continuing to an force martial law in Ireland, "That the act for the appreciation of the late rebellion in Ireland be read."

Mr Sheridan rose and, after expressing his objections to the measure proposed, moved, "That the House do now adjourn."

Ma. Pitt

I feel that the debate in which we are now engaged involves the whole ments of the proposal which my noble friend has an nounced his in ention to submit, though by the singular use which the honourable gentleman opposite has made of a mode of proceeding within the order of the house we are nominally discussing his motion for adjournment. That course which the honourable gentleman has adopted is the more extra ritinary as every thing that he tails, every argument be adduced would have applied as well after the proposition had been captained as it did before my reble friends notion was anticipated.

Before I preced to the main que i a however I bechare to take is dice of an observation of the henoundre pent ornant on which he were d to lay grant street, a he promoter I is with uncommon emphas. The her until emishion is appeared to be sent until at a remark of my note friend that the second which do not? I street use is unexampled that which term which do not? I street use is unexampled at a track which term is not in progress was the of cit fill unalquetied. In refere

Jacobin principle. The honourable gentleman spoke of Jacobins in power, and jacobins out of power, but he did not condescend to explain distinctly these allusions. He seemed indeed to point obscurely to some share which my noble friend had, at some period, taken in the question of parliamentary reform. Surely however, the honourable gentleman will not contend that there is not some distinction between the subject of parliamentary reform and jacobinical principles surely, he will not contend, that there can be no situation in which a friend to parliamentary reform may be free from the taint of those doctrines, which have spread such confusion throughout Europe. If the honourable gentleman lumself has supported the cause of parliamentary toform at a period when he had little support in the country, except from those who professed that object to conceal deeper and more dangerous views, he cannot find any suspicion of jacobinism in the conduct of him who maintained that cause at a period when it was connected with no such deceitful ally, and threatened no such fatal conesquences. I am not so uncharitable as to suppose that every man who is now a friend to parliamentary reform, must be a friend to jacobin principles, I only crave that the honourable gentleman would allow that indulgence to those, who embraced that cause at a time less hable to suspicion and misconstruction than that, in which some others have agitated the If those who brought it forward, connected as it is with the doctrines of the rights of man and the French revolution, when detected and exposed as the pretext of those who were engaged in a traitorous conspiracy in Ireland to overturn the government, and when some of its most zealous supporters here were suspected of similar machinations, could claim a fair construction of their motives, they cannot acfuse the same charitable interpretation to those who have less occasion for the indul-If, however, the honourable gentleman is more studious to accuse himself than to acquit others in his views upon this subject, it is a very strange species of candour, the full credit of which I leave undisputed to the honourable gentleman

Returning, however, from this digression to the principal

they can be kept up to decide upon civil rights are certainly superior to other tribunals, but it does not thence follow that all military inbunals are unnecessary nor does it follow that because generally speaking they are inferior to civil tribugal they are therefore of no use at does not fellow because all the proceedings of the civil power are taken upon eath, that there is no such thing as an oath in the proceedings of the military court They do not proceed without outh to the judges nor without eath of the acciring party nor without oath of the witnesser; and therefore, although I admit the proceedings of a court martial, in general cases to be a less advantageous mode of administraing justice than that of a civil tribunal. I connot assent to the a critica that courts-martial are totally destitute of form and r tem and when the honourable laton; to ask me as a person bred to the law and therefore one from whom he expects to find a great attachment to that law wh ther I do not prefer the civil to the military informal. I must be allowed to say that I have n incre attachment to the laws of my country. Lut not more at trichment than any other homest subject of the realm. In truth all thou h brief to the learned price ion in a serv early part of my life I had but a short acquaintance with it-coough however to admire the excellence of it, but not enough to make me desn a any other a st maluch the neces mes of a state may require A a general prepention I do not contend that a court martial n a profesall mode of trial to that of the crid tribunal but I say that by a constant practice of the country in the management of its pullic concerns there have been occasions, and then always will be orea rose, where the trial by a court martial is prof raise ev n t that cla triel by jury, became be t radoptel t tue cam t Le tried. If the le not so, ir what resen i it that we are wrat aft r war in the cone and Labet of pas in the retiry ball Let to the upper of that I will the manual crim is specied to to be a trivial beyond its precedy to the microssifike end per emte y say it pres site after parlament to the

sidered what forms are best adapted to cases, instead of following one uniform course for them all, and each in ordinary times of peace and public tranquillity, it was considered that the martiallaw is better adapted to some cases than the evil authority parliament have been in the habit of adopting military law for the decision of some cases, what will they not do when the public safety depends upon adopting such a system? When the first object is the public safety; and when civil process cannot be resorted to, or the ordinary mode of chil process cannot be carried on without this military aid, I ask, what is it that pailiament will not assent to, for the purpose of accomplishing this system of protection? If this be so, will any man tell me, that retaining as I do, an enthusiastic reverence for the trial by jury, it is not better to have a partial military law, for the preservation of the essence of that very tital, than, by clinging to the name of a trial by jury, lose both the spirit and the substance of it?

Sir, if we come to the question of law, I shall not presume to argue it, I have not been long enough in the profession to possess much knowledge of it, there are others in this house ready and competent to that task, if it be necessary to discuss it. would ask, whether any man will tell me that the crime of rebellion depends on five or six, or fifty, or five thousand men assembling together? I say, no, that is not the standard to which to refer the question of rebellion. If there be a systematic plan formed for the destruction of a country, and there is a concert of men, whether three or five, or any other number, to accomplish that end, whether by burglary, or robbery, or murder, or any species of criminality v hatever, or, in furtherance of that plan, to deter the loyal and peaceable part of the community from being true to their allegiance, for the purpose of executing with more ticility their martial law, as a system either of terror or otherwise, to rob triumphantly, or take away by stealth-whether it be "the pestilence that walketh in the darkness, or the sword that wasteth in the noon-day," if its character be rebellion, rebellion be it called,

-if its effect be to defeat the purposes of civil process whether by skulking with the dagger of the as asun in its hand or by assuming the parade the pomp and the circumstance of I will not say gloriou war it is still relation. Whether flagitious, hold and epig or is in inuating and crafts -whether with much or with little blood hed may be points that may constitute a difference in the

digrie but which is most detectable is a thin, not worth de-What then is the question before us? Whether you will now take a qualified restrained limited governed a artial law and preserve the great mass of the civil makes of the subjects in hi 1 or will linzard an opinion in which the whole may be thrown ollered and brander beauteran beddenpur dt t in tune ntroulable practice of martial law?-I say tuat

i a question no howest intilligent man can possibly be-Till the side a pill man has alluded to the character of the

1 11 Minigur mt is at the head of the executive power

should not have many supporters in this house, or in this country, and yet the honourable gentleman's instance of the mistake made by one court-martial, amounts to no more than that which I have stated

But it is said that the courts of law are open! True, the courts of law have been open, the judges have been enabled to hold their assizes, because the wise and benevolent measures that have been pursued, because the very measure now in discussion, afforded that protection and security which justice could not otherwise have obtained. It is owing to their salutary precautions that civil process has been preserved to the peaceable. If, amidst such perilous circumstances, the laws have maintained their course as in time of peace, if individuals have been protected, and the constitution of the state defended, it is by a continuance of the same vigorous, but tempered system, that Ireland can be maintained in the enjoyment of tranquillity, and secured from a recurrence of those disastrous scenes, of which the calamities must be fresh in every man's recollection.

The motion of adjournment was negatived, and Lord Castlereagh's motion passed without a division *

March 25, 1801.

On a motion by Mr Grey, for the House to resolve itself into a Committee of the whole House, to inquire into the State of the Nation,

MR PITT said,

That after what the house had heard from his right honourable friendt, and much as he was interested in the question,

* On the 14th of March Mr Pitt resigned the offices of First Lord of the Treasury and Chancellor of the Exchequer, and other changes in the ministerial departments at the same time took place. The new Administration consisted of,

Right Hon Henry Addington - - } First I ord of the Treasury and Chancel-

+ Mr. Dundas.

various occasions and which he could not flatter himself with having mented

Much however as he felt these entiment, there were other which he felt shift inore atron by and then fore he was under the neces ity of submitting some ideas upon the ubject lefore the house. This was not a question's lefy applicable to himself or to he cillingues for fit were however done the topics of such a case might be to him he should have been induced to give the louse but little trouble on that account. No this was a question which involved til honour of that house and the claracter of the other the honour of the one and the constitutional freedom of the other. This motion taken in that view of the subject, I would put to the house this question —I be her it was prepared to retract all that it had decart I ail don for the last non-motion entitle carry and had claim. If it mind on the nature of that

1

being told they should tread back their steps to avoid a general havor over all Europe, instead of pursuing such steps uniformly and steadily should adopt the advice—if ever the moment should arrive, when the house would listen to and follow such counsels, he should then indeed begin to think that there was some ground for the prediction which had been uttered of the downfull of this empire—but, thank God! there was no appearance of any such downfall, because there was no probability that the advice and counsel he had just alluded to, was to be taken as a remedy for any evil which was alleged to afflict us

He therefore spoke with less apprehension of danger than he should don't these things were doubtful, upon the motion of the honourable gentleman, and with the less anxiety as to many parts of that gentleman's speech, when he reflected on the manner in which it had been answered by his right honourable friend, indeed, he thought he perceived something which conveyed an idea, that the honourable gentlemen opposite to him, did not entertain any very sauguine hope that they would be able to prevail on the house to assent to the motion now before it, they did not seem to think 'hey had laid before it materials, to call upon it to retract all it had bitherto asserted, or reverse all it had bitherto done in the course of the present war. This consideration, therefore, supposing he felt no other, would have induced him to remain silent on this debate, but he felt a mixed sensation, from what had fallen from an honourable gentleman, and from a noble lorde, with whom he had the honour of being connected in kindred, as he had hitherto been in political sentiments. He felt grateful for the unmerited expressions of good opinion which his noble kinsman, and those with whom he was most immediately connected, had directed towards him, but he must confess, that he should have been better pleased, both as a public man, and a private individual, if he had heard sentiments that were less fayourable to himself, and more favourable to others, who were now in his Majesty's service, and if he felt any other than such

wishes be apprehended be should have been unworthy of the good quitton which the mobile lord had been pleased to express of him is record to the help strong that these who, like the node I of acre to at for the motion were without intending it adopting a course the most unbirt, the most unbird towards these to whom they professed friendship that they possibly could purpue and at the same time a course that would be the most mischies our with regard to the interests of the public

Now us to the word entain which he perceived had an offer on some gentlemen on the other side, which he did not intend to produce he meant in thing unerid to those gent einer; but the terms should judge, whether his ideas were just or not. There were two sets of gentlemen who were desired to sole on price left the same question, on two grounds, that were not only deduced, but opposite. Of the he thought I marificantified to complain. He thought I had some reason to complain that his of portent was to have the I neft. I then soles of some of the literator of the late all ministrator in whill be who was one of such administrators bid only the benefit of a speech firm his filends, thus his cold remote a spread in him the fullest confidence and yet pureous him than the fullest confidence to confide in these loss to condemnation. I cannot he did not choose to confide in these loss had not extend to the next flor. In hope, the night he permitted to observe.

In the next place. In loop, the might be permitted to electrical there was no pairt in hich had been more departed at that howe (althous hither this limbs rever appeared to but the infliction has the infliction and the minute of confidence of the construction of the department of confidence of the transmission of the department of the department of the construction of the transmission of the construction of the constructio

other be new in his employment it was not therefore, at the time, judged that he should have no confidence personally, (for certurily that was not claimed for him), but it was said, that he came into administration with sentiments opposite to those which had been held by men who preceded him in office, and who had enjoyed the confidence of the house, (he meant the sentiments of the honourable gentleman opposite to him"), and the question was then, whether he, who was then said to hold sentiments different from those which were said to have had the confidence of the house, should have any of that confidence placed in him, that was the way in which the point was put then. But the way in which it was put now was absolutely whimsical. for it was now stated, " Here is a ministry who have had the full confidence of the house of commons "-words which he did not presume to utter for himself, but which, for the purposes of this debate, were uttered by others for him-and gentlemen had said, that within a few hours of his departure there was an appearance of stability in his Majesty's government. But what was the complaint now? Not that the persons who now claimed the support of the house differed from those who had received that support, as he was stated to have done in 1783 (how correctly that was stated was another question), but that those who now claimed the confidence of the bouse, ought not to have it, because they professed the same principles as those who have so long possessed that confidence. The reason for this was a very curious one, it was stated by certain gentlemen to be that of their not knowing why his Majesty's late ministers had retired -so that confidence was to be withheld from his Majesty's present servants till gentlemen knew why their predecessors went out of office, and till the new ones were known. He did not see why gentlemen were to withhold their confidence from his Majesty's present ministers because they did not know why their piedecessors retired, he did not know why gentlemen wanted any more information on that subject than they possessed already They knew almost all

they should know and he heli ved all they would know upon that subject. But I ere the jublic were to be deprixed if the set views of those who had been chosen by the crown merely become there was about the retirement of their predecessors something which these gentlemen said they did not understand, and because the house did not know how the new minuters would act. He understood that they were person, who would not not her own judgment as they ought to do in each particular, but that their general principles were the same; and then it came to the that their the supporters of the present motion said the hour ought to with hold its confidence from the present ministers not because they were the riverse but because they were the same in principle with those in whom the house had confided.

points on which it usually afforded its confidence, it would first every rea on fir affording it to the present ministers. It was interthat mini ters should be men known to the house of common be for the house confuled in them. Be it so -- That could not !made applicable to the situation to which they were at any 1 m t be eppointed because that would go to the exclusion of confethere in any man where yer he came into a new attration. There e uld be no experience. Them in that situation until he was tri & But when pers in wen tred in one situation, and had acquitted thin el a little role with eine thin credit that they writte do Lang of r mustim untiprintely methy to the c tray opposed. If the wes not correct between he was sery an hiter I H I sullke to know on what price to it # r in prepared of uppartal care tertile questioned with that the table rect reliably din a form the ? 1 1 V tiles profes a coll di a nomina tantir there? To his a try two at place Straff I'm it extends to all gill beit ? ीयुक्तिक रिकास स्थापित स्थापन स्यापन स्थापन 11-1-0-11 c + 4 (+ + h ta attetia regenda et er tag 1 1 1 1 2 7 ten the distri

try, and had lately been unanimously re-elected to that hig'station. Was this the person of whom the house of commons were to say, they would not confide in him, because, at a moment of difficulty, (dissembled by none, but exaggerated by some persons who loved to dwell on any topic which give any thing of a gloom to our affairs), he quitted a situation of the highest authority that a representative of the people could possess, for one of greater trouble and perplexity, and at a moment when honourable gentlemen were holding out the difficulties of the situation to be insuperable? To refuse confidence to such a person in such a situation, appeared to him to be repugnant to common sense and to common justice, and he could not help saying, that he was astonished at what his noble friend; and the honourable baronet; had said that night on some parts of this subject

Again he would say, that it he saw a noble lord & called to the situation of a secretary of state, he was ready to ask, without the fear of receiving any answer that would disappoint him, whether gentlemen on the other side knew any man, who was superior to that noble lord, who for the last ten years had more experience of state affairs, and who had given greater proof of steady attention to public business, of a better understanding, of more information, who possessed in a greater degree all those qualities which go to quality a man for great affairs? He was ready to ask gentlemen on the other side, if they knew any one among themselves who was superior to his noble friend? Let them give him the He should like to take the opinions of the different individuals on the other side, if it were not a painful thing to put it to then modesty, whether any one among them, except one honourable gentleman || whose attendance was of late so rare that he might almost be considered as a new member-whose transcendant talents, indeed, made him an exception to almost any rule in every thing that required uncommon powers, but whose conduct was also what ought, generally speaking, to be an exception also to the

^{*} Mr. Addington + Lord Temple | Sir Wni. Young § Lord Hawkesbury | Mr. Fox.

rules which ought to guide the affairs of the country; which conduct had been at variance in some respects from that of almost every other publi man and which if followed must have been lighly injurious to the true interest of this country-b input I it he knew of no on on the opposite side of the house (except the honoural le gentleman lie had allud d'to, where experience was as great as his faculties were tray condant), that was more than enual to his noble friend in capacity for business. He did not mean to offer any incirclety to gentlemen on the other sid but he did not think that he had of end either of them an dires ragement whatever when he said that relither of them was more than equal to his noble friend

Was it necessary for him to say much of the faculties and fit nes in every particular of a certain is bl I rd * who was lik ly soon to have the cu tody of the great mal? He was surely not new to the country whose character for head knowledge for inte rity and for a cluster of those qualities which fit blim for that I he face bed ben long acknowledg to There va re il the mee sary on talinh of such a character

Of other indu lual of the in wado in train is he e uhl ere such the if however indular his feelings upon the tare to hould be in d n refe arring the home. Then was homen r er-character of ml in he could not fortear a mling - It w -!! c up to the hou that it was not un east thing to perly the te ful late time lord of the editionality I sel Specier and t hear uld it at the come at Earl St Ame at aculd of there in a wifeet all title lend even the areces with t' m! Il erofanse bernan kumne if in country and that the engeneth majer defnar at chech if rellife execute fit executives and at Marth off the man e be to my till to old curin the fine illace and e the man to decompose has been about answer me eles -"ils e Hat forth e] of him to latge é arest se la Pipé d'Are 1 m yer 1 arm or a life handlinger yet mellet lief

the spirit of the country would not be impaired, nor in any degic slackened, but exerted with vigour towards bringing it to a termina tion; or, if we were still to struggle with continued difficulties, be would ask, was not the name of that noble earl a shield and I ulwark to the nation? He would therefore say, that gentlemen spoke with but little reflection, or even consideration, when they said the present administration were not entitled to the confidence of that house, or of the public-he meant, of course, no more than a constitutional confidence. All he contended for was, that unless some good reason were assigned to the contrary, the house was bound, by the best principles of policy, as well as by the true spirit of the constitution of this country, to wait to see the conduct of the ministers of the crown, before they should withhold their confidence. On this subject of confidence, let not gentlemen suppose that a committee on the state of the nation could be of the least use, because nothing that could be there disclosed could give the house more information than the house possessed already on that matter, not could any thing be done in that committee that could alter the present posture of the executive government, unless the committee should pass a resolution to withdraw its confidence from the present ministers of the crown, and to give it to their opponent * and his friends, in order to make them successors to them, which would be a pretty strong measure, and border on an encroachment on the prerogative, besides introducing principles the very reverse of those, which had hitherto invariably had the sanction of parliament. He did not mean to use any oppio--brious epithets towards gentlemen on the other side, but he ceitamly did not say more than was warranted by fact, when he said, that, by the constant course of the determination of parliament, the numciples of these gentlemen had been reprobated

Having said this, he would now utter a word or two for his colleagues, and for himself. With regard to their quitting their offices, he did not see any mystery about that subject, and he thought he was entitled to rely on the candour of gentlemen on 184

the other side for believing the sincerity of their declarations en the occasion. The honourable gentleman who spoke that, was pleased to say be would allow that ih case of a public measure of importance which a minister found be could not propose with success or that he was not able to propose as a measure which was assuredly to receive the assistance of those who compose the executive government and that such a measure a munister could not conscientiously give up or abandon-that such a condutin of things would be sufficient to excuse a minister for returns and would indeed give a might to retire. Now after that allowance of the honourable gentleman it was matter of astones. ment to him that any doubt could have been entertained by that honourable gentl man on that part of the subject or that he did not at once admit, that the circum tance which had been suffer ently explained already land amounted, in the opinion of that he roumbl gentleman, to a complete ju tification of himself and others who had retired. He admitted, however to the honoursble gentleman, that if a person who filled an office of important trus under government but I runed the project of proposing me in naure which did not appear to him to be of much public mapert us although he had mad by his mand upon it, but which he elder tentry into effect stein clearly that the Lut of the governorms to which he mad a parties again thim then it a it duty if uch a minister to fore, o that opinion and to sacre

tar (rad Ir late out it was extrem by painful to him to be old and to say mult and olon a occupy the attention of the brown The would be the that I belt will my little purpose it the accommission that follows remarketing t at fatrigatedhi nim eni idri din f e et a user t ist what falter bob factor where well eit thuse of orting and luter couldn't a e it that we take the in he net all the f by material ment etc. it we am by me at fine

Co rather t an withdraw his a stance from gescrament in t e

account, and refer only to whet had taken place within the last two months, and he would venture to allege, that enough had happened within that time to wipe off the idea of his being disposed to shrink from difficulty, or wishing to get fid of any responsibility. What had happened within that period had afforded him an opportunity of showing, in a particular manner, that he was willing to be responsible to any extent which his situation cast in that particular he had had the good fortune, however unfortunate the cause, to have shown that he was not only a party, but that he was the deepest of all parties in responsibility, in the adoption of a measure the most critical with regard to himself and his colleagues. He was therefore led to say, as to the measure which had induced him to quit his situation, that he did believe the importance of it, and the circumstances by which it was attended, to be such, that while he remained in office he should have been unable to bring it forward in the way, which was likely to be eventually successful, and therefore he judged that he should serve less beneficially the public, as well as the parties more immediately the objects of it, in making the attempt, than in desisting from the measure. His idea of the measure itself was, that it was one which upon the whole had been better adopted than refused under all the encumstances such was also the idea of those who had acted with him. and they had therefore thought it better that they should quit their offices, than continue under such circumstances in his Majesty's service. In doing this they had acted purely from principle, they had acted in such a manner as had satisfied their own minds, which was to them important, and he hoped they had acted in such a manner as would, one day or other, be perfeetly satisfactory to the public, so far as the public should ever think it worth their while to be concerned in his conduct.

The measure to which he alluded, had he proposed it, as at one time he wished, was not one which gentlemen on the other side of the house were likely to look on lightly, although he should have had the good fortune to have their support if he had brought it forward, that is on one part, but he did not think that he

ters to which ome gentlemen for purposes, perhaps not very doubtful have been pleased to attach to much importance? I know of no right which the house of commons itself still less a committee can have to require of any man to state his recomfor tendering his resignation to his sovertient; nor is it a comm a thing for the pullic to require it. A man very often indeed makes his appeal to the public on goin, out of office and that remetimes as much with a wish to be re-institled as any thin, but I never heard of a man being called on to exculpate himself from the charge of resigning. But gentlemen say that, by our being silent on the subject of the catholic question, we have brought the name of our sovereign into di repute and the bonourable gentleman chooses to put a construction on our number ing silent, and then to a k a question, whether the catholics had or had not been decribed. And upon the obtacles to the men sure as they are stated in a paper of which I shall take notice shortly the how urable gentleman says that maxwerable obstatics are in the way of the measure. I do not know what paret he took up I cannot be responsible for it mor indeed for the serial accuracy of any paper whatever. I believe the word which the hon memble gentleman has alluded to was really insuper its and a treasurrolle. Com that subject all I will by it that-That although I me hed to subtrict the que tion of the carl above parliament, there were such educations stated as made me fold impossible with projectly to bring the memory Greened at a turnstee. They are if a peral words I choose to use upon if auty c. the loss orall ginthman. Lall dia e form me no al m s and t dir ten the storct. He may a pu as la r the form of world by I that I form the of a rel 1 Cottemerating translation of exterpress

Pittstalling at own from morphish of ect. Cer is to be a left the ecc in not the end of the enables with a notice that is not to be expected by a relationary of the expect of the expected by a left to be a left to

will not answer interrogatories. I will tell those gentlemen, however, that upon this subject they deceive themselves grossly. Should they be able to establish that the opinion of the sovercion made it impossible to bring the subject forward, they would gain nothing by it, for, should the opinion of the sovereign be what it might, or the opinion of his servants what it might, of the sovereign to dispense with the services, or of the servant to tender his resignation, at would still remain the same. Let these gentlemen but once be able to shake this principle, and they will have done more than they will be willing to avon towards the destruction of the monarchy they will have established the most extravagant part of an oligirchy that ever was creeded in any state, for then neither the sovereign could dishuss, nor the subject resign, without an explanation being made to the public. So that the sovereign, the father of his people, could never part from his servants, unless he condescended to shew that they gave him bad advice; nor his servants tender their resignation, unless they could prove that something was attempted to be imposed upon them which they could not, in their consciences, approve Now, I would ask, is that the state, or is it desirable it should be the state, of the monarchy of this country? Certainly it is not. The use of the name of the sovereign for the purpose of influencing opinions in this house, or in any deliberative assembly, is justly deemed unconstitutional. The sovereign exercises his opinion on the sentiments, as well as capacity, of his ministers, and if, upon either, he Judges them to be incompetent, or in any degree unfit, it is the prerogative, and, with perfect loyalty, let me add, age the duty, of the crown to dismiss such ministers. Allow me also to say, that if a minister feels, that, from a sense he entertains of his duty, he, ought to propose a measure, but is convinced that his endeavours must be ineflectual, to that his services must be limited to a narlower compass than he could desire, and that success, in some mat terral point, is impossible, he ought to be permitted to retire in proportion to the difficulty which the sovereign may have in accepting the resignation of such a minister, ought to be his love for such a sovereign. I hope I am not deficient in my duty to the best

of covereigns and I he pe the whole ground and crotine of my actions will continue to be justified during the whole of the trops. This is all I shall say upon this subject, which may perhaps be saving more than I outh

With respect however to the assumptes said or supposed to have been held cut to the catholies of Ireland. I would add a few words. The honourable rentleman has alluded to a paper circulated in that part of his Majests a dominica. It was a memorandum sent in the name of a noble lord at the head of the exceptive consernment of Ireland-a character revered by all also know him, and where name I am persuaded will not be profuned not mentioned in this country with any disrepect. I know it to be true that the noble lord did feel it right as a matter of public didy to make a communication to persons must immediately among the cutholics and to state the motives which led to the late of ance that took place in his Majests a conneils in ord a to present any more presentation of that subject then addicate the dancer of the public tranquillity. I be to state that matter clearly and do timetly it and my express dente not consessed by my off but through a wife friends of mine sitting near me, that the noble I of should tike the opportunity of doiry this I do not a comite any ment fet it; but I think it is an an wer to any charge a siry us upon this sub-Jet framiones that we betro time in make that operate tion and explanation of our metrics and the principle of it was the that the attempt to enter out all her at the time weall er'y be productive it public stabates ment. The i presentation was then he email a lat with my ct in it particular paper lef ent. nes refrest by exact I wat a ben tout be prize artifered fell filledarfine fillerne boltwert reports Matchard do les cheremontes for I ediene it linterpret to the to the ter i fishe paper that! lated a lit the notine to it me and much to it at the gallefed and tell alternate part of the die provide meetly exception to del er my presiment col #

subject; and it is fit, not only that this house should know them, but also that the community at large should know them -I mean that a measure of that sort appeared to me to be of much importance under all the circumstances, and that being unable to bring it forward as a measure of government, I thought I could not therefore in honour remain in the situation in which I then stood, and that I was desirous of letting it also be understood, that, whenever the objection I alluded to did not exist, the same obstacle did not interpose, every thing depending on me, as well as those who thought with me, I should do, for that I was desirous of carrying that measure, thinking it of great importance to the empire at large, but that, in the mean time, if any attempt to press it, so as to endanger the public tranquillity, should be made, or to pervert the affection of any part of his Majesty's subjects, we should take our full share in resisting such attempts, and that we should do so with firmness and resolution These are the sentiments which I expressed, and I did hope that the day would come when, on the part of the catholics, should such a measure be revived, it would be carried in the only way in which I wished to see it carried, which was certainly conformable to the general tranquillity of the empire As to any other pledge, I beg leave to give none-I have engaged myself to give none-I will give none-either now or at any time. I have contributed, as far as peaceable endeavours could go, according to my judgment, in the best manner I could at the moment, for the general interests of the country

This is all I shall say on this part of the subject, and I am ashamed to have been obliged to trouble the house so much as I have done, especially as another branch of it remains, and on which I must still say a few words—it relates to a question, Whether any of those who have retried from office, had so pledged themselves to the catholics as to be under the necessity of resigning their offices because they could not perform their pledge? I beg leave to deny that, and, what is more satisfactory, I believe I am authorised in denying that the catholics concerved themselves to have received any such pledge. I know that the noble lord to whom

I have alluded and my pold fread near me who toust have been a party to such transaction, if any such had passed did a two corrections. I do not now, not ever did so concerte it. That the eatholies might have conceived such an expectation is most miumi - Why ? Because the mon, attentively I have reflected on it repecially aft r th union, the measure has appeared to me to be solutary and expedient and I can have no ten on to think that they were his causium in their experiments on that subject than I was. That they thought there was a very ardable chance for the mea are a most certains for I believe there was no one in this house not, I believe in the other house of parliament, who in argument has att rapted to deny that the difficulties would be considerably dimenshed on this subject, after the measure of the unk n was accompained. I was of that openin when the subject was deleated-I am of that e person at Il-ard the reasons in farour of it do sery much prepared rates this, benefit was aftern stds gi en up on motives af expediency. An expectation in favour of this messure there was; but a pledge I do districtly state there was more

He in said this much on the change of his Majory a minrior and the measure of extending the remaining privileges to the cd. those of Ireland I tall not in allested use after the alles I tim tein taterren ef myn hi hi henoambli inera mil any segimes us to the came and progress of the was which tare tion to entyres of a pear to complicatione. But if it a seemy I call interminate plant how diff more arms manufaction the three of the fire and a nection to the extract the the the the transition of the traces and a fact we with accretely produced them that a state on discount by hin in intin on a a muditidir tet metoling tarbat ha co palactif a rangely lightly for built a coor or example of a another leave to the name nate let to be t relate " is a carrin con demonstrate T T G AL WALL W . C. E-

ternal tranquillity, and the suppression of destructive and anarchical principles, and the third was, the preservation of the national independence and prosperity. If we have fuled in one of these objects, we have most completely accomplished the others, and it is no inconsiderable consolation to us, that we have at this mement, in the wreck of surrounding nations, the glory and satisfaction of maintaining the dignity and happiness of the country. We have kept our resources entire, our honour unimpaired, our integrity inviolate, amid all the discordant elements of jarring confederacies, while those states which did not act in unison with the manly protection which we afforded to their wants and prayers, became the victims of the common enemy. We have not lost, in the midst of all the dreadful convul-ions which have devastated Europe, a single foot of territor,; and we have given to the rest of the world many chances of salvation These Sir, were the general objects of the war; and the details of our operations and successes have been so amply enumerated by my right honourable friend, as to render any comment or observation from me unnecessary.

I have only one word to say on the state of the finances, as a charge has been thrown out that it has been a var of unevampled profusion. If on this head any specific charge be made, I can only say that I shall be at all times ready to meet it. I can, however, say, that I have at least the ment of rendering the system more plain than on any former occasion, even when the sums necessary to provide for the exigencies of the public service did not ramount to one-tenth of the predent disbursements. That consideration, he . r, exis no committee on the state of the nation. It is a fair comparison made between the expenses of the present war, and that thich preceded it; and it is considered at the same time, that the last war was one carried on and conducted by regular means and with accustomed method, and that the present's with a country thich stales its capital in the confest, which unable to support the narfare with any regular r reduc, is atpelled to make an inroad upon its stock, and diminich tre for

194

source of revenue and it will be found that the present war las been conducted with unexampled economy and frugality. That an universal pressure has been produced bearing upon all orders of the people cannot be denied; but the fact of economical expenditure during the present war must at the same time be salmit. I wish not to go deeply into the subject but if gentlemen will look at the state of the revenue, excluding the taxes intered during the present cont at and taking only the taxes which rainful at the conclusion of the list pence, they will find that, allowing for some deficiency upon beer and malt, those permanent taxes have increased in produce about 4 000 0001, per annum since the period of that peace. They will also find that if they look a little furth r the taxes apprepriated to the sinking fund now produre little less than \$ 000 000/ per annum, roaking together the com of 0 000 0008 by which the amount of the permanent revenue has been increased unce the conclusion of the last peace-a surwhich is within 10,000,000? of the amount of the int res. fell the sums borrowed during the more years that the war has unfine turately continued that the expenditure of the present has been way come I rable has then in all other former wars cannot have moment be deputed. The knowledge of this fact had hope tol-Erier t to operate assume antide to to that dosps adener which m *1 Le denved form a general mention of these topics without lefaring them to the test of remoder detail. This information is surely competent to an abiliate all the alarm of banch expendifan and rumous expenditure which are so frequently smanled and from which I know us no bon fit that can crow botten! that present at memoral steps at a minerary of which is secured. at ly to impair the an ery of the country and my had half in #15mile

Interaction knows to usual introduces for the second of the latest timers or to time a time for a time of the latest of the action of the second of the seco

impolicy to his Majesty's late ministers. They speak as if th blow was already struck, or had been inevitably decided on, L no man can say that all hopes of pacification with the northern powers are wholly excluded. It was the carnest, wish of those ministers that the extremity of war might be avoided . at the same time they were prepared for both -either to commence a war with rigour and energy, in defence of the dearest rights and interests of the country, or finally to settle the question in dispute on terms consistent with the honour and dignity of the country. Were his Majesty's ministers tamely to suffer the country to be borne down by the hostility of the northern powers, or were they quietly to allow those powers to abuse and kick it out of its right? They wished to bring the question to a prompt decision, whilst at the same time they rendered the fall smooth for pacific negociation-[Here Mr. Pitt went over the grounds of the question relative to neutral bottoms, denying that fice bottoms make fice goods; contending that contraband of war ought to include naval as well as military stores, maintaining that ports ought to be considered in a state of blockade when it was unsafe for vessels to enter them, although the ports were not actually blocked up; and denying -the right of convoy to preclude neutral ships from being searched. In support of these opinions, he quoted the decisions of courts of lan, and treaties entered into between this country and various other powers, in which he contended the rights now claimed by this country had been expressly acknowledged. He then proceeded as follows]-It was during the short time, Sir, that the right honourable gentleman* filled the office of secretary of state, who, from the greatness of his genius, might have been led to those bold attempts which by common minds would be denominated rashness-it was during that short period that he advised his Majesty to cede these rights in behalf of the Empress of Russia, for the purpose of purchasing her friendship, and preventing that sobereign from joining France, with whom we were then at war,

How far this was good policy I will not now presend to diversi but in this as in every other comon of the same nature it is plan the right rested in this country since it could not give what it did not pessess. It was eeded as a matter of favour net given up as a matter of right. Let it, however be grant d that it was an act of sound policy to make that cession to Puwa, that it we so at that time when our raval inferiority was too unforturally completions-when we were at that with France with Spart and with Helland and when the addition of Rus ian Let ity might have been a senous evil does it f llen that at the present moment when the feets of all the northern rowers combs d with those of France and Spain, and of Holland would be unequal to a contest with the great and sprenor ental power of La. Led-does it I llow that we are to earnfee the manuscripte res of Britain at the shope of Bowis! Stall we allow to " freedom to the trade of I rance !- hall we suf ribat cour of and cut her 12,000 000 of easen and record her improve it return, t enlarge private capital and merra e the nubbe s ack behall we all I'm her to receive ental a ves to a turbed and rate I sold and refit that many which the rat or of cor seamen Las d stroyed t-shall we'r duutanly goe up our manusse cot to tart and expose can less to seem, to dinifor, and copt mpt? 30 men can deplote more than I do it I for all turns if w -1 4 There the Frent limit fitte to exented out her eventuals and ackreate me there are rous and art aided printy's of protection commercial action the effect of a select of Tear mare hase barnd to prolone a tre ent ef er and her macroscraftle natifalise frage adm " fance of the most whoma area to and economisms which Lay or traverses graphs to fix on a lacropy with hits til imegata para elika pasar 11 P an efflia at by utile freebooks who pers ? talenation of 191 for the carries of the filters of remote do nor end add ? ad a totally I am at it totated lacked

shilling and the last drop of blood, rather than tamely submit a degrading concession, or meanly yield the rights of the country to should usurpation.

November 3, 1801.

To r He so has my proceeded to the order of the day for taling into consideration the probability orticles of peace with the French Republic, that part of his Maie, y's speech which related to the prohimmary treaty, and also the trenty nor becomes

It visitles moved by Sir Edmand Hertop,—"That an humble address be preserted to his Maje ty, thanking his Maje ty for being graciously pleased to order the preliminaries of peace with I rance to be laid before that House—To as are I is Majest, of their just series of this fresh instance of his paternal care for the welfare and happiness of his people, and to express their firm relimite, that the final ratification of those preliminaries will be highly advantageous to the interests, and honourable to the character, of the British ration."

Mn. PITT delivered his sentiments in support of the address.

He said, that upon a subject in itself of such importance, and one upon which it was unfortunately his lot to differ from some with whom it had been his happiness to have been connected by the strictest ties of friendship, for the greater part of his life, he was anyous to deliver his sentiments, before the attention of the house, and his own powers, should be exhausted by fatigue. In considering the question, whether these terms should be accepted or rejected, there was one proposition which he might lay down, with, he believed, but little danger of contradiction, and that was, that for some time past, all rational, all thinking men, had concurred in an opinion, that whatever their wishes might have been, whatever hope, might at different periods of the war have been

ertained, yet that ofter the events which had taken place en continent of Europe the question of peace or war between eat Britain and Prance became a question of terms only. In ang down this proposition he desired not to have it a limited exads and rejected in substance. Aft r the conclusion of the ace between Franco and the great continuntal powers, aft r the solution of the confederacy of the states of Lurope-a confede ry which he had supported to the utmost of his power and th respect to which he still retained the same sertiments -ofter e dissolution, however of that confideracy it became in infr mestion of the terms to be obtained for ourselves, and for these ies who still remained faithful to us and to their own interests saying this he was aware that he differed from many of whose igments he had the highest opinion, and whom he both I ve ! d benoured but it was the firm conviction of his mind, and i s his daty both to the house and the public, fully and eardiers state his sentiments upon the subject. When he said, that if a estion of peace or war between this country and France with a estion of terms and, he welled to be und introduction inslow about the gre ral complixion of the peace es all et ,.

had come to a question of terms, and the pacific dispositions of " enemy corresponded with ours, he for one should have acted upthat principle, and knowing that to be his own feeling upon the subject, he should neither act with fanness nor candour if he did not apply it to another administration. He did not pretend to state to the house, that this peace fully answered all his wishes. but the government had undoubtedly endeavoured to obtain the best terms they could for the country, and he was ready to contend, that the difference between the terms we had obtained and those of retaining all which we had given up, would not have justified ministers in protracting the war. He was anxious upon this subject to speak plainly, because it was one on which he ought to have no reserve, either with the house or with the country. What the terms were to which this country ought to look in the present state of Europe, had been, in his opinion, most accurately and most ably described by his noble friend . The principle upon which administration acted, and in which he perfectly concurred with them, was, that in selecting those acquisitions which we wished to retain, it was our interest not to aim so much at keeping possession of any fresh conquest which we did not materially want, as to endeavour to retain those acquisitions which, from their situation or from other causes, where the best calculated for confirming and securing our ancient territories. The object which must naturally first present itself to every minister, must be to give additional vigour to our maritime strength, and security to our co-It was to them we were indebted for the un-Ionial possessions. paralleled exertions which we have been enabled to make in the course of this long and eventful contest, it was by them that we were enabled, in the wreck of Europe, not only to effect our own security, but to hold out to our allies the means of safety, if they had been but true to themselves.

In thus considering the subject, it was necessary to look to the leading quarters of the world in which we were to seek for this security. It was evident that our acquisitions were all in the Medi-

terrancan in the East and in the West Indies. Those who thou bit that this country ought to retain all its acquisitions would of eccaconsider any cession made by us as incompatible either with our anfety or with our honour But those win d'd not go the lerg's would agree with him in thinking that when we were to give buck a part and retain a part of our conquests at was our duty to een nder which of them were the best calculated to promote the two great leading objects to which he had before alluded and if it should appear upon examining the present treaty of peace that in two out of the three quarters which he had incounted sur la the Last and West Indies, we had retained such possessions as were the best calculated to effect the security of our ancient perrevious, we had every circumstance considered done as much as enuld be expected. Unthout undertalant, our conquest in if Mediturranean and the gallant achievements by which they list been effected, especially the capture of Malta (and certainly ru man was less inclined to undersalue them than he was,) yet it me-Le admitted by every man acquirated with the real int rists & this country that compared with the Ea t and West labor the Mediterrancan is but a secondary con ideration, undered the was

a promission so closes that it was unnecessary for him to en it

or powers, with whom we might happen to be in alliance. agreed with his noble friend", that when there was not a powerful confederacy on the continent in our favour, this country, with all its inval superiority, could not make any very serious efforts on the continent. yet, in the case of such a confederacy, much undoubtedly would be done by the co-operation of the British mayy in the Mediterranean. But at the present moment, and situated as Europe at present is, we ought not, upon any one principle of wisdom or policy, to prefer acquisitions in the Mediterranean, to the attainment of the means of giving additional security to our possessions in the East and West Indies. It was upon this principle that he heartily approved of the choice which ministers had made, in preferring our security in the West Indies to any acquisitions that we might have made in the Mediterranean, because he considered it as a rule of prudence which ought never to be deviated from, not unnecessarily to mortify the feelings or pride of an enemy-["Hear! hear!" from the other side]-Gentlemen, from their manner, seemed to think that he had not always adhered to that maxim he would not interrupt his argument by entering into a personal defence of himself, but, whenever gentlemen were inclined to discuss that point, he was perfectly ready to meet them, giving them the full benefit of any expressions that he had ever Supposing the events of the war to be equally balanced, and in negociating for one of two possessions, both of equal value, but that our possessing one of them would hunt the feelings or mortify the pride of the enemy more than the other, he should think that a justifiable reason for selecting the other: he did not say this from any affectation of sentiment, or peculiar tenderness towards the enemy, but because an enemy would not give up such a possession without obtaining from us more than an equivalent this principle, he hoped the house would concur with him in thinking, that we ought not to insist upon retaining the island of If our object had been to retain any possession which had formerly belonged to the enemy, and which we had captured from

them with the view of adding to the security of our old dominations then Malta did not come under the description, because it was not an ancient possession of the cn my, but had been a quired by him unjoidly from a third power. It then I repeated to him more een i tent with wisdom and sound polery, is thereto put Malta under the protection of a third power capab of protecting it, than, by retaining it ourselves to morning the protect and attract the jealousy of the enemy.

The other nos es ion which we had acquired and troo tes projects of retaining which much had been at it, was Minores With respect to this island be perfectly enneutral in the open of his rolle friend that it would always belong to the power who no mod the greatest marking strength, the experience of the four last wars proved the justice of this observation; for Mr. nurea had regularly bified hands according to the preporterance of mantime strength in the Mediterranean. In time of price Misores was a per ession of no great importance or unlike in time of war, it existed be if no vie whatever unless we personal a tunnt me superious a and if we did possess that superious experience had them that it would probably full litto our land. I in the o group! he for one would not have admed mora to beginn in enother quarter for the purpose of emillion as to retain the Land of Minorca doubter as he did whether in time if poten it was worth the expense of a garrion. He thought the after that we were justified in looking to the Fr tan! West Infe f t the procumer which it was our in erest to retain that he could right pre-pressing his regret that ercumstances were \$ 44 to it in the fings in Lin a place so important in in my f of um as the stand of Malta de lacerated as that it will be the first the management for the same same theret. har function bout we to the grant to end the www.dr. intimb telders ventures star e liked, e and rake by bed bed and a * 1 22 ~

In turning his attention to the East Indies, he certainly saw cause for regret, because the opinion he had been taught to entertain of the value of the Cape of Good Hope was much higher than that expressed by his noble friend. He knew there were great authorities against him, but on the other hand, from what he had heard from a noble marquist, and from a right honourable friend † of his, who had long presided over the affairs of India, he was induced to think the Cape of Good Hope a more important place than it had been represented on this occasion. But thinking thus highly as he did of the Cape, he considered it as far inferior indeed to Ceylon, which he looked upon to be, of all the places upon the face of the globe, the one which would add most to the security of our Last Indian possessions, and as placing our dominions in that quarter in a greater degree of safety than they had been in from the first hour that we set our foot on the continent of India honourable friend t of his, on the other side of the house, had lamented that we had not stipulated for the retention of Cochin, and stated, that in the former negociations Lord Malmesbury had been instructed to insist upon its remaining in our possession. How far Lord Malmesbury was instructed to insist upon, or recede from, certain points contained in that projet, he did not feel himself now at liberty to state, but he believed no man would be inclined to say, that it must of necessity be an ultimatum, because it was contained in a projet. Indeed one of the complaints which we had against the French upon that occasion was, that they manted us, contrary to every diplomatic form, to give in our ultimatum first. He knew that it was the opinion, at that time, of a noble marguis to whom he had before alluded, and who had rendered such essential services in India-but he was wrong in particularising India, for there was scarcely a quarter of the globe in which this country had not derived important advantages from the evalted talents and virtues of that noble person, who was now about to receive the last reward of his services, in putting the

204

finishing hand to a treaty which would gave prace to the world after a war in which he had had so large a share in avertia, from this country the dangers which threatened the most value at! part of our passes sons-that the retaining of Cothin was repetears to the security of our Indian dominions. But the public mor out Le was sure did not now retain the same opinion becauits importance then depended upon its being a frontier post, to se cure a from an enemy whom we had since completely destroyed. It would not surely be contended for a moment that when the power of Tippoo Sulfaun was entire, and when there was a d met re ad from his dominious into our s, Cochin was not of infinitely in the importance than it evald be now when his dominious were in a re power ion. He did not wish to give a lu herour illus ratera ef the argument but he was really so much autonblied at while I been said upon the point that I e could not help saite, a earwhich appeared to him directly in point with the present. If we n to to link has the ancient periods of our haton, when Scotland nur a cream; Lingdore hostile to us, and in strict alliance with Lerce th town I llerstel upon Tweed was a place of the great e timemtane to u as a firtified frontier pent; buttanily it Gold no to that to be of equal importance row, when bently first End of are and I mis are logd on This parallel del mit appear t him to be eve minted; and if Cochin was of mining t ance e a military po t he east inclined to think that its own or cultula is acroment is to the ad antages that we mult do cheather on reflight it was transcentife to to all two ther -the necessation restrict titly with the later of the form the little of the court is n, nelu ad er laids entle inchahred ere v from mit direct reconstate and an house of the e also also tropics of late of example a 1-11111111-11 Her there in note that north

Her there is note connect. I married a great for a street of the street

timeo—undoubtedly as a protection to our Leeward Islands it was the better of the two, and, in point of intrinsic value, the more important. As to its value as a post from which we might direct our future operations against the possessions of Spain in South America, it must be felt by every one to be the best situated of any part in the West Indies. He had always been of opinion, that when it came to be a question merely of terms between England and France, we ought to retain the possession of one of the great naval stations in the West Indies, because our great want in that quarter was a naval port. The four great naval stations were Guadaloupe, Martinico, St. Lucia, and Timidad, and those of Trinidad and Martinico were the best, and the former the better of the two

He would now trouble the house shortly upon the subject of our allies. With respect to the Porte we had done every thing that we were bound to do may more—we had compelled the French to the evacuation of Lgypt, and had stipulated for the integrity of her dominions. There was another object which we had obtained, and to which he did not think so much importance had been given as it deserved, he meant the establishment of an infant power, viz the republic of the Seven Islands, which would perhaps have otherwise fallen under the dominion of I'rance this certainly was an acquisition of great importance for this country not inferior, perhaps, to the possession of Malta uself. The only answer he had heard upon the subject was, that there had been a treaty concluded between France and the Porte, by which the evacuation of Egypt was stipulated for; but it could not be for a moment doubted that it was to the exertions of this country, and to the brilliant achievements of our army and navy, that the evacuation of Egypt must be attributed and if Irance had, by a diplomatic trick, taken the advantage of this in two freaties, that could not derogate from the merit of this country.

With regard to Naples, we were not bound to do any thing for her. She had even desired to be released from her engagements to us: but she was compelled to this by an over-ruling necessity, and the government of this country, in its conduct towards Naples,

had only acted in conformity to its own interests and that upon large and liberal grounds in endeavouring to repair the fortunes of on ally tho laid given way only to force. The bomourable national had argued that we on bit to have quaranteed to Naples her dominion, because from the contiguity of the Ci alpine republic to Naples, the French might in pursuance of the trusty evacuate their territories one did not renter them the next but if from the situation of Furope, the present signal to necould not effect the security of Naples, it must be official that any guarantee would be equally unavailing

With regard to Santinia, the same observations were applied by a for me were too found to not refer for her, unless it was to be maintained that we were to take upon ourselves the risk of settles offairs of the continent. But if we were usable to settle it affair of that birt of the continent which was in our own by bourhood with what effect of propriety could me at empty it to large! He was really to grant that we ought to have channed if Could be Large procured its restoration and have channed if Could be Large procured its restoration tunkes we could have doped to fitte kin of laturate, tasks we could have given by union of Switzerland? Unless we could have the remaining of Switzerland? Unless we could have do sold the world have done in value to the claim of Switzerland? Unless we could have do sall the model have been in value to not the kines of Sud mattacks of jird someon'd easter a weld have been by the Franch as a first someon'd easter a weld have been by the Franch as a first conjugation of the claim of the claim.

In a literal envisode must bener bereinfrenen. Bit alterant in her ton and ben beaudifron let en erantet et il time en binnature enemant it in hel edy en rive et il instruction and to be became an ele un est enquerant it contenent i can appropriation to be a la common entit el entre en el Ora a la common entit el entre el time il instruction en el time el antitude en el time el antitude en el entre el ent

geographical knowledge had been displayed in tracing the course of rivers; but gentlemen should recollect, that a South American and an European river were materially different, for when you were talking of the banks of a river in South America, it was in fact very often little less than the coasts of an ocean. said, " you affect to guarantee the integrity of Portugal, but it is only after France and Spain have taken every thing they wished But this again-was not correct. The treaty of Badajoz certainly did not give to France all she desired, because France, by a subsequent treaty, extorts another cession of still greater importance to her. What happens then? Portugal has given up this second portion of her territory by force, when you interfere and cancel the second treaty, and bring them back to the stipulations in the first To you, then, Portugal owes this difference in the limits of her South American empire, and to her you have acted not only with good faith, but with dignified liberality.

The only remaining ally was the Prince of Orange. From our gracient connections, from our gratitude for the services of the house of Orange at the period of the revolution, from his connection with our sovereign, we could not but take a lively interest in his fate, and we had shewn it by our conduct he was not to be told of the guarantee of the constitution of Holland, without recalling to the recollection of the house the efforts we had made to defend, the unparalleled exertions we had used to restore him to his dominions. Even on the present occasion his interests had not been neglected we did interfere for him, and we were told that his interests were at that time the subject of negociation, and that he would receive an indemnity. Even if we were to take that upon ourselves, it ought not to stand in the way of a great national arrangement. Thus stood the case with regard to our acquisitions and to our allies.

But it had been said, that we ought to have obtained more; that we ought to have obtained something to balance the great increase of power which France had obtained, that we have given France the means of increasing her maritime strength, and, in short, that "we have signed the death-wairant of the country."

ow in the first place if we had retained all our co. good it ald not have made any difference to us in point of security and not mean to say he would no have returned them all he could but they were no more important than as they would e us a little more or a little less of colonial power and only uled to promote our security by increasing our fi ance. But ould the acquistion of all their bland have enabled as to unterbalance the nower which France had acquired on the conent? They would only give us a bith, more wealth ; but a tle more wealto would be badly purchased by a little more war. should think so even if we could be sure that one year more ir would give it to us, particularly when it was recollected here any yours we had now been engaged in this centest. In sies. , however about our resources he would take upon himed! state (and he beyond the house would give him credit for were torledge upon the subject) that if any en of neces its should be sent our binour hould require another exertest we were r very far indeed, from the end of our percentage course uch homes happy to ear were greater than the eterns enate pe , le efable country them three had an efact. It is purps of defence or first, security effort tensor noted to a series in abandance, but they made to be bent first when opens and a thinhed anny lac their a set twil to tta ta effer from expense. He might at over the with lane niurien flan we werelautgewert eine int biefin. recovery refered. As to the growing it will all t will a fluited at posts on it contents these retrative eclarace en en en util e en en work and thorn what For clates for t It with the main that altern the !

Commissis (Control to the blood of the state of the state

F)

upon that principle. He admitted, that if a country h creased in power and territory faster than its natural rival, without speaking hastily, he must consider France in that ch ter) that might justify the engaging in a confederacy to bring back to his ancient strength, but if he had been able to disso that confederacy, that would perhaps be the worst reason in t world why, when we came to make peace with him, we were expect the more favourable terms It would be but bad reaso if one power were to say to another, "You are much too power ful for us, we have not the means of reducing that power by force and therefore you must cede to us a portion of your territories, in order to make us equal in point of strength," Gentlemen might undoubtedly wish this, but that which regulated wishes would not regulate actions' many things might be prayed for, that were hardly to be expected in reality. But he did not see that we were giving to the enemy all this colonial wealth and maritime power which had been represented, what we gave back was not only smaller than what we retained, but much of it was in a ruined state. He was therefore inclined to think, that, for many years at least, we should have the colonial trade, and that too increasing in extent and value. That we should not have been justified in asking for more, he did not mean to assert, but thal we should have got more, or that we ought to have continued the war to increase our possessions, was a proposition to which he could not give his assent.

Allusions had been made to former opinions and language, upon this subject he should only-say, that, peace having been restored between England and France, forbearance of language and terms of respect were proper, but it would be affectation and hypocusy in him to say that he had changed, or could change, his opinion of the character of the person presiding in France, until he saw a train of conduct which would justify that He would not now occupy the attention of the house by entering into a discussion of the origin of the war, the unjust ag-- gression which was made upon us was established by recent evidence; but it was unnecessary to enter into it now, because upon

that subject the upinion of the house and of the country was fixed The great object file was or our just was defence for oursel es and firthe test of the mild in a nar waged against mild of the nations of I grope but a mint us with particular malicular . Se curity was our great object; there were different means of access plishing it with better or worse pie peet of succe ; and ac cording to the different variation of policy occumend by a than to of circum tarces w still purposed our great of lest a cu nty In order to obtain it we certainly did look for the subset won of that government which was founded upon ter lutionary principles. We never at any on your I said that a not equal son we in itel upon the restoration of the old go emment of I ranc - so only said there was no exteriment with which we could tr t. The war our language up to 170" but in no one in tance did we e er in a rupy's restour the ric archy; then h sail Mr I it I do not besinter meknoel die ihrt it will! In I intern this with the ulbes of minutes and with then term as the country of this country. I are equally ready to

revolutionary fever, and we had seen the extent of its principles abated -We had seen jacobinism deprived of its fascination, we had seen it stripped of the name and pretext of liberty, it had shown itself to be capable only of de troying, not of building, and that it must necessarily end in a military despotism. He trusted this important lesson would not be thrown away upon the world. Being disappointed in our hopes of being able to drive France within her ancient limits, and even to make barriers against her further incursions, it became then necessary, with the change of circumstances, to change our objects, for he did not know a more fatal error, than to look only at one object, and obstinately to pursue it, when the hope of accomplishing it no longer remained. If it became impossible for us to obtain the full object of our wishes, wisdom and policy both required that we should endeavour to obtain that which was next best In saving this, he was not sensible of inconsistency, either in his former language or conduct, in refusing to treat with the person who now holds the destines of France, because when he formerly declined treating with him, he then said, that if events should take the turn they had since done, he should have no objection to treat with him.

He would now add but very little more to what he had said. He could not agree with those gentlemen who seemed to think that France had grown so much stronger in proportion to what we had, these gloomy apprehensions seemed to him to be almost wholly without foundation This country always was, and he trusted always would be, able to check the ambitious projects of France, and to give that degree of assistance to the rest of Europe which they had done upon this occasion, and he wished it had been done with more effect But when the immense acquisitions which France had made were taken into consideration on the one hand, it was but fair, on the other, to consider what she had lost in population, in commerce, in capital, and in habits of industry the desolation produced by convulsions, such as France had undergone, could not be repaired even by large acquisitions of territory. Comparing therefore what France has grined with what she had lost, this enormous increase of power was not quite so apparent as

112

a me gentlimen on the other at le semed to apprise ! Wheat he took into conflictation the impresse wealth of the country and the ratural and legitimate growth of that weal b so much superior to the produce of rappetty and plunder the could not but entertain the large founded in in tice and in nature of its rule dir. This hope was str is thened by collateral comblemation, mb in he locked to the areat increase of our maintain power; when he contemplated it all itemal naval triumphs that we had obtained; when he hisked till brilliant rectories of our smalls. gained excettle Cover of the trace of Leance -to-ope which in the cream is of many were in ireible-when he reflected upon there ghomous nehresoments the unh he could not but lament our deapp it thent in some objects, be had the au fection of thirk ing that we'll diadded strongth to our recurity and lastre to our national character. Since the trists which had taken place at Li e we had mereased in wealth end e min ree. But there were some amportant element which he have the greatest come to that a to the rest of the sale should not be 1 to them The I tour noftl-prorrelly pos Sultannin lede who bid fill and so time to atta treett Im ce anth specifiyto be will not be the lit his remains ach exement. It had for

contending against a powerful confederacy in the North, would see her fighting for those objects at once in Egypt a the Belie and they would see her successful in both shown, that we were ready to meet the three-ned myasic home, and could send troops to triumph over the Prench in berren sands of Lgypt, before a man could escape from Tout to reinforce their blocked up army, we had met the menaced vision by attacking Trance on her own coasts, and we had s those ships which were destined for the invasion of this count moored and chained to their shores, and finding protection only in their britteries. These were not only sources of justifiable pride, but grounds of solid security. What might be the futu object of the Chief Consul of France, he knew not, but if it were to exercise a military despotism, he would venture to predict, that he would not select this country for the first object of his attack, and if we were true to our class we had little to fear from that attack, let it come when it would. But though he did not entertrin apprehensions, yet he could not concur with those who thought we ought to lay aside all caution, if such policy were adopted, there would indeed be ground for most serious apprehensions he hoped every measure would be adopted, which prudence could suggest, to do away animosity between the two countries, and to avoid every ground of irritation by sincerity on our part. This, however, on the other hand, was not to be done by paying abject court to France We must depend for security only upon our-elves If, however, the views of France were correspendent with our own, we had every prospect of enjoying a long peace. He saw some symptoms that they were, though upon this he had no certain knowledge, but he would never rely upon personal character for the security of his country. He was inclined to hope every thing that was good, but he was bound to act as if he feared otherwise

He concluded by giving his assent to the motion.

The question upon the address was afterwards put, and a division

April 3, 1802

The Charcellor of the Each quer (Mr. Addition to) having owned the Budant and moved, at the conclusion of his speech, a resolution for rating the sum of twenty file million by way of form,

He Whithread took the occasion to express his satisfaction as the favore able terms or which the foun had been in proceed and cor mail ted the Horse that the day we at list occase when the sold system of factor which had so often formed a subject of exiltation to the right benountly gradients no propose (afr Fer) by which the contary had found to be to colors and o expressive was be abolished. The report of series are at lateral present proceed growing the Chartellar of he Excheque had express of his lateral of months is higher than that it is severe streams on the conduct of the his Chartellar of the Excheque had expressed to the his Chartellar of the Excheque had expressed to the his other is in than that if a severe stream on the conduct of the his Chartellar of the Excheque.

Pin Pitz

Sir it will not be mice, any for me to treeps ston, upon the in lul nee 1 th emportee heroughers apparet hero but and and ed I hardly think it per able that there should be much limitence of of 11 n on the prient subject. But I with to ray a few words, in eriting i ner & what ha i l' n fr mi the him pourables nit man also petel at those by priops the name ter will agree with me in thirting il t what he bern as I respecial me can hardle call by any and add to n on the part. The humonrable most arounds a ferred rate or account is no my epice a treet mestra i matich beauty seams to be rund in 1"02 lutth unlies eithelm carr to which le affoles wa and common nor soly fave absented more butters e most byes of the entioner entitle prace which as it a cugend line mudy my be that a that per fill me met at sal t gent a tin on whatter ark period to promi e rand in a at no it is torpe to appear which then ex a ti will and seem in events - thetpropertelle earlie d its the firs to game earlier to the extin to put the fathers a topoget collected

of economy, of labour, of firmness, and of wisdom, on the pa parliament, in their endeavours to cultivate the arts of peac augment the revenue, and to ameliorate the condition of the ple of this country, and which they hoped to follow up with other task but that of steadily reinforcing the sinking fund for diminution of the public debt, and of taking off those taxes wh then pressed most heavily upon the laborious part of the comm Have I then, Sir, any very serious, any very criminal cha to answer to, if with some degree of fondness I did indulge th hope that those distractions which then desolated France, found. upon principles which I then thought, and which every man mus now admit, were directly contrary to those on which our happiness and prosperity were grounded, contrary to that system on which our excellent constitution was founded, and contrary to the general sense of the people of this country - ean I, Sir, I say, have any very serious or criminal charge to answer to, if, under such circumstances, I did indulge a hope that those blessings we then enjoyed might not be interrupted? This hope I was not singular in indulging, it was a hope entertained in common with me by men who have sometimes been the objects of the obloquy, sometimes of the reverence of the honourable gentlemen opposite to me, men who went beyond me in horror and detestation (if any men could go beyond me) of those principles which were then disseminated in France, but who certainly went beyond me in their opinions of the measures by which those principles were to be resisted and defeated-I allude, Sir, to the late Mr Burke, and those who thought with him He thought that France would, in a political sense, become a blank in the map of Europe. That man, whose great and prophetic mind had enabled him to obtain a glimpse of those unexampled horrors and crimes which have since desolated France—he thought, that however dreadful those convulsions might be to herself, they would present to foreign countries nothing but weakness and imbecility.' If, Sir, at that moment I did entertain the opinion which has been attributed to me, it is an error of which now, upon reflection, I have no occasion to repent, because it did not betray me into any disregard of

my daty. I cannot however but kepe that the koro inship ratherian (in some moment of candour when he refer a min to this subject) will find in what he has now advanced a minst me as a charge omething like an onsister to those repeated calumnes which the konourable g nil man and his friends have been in the habit of pouring forth against me (without being owner of it his doubt) insunuating that I was recruise or the blessing of prace, and anxious to involve this country in a war with France.

I certa also this not with to trouble the countrities with trace.

216

observations, but the honourable confleman has do en me to it :-he ha driven me to recell to the recoll ction of the committee the tack which was imposed upon me at that period, to sweete from that system n sich I mished to pur us not to about in these grow pects which I hoped to a alim by entering into a war with France a to k in which I was a mocil. It instead of being the humide but willing instrument for promoting the beneficial that of b Minist and of parliament for premotian the happiness and pr perits of the people-count lish is to chand a that system to foremather hopes, and to use these positions which were n and to augment the a bantons, and weare that mires figures field e purpose of maintaining entradependence of preters to cur exitence of defending our bosour and of thrething with and contendin against the most great tic system of a greaters that ever threatened the overthe word civilized word by and the devolution of the world's and which was more particularly directed orma this country in character and com ites on a superclar s com. There a remain who at those one were sensore er end in I am that form the sub-new of the evaluation I is attained would be to the term of the second the second the for the testing that he real commany greatment truit na almost mis ound pre. But from the 1 - a 1 t to planetainers at therete on a mil the while my miliposal this to sopel standards transcaled merg dat my elected separation of a my the contest are a contest to the I best to named at a mitter to the said

consinced in it we had no choice left, without feeling, as my right honours's to half his truly expressed it, that it was a contest in which we should prime the truly expressed it, that it was a contest in which we should prime the truly acceptest in which we did hope for more—that there were not exists in which we hoped to obtain within the bounds of in the true, incommencement and adminial security. I am read, to contest, and the silf contend were he objects to be used at the in we obtain a period, with our constitution on method it in any left to us here to exercise those honournbie privileges which we are now exercised it was left to us to discust, as we are now exercised of the resources, and to review the effects of he enaity and framess of a great and powerful people—it we had obtained the true should have extrafied the motives which induced us to engage in this contest.

Let me ask after all that his passed this day, whether the honourable gentlem in has any reason transplantly to exclaim, that this day has seen the subversion of my "solid system of finance," as he calls it? It does so happen, that after many prophecies of the honourable conflemen and he friends of the rum of the country, may, after denunciations of netual destruction, when we were stand to be so pretrievably runed as to justify the honourable gentleman and his friends in their opinion in secoding from their parliamentary duty, at a time when many collateral and unfortunate events had added to the columntes of the war, at a time when there was a stoppage of the money payments at the bank, and when there appeared symptoms in the British navy inconsistent with the principles and with the feelings which actuate the hearts of Breich seamen-at that time it was, that a proposition was made to provide a large part of the supplies within the year. It was at that penol, Sn, when many of the great patriots of this country left this house, because their efforts could not sive their country-and if they suffered any occasional call to bring them back to their duty, it was only to obstruct the effects of that " solid system of finance," considering at the same time the ruin of the country as so irretuciable that they could not hold forth their hands to save it-it was, I say, Sir, at that period that I proposed this plan of finance, and which from the good serve the real and genuine character of the nation and the firmness of parliament was adopted

Undoubtedly Sir the produce of that fax has proved to be inf rior to that which upon every ground of calculation I had in my power I was inclined to hope but I have no major to believe that that d ficiency rests so much upon any great austake in the calculations I then made a upon the difficult of enfinem a price ciple which no man has yet by any solid argument dopp of Firm these circumstances undoubtedly the benefits of this plan were considerably diminished but does not this very circum taker princ still stronger the execulence of the principle itself? Dow not rem mber the fact and despondence of the gentlemen on the other 41 of the house their wish to impress these f are upon the minds of these who listered to them and to make the exlamitors which threatened the country even greater than they were! But let me ad en n in the eye of saler reflection were not it ese dit feultion on iderable I -when the stocks were I believe under 4"when the amount of the loans was so great in con cauence of the expense who have note subject to support among from the circum ances of the times and the patture of the central are were fixed to maintain-planate learns of at my men and within them though that with all in higher and their principles remained entires t would in at that more at magnetical encaped but fan it'ed to the public d Coulis a would reselve been in treat early win re Since to a time me Lave it raired for s an edwar with the need to of agending statement of h errer e emilig! I and not to not per of norrelief er t en stant in the area of nithable a relocate em: 1 la thus mit bl. we it defena egit er I stope nofit to island baltitue! ला प्राप्त करता दलकार्य correction to be to a the other remoter majer tre horm the mari >

gone on for the last four years, increasing in wealth and prosperity throughout all the different classes of individuals that compose the community. We come now, Sir, to the peace, the discursi as respecting which have been mevitably protracted to such a length as to render the expenses of this year equal to a year of war and in this first year of peace, at the end of nine years of a war, unexampled in its nature and extent, we make a loan for 25,000,000 at the very ame price of stocks at which, in the first very of the war in 1703, with all the accumulating resources of ten years of peace, we made a love for only four millions. therefore, the honomable gentlem in might have chosen some other day to call upon use to do penance, and to admit the destruction of that "solid system of finance". I beg pardon of the committee-I rould not suppress my personal techngs upon this occusion, but from the part I have taken in public events, I trust they are also public technes, in which every friend to his country, in and out of this house, will participate with me-

[Here Mi Giey, supposing Mr Pitt lind sit down, rose to address the committee]—Sir, I do not wonder, after what I have stated, that the honourable gentleman thinks I have said enough, and ought to sit down

Mr Pitt then said, he would trouble the committee with but a few words more, in answer to some other parts of the honourable gentleman's speech, particularly upon that article in the ways and means which had called up the honourable gentleman, viz. the tax upon beer. That honourable gentleman, as well as every body else, was entitled to peculiar favour and attention from the committee, when he was arguing in favour of the interests of any set of individuals, but it did appear to him that the honourable gentleman was mistaken in the ground upon which he rested his appeal to the committee. If this proposed tax upon beer was in its nature bad, it ought to be given up, but ought certainly not to be made up by a tax upon malt, which could not relieve the brewers, except by extinguishing the private breweries, and thereby giving to the public brewers a monopoly of the trade. The honourable gentleman supposed he was making a strong appeal to the

him in his determination to employ the power and resource, of the aution, is opposite the spirit of ambition and recreationers which at present actuates the controlls of France; is upholding the dignity of the crosses; and in asserting and maintaining the right and interrest of his people

ים גם

The message being read from the char Lord Hankesbury moved,

That an humble address be presented to his Majeay to return his Majeay the thanks of this House for his most gracious measage and for the centumination of the several papers which have been hid before them in chedicace to his Majeays a commands.

To aware his Majesty of the jost sense we entersin of his Majesty of onxious and uniform endeavours, to preserve to his people the blessings of peace and of our perfect confidence in his Majesty disposition to terminate the calamiture of war. whenever that object can be accomplished remoterably with the honour of his M. Jesty a crown and the interest of his people.

"That we have observed with the strongest feelings of indignation, that his Mayerty a endeavours hav been fruenteed by that realess spirit of ambition and domination by which the government of Franch have been led to advance pretentions the most entrawagent and liquidous and to know designs at erece inconstruct with the obligations of good finds and with the executal interests of the British empire; and that for these following them provided within the Mayert has in vital demanded within them and reference.

"Thus, setuated by the e senturious, we feel that the trust reposed is of by a kin c and legal people, require on our pair a firm determination; to expense with his hadjesty in calling forth the resources of the nated large does, for the exponent speer of a case in which are involved the directly of the Miller of the propose and it that is draw and valuable to be a a ferce and independent nation."

After Mr Lindin had maken Mu. Perr roser

He legan by observing upon some passages of the honouralla and learned gentleman's speech the tentence of which he proferred not entirely to understand but from which he was led to hope that the learned gentleman and those with whom he usually

The editor is religious period to loans the very instiguire report of life Para colding to extend on the according to some and respected regulation who had the day better and with respect to the found of a better of a cross or strong late the House of Camrons the priors is taking own opidite reported what is larger than the original of the day life is more and defense them. I had been only through it is more and description of the day in his been only through it is coldinate increases of over more larger who wing a serie and ladger more and or add is to of the solution of the late of the fall than solved.

* be most for our advantage

acted, were not likely materially to object to the substance of the proposed address. He flattered himself that this was likely to be the case, because, whatever difference of sentiment might arise, and much was certainly to be expected, on many points included in the great mass of information contained in the papers upon the table, yet upon the great and important question at issue between this country and France, and upon the justice and necessity of the grounds on which we were compelled to enter into the war, he thought it almost impossible that the house should not be unanimous. He stated, that in those transactions which had most immediately produced our present situation, the learned gentleman himself appeared, notwithstanding some doubts which he had thrown out on particular points, to admit, upon the whole, that there was such clear gyidence of views of aggression and hostility on the part of France, as justified this country in retaining Malta for its own security This he maintained to be the first great point on which the question turned, and he contended, that the whole of Schastiani's report, and the circumstances of his mission to Egypt, the express and deliberate avowal by Buonaparte himself of his views and intentions in a formal conference with Lord Whitworth, and the information of the same intentions through the official channel of the minister for foreign affairs, afforded the clearest and most indisputable evidence, that the First Consul had formed the determination, even while Malta was yet in our hands, of resuming his hostile projects against Egypt, that the pursuit of such a project was an undeniable act of hostility against this country, and aimed at some of its most important interests, that it was, besides, a direct violation, both of the letter and spirit of the treaty of Amiens itself, under pretence of which treaty alone, our evacuation of that island was demanded, though under circumstances which, in other respects, according to the letter of that treaty, did not authorize such a demand, that the disclosure of this hostile project clearly justified this country in requiring fresh security against it, and, if such security was refused, in having re-- course to aims for that purpose, at the moment which appeared to.

He then more particularly commented in each of these several circumitances with respect to the report of Schastiani. He stated that that was evilently an official paper addressed to the govern ment by a person regularly commissioned and employed by the Consul himself that it contents could never hav been published in I rance but by his consent and that they were published in fact in the official paper under the direct authority of the govern ment that the whol tenor of that paper and the account thus meen by Schustiani blimselt of his conduct in Lappt made it in possible to doubt that he cas sent there to prepare for the exceution of a fresh attempt to put that country under the dominion of France but that it was not necessary to dwell on the particular contents of the report-the mer circumstance of a military man having been sent at that time with such a commission to Legyl, was a sufficient evidence of the object of his mission. The time, he remarked was very material because it at once destroyed the chief preject under which the I rench government had attempted in the course of the official correspondence, to justify or extenuate the publication or to deny the conclusion to be frawn from it This pretext wa that the honour of the Chief Council had been wounded by a narrative of the expedition to Light published by a respectable officer in the British arms (Sir Robert Wilson) and that the publication of Schastiani's report became necessary (r his vindication. The fact stated in that nurrative be observed were not even contradicted by the report, but even if they had been in what degree would that circumstance account for orlemally sending Selastiani to I spt with such a committee se Lail I a already total? It appeared that that affect had retually en based from To for im the fifth of September and aster proceed r. to Tree h 1 od arm ed at Alexandria on the 16th of Octions whereas it is not man that at that tim Ser I obert Wilson partition of directivally been published and probably rate out the free With respect to the accorded by despres Ir in line Cor al lime! I retend to be all this rettle ace are in 1% Impatch of the fat of february. He absence?

that 50 far from the conversation appearing, as some persons had imagined, only loose and desultory, it was one which tool place by the express appointment of the I and Consul—that it was therefore evidently prepared and deliberate, and that it was actually opened by the Liest Consul himself, as being the mode which he had adopted for the purpose "of conveying to his Majesty, in the most clear and authentic minner, his sentiments on points which must be brought to an issue." In the course of this conversation, the Inst Consul did not attempt to disguise his ultimate views upon Egypt, and though he professed to disclaim any intention of serging it at present, he assigned, as the reason for his forbearance, 6 that sooner or later it would belong to Trance, either by the falling to pieces of the Turkish empire, or by some arrangement with the Porte" That in a subsequent conversation with M. Tallearned, it was expressly admitted that the acquisition of Egypt had been, and still was, a favourite object of the First Consul; but he at the same time endeavoured to convince his Majesty's ambassador that it was not so great an object as to allow him to go to war for its attainment, and yet, upon being pressed to explan what security it was intended to give, to remove apprehension on this point, for which he had before stated there was a project in contemplation, he icleired only to a passage in the First Consul's message to the legislative body, saying, that "there is a French ambassador at Constantinople, who is charged to give every assurance of the disposition of France to strengthen instead of to weaken the Turkish government."

To all the evidence founded on these several documents, he had heard but one argument opposed—the improbability of the French government thus disclosing its views, if it really entertained them. On this point it might be sufficient to observe, that it might indeed be difficult to account for such a disclosure, even on the supposition of such a project being really intended; but that it was not only difficult, but impossible to account for it at all, on the supposition of its not being intended. He asked whether, on the latter supposition, any motive could be assigned, either for the mission

having been sent or the report having been made and published, or for the monals contained in the conventions of the First Consul and of M Tallermad? He thought however, that the difficulty of accompany for the declesure was removed, by referring to the new and peculiar policy which had marked the conduct of France on many extraordinary occasions, from the very beginning of the resolution that where any measure was in con emplation more flagrant and atrociou than another and more likely to shock the general feelings of Europe, and perhaps to excit signone and united resistance instead of our fully concerning it till the moment of execution, it had on the contrary been studiedly announced before hand that the object of this policy had been gradually to Limitarize men a minds to that which at part they could scarcely even believe and that their schemes which in the first sistence were received with home; and would have been opposed with indirection come afterward to be contemplated with to, set end indifference and that which at first to man thought it posable semontly to attenue a say he degrees con id red as extend and probable and to the end, as that which it was hopeles and in proble to ment. He there's reput is to the house, whether after La ian observed this practice it was prouble for as to be so cordulous an I children to not on the belief that Buonsparte wood I aban lon the protect. I e had formed only became he had home ! told us he mould person in them and whether we show he that it he what wer may in he had I am led prematurely to disclose the project even while Malin, the great weathr agrilland a rescention was still it cut p weren he would remuse the attempt when that we us to liable a sum the libe us, or lout in fact, to of h present litter the wign again People as apprient Lade all dilebour to en " I ab bet of a correpancially as an indirected to more differently or a controlled on and all title to proce fallers on nebarrar it that netitute to their net rations amortim to and neutrasers turns pastanuette danver ab for wallt me non reproductive ten a colon !

on almost all hands, that our possession of Malta was essential, or at least in the highest degree material, for the defence of Egypt—whether the importance of Egypt to this country was not in itself sufficiently evident, from its connexion with the safety of our Indian possessions—and whether it might not at this day be considered as a point of our national policy, already decided and attested by the glorious efforts which had been made, and the herical blood which had been shed in the last war for recovering it from France, and which had, in a manner, consecrated it in the hearts and affections of Englishmen?

He therefore wished the house to consider what was to be our future conduct, if, after all the wainings given us, we were now to surrender Malta out of our hands, and the attack upon Egypt were to follow in six or in twelve months afterwards. Were we prepared for the ridiculous but disastrous alternative to which we should be reduced, of either submitting without a struggle to national calamity and disgrace, because, foreseeing our danger, we had voluntarily and wantonly sacrificed the best means of averting it, or, when it was perhaps too late to enter upon a difficult and almost hopeless contest, having by our own act given up the arms by which we would be enabled to conduct it to a successful issue?

If the question were closed here, Mr. Pitt observed, that, for the reasons he had given, he was prepared to maintain, that on these grounds alone the war was both just and necessary, and such as ought to call forth the utmost evertions of parliament and the nation in its support. But so far was this from being the case, that there was not any one of the leading transactions subsequent to the treaty of Amiens, to which his Majesty's declaration referred, which was not, in his opinion, as far as justice was conceined, a clear and evident cause of war, and such as would have been acted upon, if there were sufficient means of co-operation on the continent, in almost every period of the history of this country. He here referred to the annexation of Piedmont, as the first act by which the French government had proceeded, subsequent to the treaty, to pursue the same system of aggrandizement which had up-

peared in all their previous conduct, and which it was validy hoped they had relanquished. He referred also to the transaction respecting, the German indomnities, where the French government with an arrogance he believed unprecedented in the Lubror of Europe had presumed to dictate to all Germany the distilled mode of arranging those indomnities on which the diet of the empire was expressly convened to deliberate, puriment to the treaty of Lubeville and had publicly announced their scheme as at titled and decided before it was even communicated to those to whom it on hit in the first instance to have been proposed.

If next pased to the violene off-red to Switzerland on which he thought it the less necessary to dwell, because he believed it a conduct of France towards that unfortuna cand devoted countre had excited one universal semiment of detestation. He wished here to declar (as tois had been a subject so often advected to on former occasions, when he had been unavoidably alsem) that he considered the interference of his Majesty's minuters on this salesct, as not only evidently warranted by the circumstances, for such as it was their duty to employ to the extent to which he understood it to be a been carried in order to pay time for harming whether a confederacy could be formed in Europe, cally ufficient for raisin the country from the yale of trance; but that when upon that all loops of such a confederacy annihal be through them equally in him not pushing the contest, can from it of this country to both mines.

Hithen a herted to the continuance of the French armes in Hilland which appeared from the papers on that the toll us been directly one contint with the papers on that the toll us been directly at leasing conteil and with the error, trent which where ke was touch a letter on France and Hilland. After what may be the paper had been a high free attend to decreased that the best free attend to decrease that the tenth when the first of the content of the first of the part of the content of the content of the first of the the junction of the first theory was a content of the first them was a content of the first was a first the content of the first them was a first first the first the first them.

upon the state of the continent, but that whatever it might be right to decide upon their at the time they occurred, it was imp i sible to contend that they were not now material, as symptoms of that deliberative system of ambition and encroachment which had been thus uniformly pursued towards others, and which was row directed to a quarter where it immediately affected our separate infereses, and was in direct violation of the fresty with this country itself. He here drew a strong picture of the continued and rapid succession of the acts of violence and oppression which during this period, had desoluted so many of the countries of Lunop', and after comparing the irresistible force and overwhelming paggress of French ambition to those dreadful convulsions of nature by which provinces and kingdoms were consumed and build in runs, he isked whether we could contemplate those scenes of havor and destruction, without reflecting how soon that torrent of liquid fire might direct its ravages against ourselves?

Il wing here closed his review of what had passed on the contment, he next observed, that the period of which he had been sp aking was murked not only by the hostile acts which he-had detailed, with respect to other powers of Europe, but by others immediately affecting the separate rights and interests of this countiv. He referred, he said, principally to two points which appeared in the correspondence. The first point was the demand which had been made by the Ironch government respecting restraints on the liberty of the press, and the expulsion of the French emigrants now remaining in the country. On this it was unnecessary to enlarge, because the insolence of the proposition was sufheiently felt, and because it had been at the time resisted by his Majesty's ministers, on grounds which were stated with great force and ability in one of the papers on the table, and which he was persuaded every member of the house had read with the highest approbation. The second point related to the commercial agents -the indignity and outrage which attended their mission, was one of which it was difficult to speak with composure. The French government had made a formal proposition to send persons of this description, who had never been found necessary even when a

MR PITTS

commercial treaty subsisted at a time when not only there was no such treaty, but when, as appears from the papers on the table the commercial intercourse of his Majesty's subjects with France was suffering every degree of violence and oppression. This proposition had naturally and wisely been refused. The French government then proceeded clandestinely to send these agents in the train of their ambassador; and not content with this breach of the law of nations they afterwards addressed to them instructions under the official character in which they had received admit tance and the of jeet of these instructions was to direct them to take measures in time of peace, for ascertaining the soundings of ports, and for obtaining military information of districts-acts for which they would have been hanged as sples in time of war. Under such circumstances he could not but lament to find that his Ma jesty a ministers had contented themselves with merely applying to the French government to withdraw those persons and had not at once advised his Majesty, by his own authority to order them to depart the kin dom within twenty four hours reserving it to himself afterwards to require from I rance the reparation des for i grosi an insalt He did not think it necessary to die II separately in another

heart of complaint the violence committed against the vesu is and property of his Majesty's subjects in the French parts and the withholding, to the hour all saturaction for these injuries. There proceedings be and would have been sufficient ground of complaint in ordinary times, but they could searcely give additional force to the outraments transactions which he had just enumerat it en! which appeared to him as if they had been designedly calculated tomelad, under two distinct brads the grewest in u to that could boof red to the independence clany country. The Int present sun fint chile had speace, that of res mule, the facts of the por wars og most felsor cottos tito betawhen the and the and the art of the state of the e threat went the lints on lake and time test la I - durantimos nin ini j In mu grade teredor sate att and the sore

pretension, that respecting his commercial agents, manifested, as had been already stated, an avowed determination to introduce, in defiance of our formal refusal, authorised emissaries into our arsenals and ports, in order to prepare, in time of peace, the most effectual means for our annoyance and destruction in time of This was nothing less than to insist on our surrendering, beforehand, the right and the means of national defence; and if the former claim had struck at the liberty, this struck as directly at the actual safety of the country. It was true, he believed and hoped, that the commercial agents had at length withdrawn, upon the representation of his Majesty's 'ministers, but, as he had already stated and lamented, it did not appear that any disavowal had been obtained of the principle on which they had been sent. The claim respecting the restraint upon the press, and the expulsion of the emigrants, had also been suspended for a time, in consequence of the unanswerable representations in the dispatch before referred to; but the first part of this claim had since been expressly revived in the course of the late negociation At all events, he contended that the circumstance of the First Consul having even suspended it as he did, only afforded a striking lesson of the benefit to be derived from a firm and seasonable resistance, but that the fact of such pretensions having at any time been uiged (whether they were persisted in or withdrawn), must be remembered as the strongest proof of the nature of the views which he really entertained, and which he would unquestionably accomplish whenever he found it in his power. He maintained, therefore, that all these indignities and insults, as well as the encroachments and violences on the continent, of which he had before been speaking, must enter deeply into our consideration, in judging of the character and ultimate views and pohey of our enemy. They must decisively confirm us in the resolution to employ without hesitation the most vigorous and determined resistance, when, in addition to these proofs of his general disposition, both towards this country and towards Europe, we found him now engaged in that project of direct and separate hostility against ourselves, which had already been argued.

On all these grounds he felt convinced that there never was an o casion on which it more clearly became the independed days of parliament to concur with his Majesty in the occlaration of tha necessity and justice of the nar in which we were engaged and to assure him of their firm and effectual support. But in giving these assurances, he tru ted that other grathemen telt impresed with the same sense which he did, of the awful importance of the engagement into which they were preparity to enter and that they considered those assurances not a formal words of ceremony or custom but as a solemn and deliberate pledge on behalf of themselves and the nation whem they represented-knowing and feeling to their full extent the real difficulties and dangers of their attention and of the arduous struggle which it compelled them to endure and being prepared to meet those difficulties and dangers with every exertion and every sacribice which the unexampled circum tances of the times rendered indepen alle for the public safety. For his own part although he can idered the wat as a war of necessity, and one which we could not decline rathout surrendering both our security and our h nour he shald ent r upon it with little hope of ultimate success if these sentiments were not deeply impressed on the minds of parliament and the people. The reale of our exertions could not be measured by those of former times or confined within the limits ven file great, and till then unexampled efforts of the 1 st mar. Il was consinced that a me sy tem far more shousand I fletcalthan any even then by a cil would be found to cig are both in our france ard in the propagate of a national defere. On the principle to be nate for these two poor by mid garant upt ely it it no al principlly depend whether we call effetually it operat it ? ti cunte pri ett and despit the mant estelle eng It was expitatifiles is du white all sinas per me fracces in the presentation in the light of all appearances permittantly cells a strake of on marking to tolonous on a figure applicand necessarian in the the responsibility and a second to the state of their

an expensive and protricted contest. To defect the first of these purposes it was not, in his judgment, sufficient to make those not if and military preparations, which would present any invision that might be attempted from being ultimately successful (an event which he trusted he was justified in common with others, in considering as utterly impossible,) but to make such vigorous and extensive arrangements for national defence, as might diffuse a sense of the most complete security against even the temporary impression to be produced by such an attempt, and might enable every individual to lay down his head to rest, in the persuasion and confidence that nothing was omitted which could enable us at once to meet and repel the danger, at any moment, and in any quarter, in which it might threaten us

In order to disappoint the second object—that of wearing out our resources, he trusted the house would from the beginning form its system of finance, not with a view only to the expense which might be necessary in the first year of the contest, but that they would look at once to the possibility of its being protracted to as long a period as that which lately was terminated—that they would consider fully what, on the probable scale of the war, would be the whole extent of the burthens necessary to be imposed on that supposition. He was persuaded, that it could only be by providing, in the outset, means adequate to the whole extent of these purposes, that we could in fact prevent the ultimate amount of our expenses from being unnecessarily, and perhaps intolerably augmented, or that we could ensure the best chance, either of bringing the contest to a speedy conclusion, by convincing the enemy of our sufficiency to maintain it, or could meet its continued exigencies, if necessary, without the annual recuirence of growing and accumulated embairassments. He trusted, therefore, that his Majesty's ministers would, on their part, feel the necessity of bringing both these points under consideration with all practicable promptitude and dispatch, and that, if possible, not even a fortught might be suffered to clapse, without enabling parliament to adopt such measures as would convince both I'rance and the e orld, that we had from that hour provided the means of sup-

porting the force and d fraging the expenditure which might be necessary for maintaining our internal accuraty and for the acgorou and effectual prosecution of the war to any penod to which it could reasonably be suppored to extend. He rereated that he was aware that these measures could not be effected with out material and exten ive personal sacrificer, and without great additional burthers which must to a degree affect the ease convenience and even comfort of many classes of society-that he lamented these consequences as much as any man and if he saw any prospect that by present concession, we could obtain a real and desirable interval of peace, security and repose he should be as unxious as any man to avoid the necessity of such arduous and painful exertions but that, under the present circumstances, a weak and timed policy or uld perhaps scarcely even postpone the momert when they would become indipensal le for our existence, and would infallably expose us to the diertainty at no distant period of a similar stru gle with those in memory out of our bands which we now possessed and with the chance diminished of finally conducting it to a successful fone-that we had not an eption at this moment, between the like-ines of pance and the dan cross I war-that from the Litality of the times and the general state, of the mill we must consider our lot as cast by the deem offer sal nee in a tim of pent and trouble-that he tru t il that priver na l'eourage of the cation would confam it ell to the inn of that ituation—that we should be prepared effect the deficition half to meet it with that test nation and father and at the meeting with the notice and and exer-tion of impreparation of majorial of the end, in the le expectation alm artine pagerantiben bell ref it en nin the har final what about ni com a we have t le manfilm le il uce apile il mocti a un imiana met ell' eu : flunge a lfeehlibenta in manit' ; Inn be labrante tu tase but i grament the water of the personal of the in I so the it at test

In amendment to the address was then moved by Mr Grey, to leave out the words after the first paragraph, and to insert the following,

'To assure his Majesty of our firm determination to co-operate with his ajesty in calling forth the resources of the united kingdom, for the vigorous osecution of the war in which we are involved, and to express to his Maty the satisfaction with which his faithful Commons have received his ajesty's gracious declaration, that he is willing to afford, as far as may consistent with his own honour, and the interests of his people, every cility to any just arrangement by which the blessings of peace may be stored to his loyal subjects"

The debate was afterwards adjourned to the succeeding day, when upon division, the amendment was negatived,

Ayes 67 Noes .. 392

nd the original question agreed to

June 3, 1803.

COLONEL PATTEN, having previously given notice of a motion of censure against his Majesty's ministers, this day submitted to the House the following resolutions.—

- 1. "That it appears to this House, from the declaration issued by his Majesty's command, that the conduct of the French republic, during the whole period which has elapsed since the conclusion of the definitive treaty of peace, is considered by his Majesty's ministers as having been altogether inconsistent with every principle of good faith, moderation, and justice, as having exhibited one continued series of aggression, violence, and insult, and as necessarily creating a thorough conviction of a system deliberately adopted by France for the putpose -of degrading, vilifying, and insulting his Majesty and his government.
- 2 "That his Majesty's ministers having throughout the whole period, from the conclusion of the definitive treaty of peace, to the issuing of his Majesty's declaration of the 18th day of May last, neither communicated to parliament any knowledge of the sense which they now appear to have entertained respecting the conduct and system of France, nor any regular information of the particulars on which the same was founded, or of the steps takin by his Majesty's government thereupon, have thereby witheld from this House the necessary materials for a due and full discharge of its constitutional

functions; and that, by encouraging throughout the country at enformed with my and confidence in the permittence of peace, they have embiratived and peoply and our commerce that a descript the engineering and immensionally hart with the opin of the people and have materially increase, and a grantied the difficulties of our serial engineer.

"That it was the cry of his Majory's ministers to make timey and adequate representations. Limit such acts as have in their judgment, come titled as in of operation in large and mode on the post of prefer in That by a pushed and temperate remonances followed by with consisting many and some fined with frame is, either the constant properties on such acts would have been arrested without the precess of of recurring to arms on the destructions.

the franch procuration to extend by or recenting to many or the destruments of the franch procuration to per othered would have been disorded sectionary to the fact of the tend to make the distribution of the origination, it that of the hand the more effectived means of charge states and represent. That is entertailed day appears to have been, it explicitly for the respected by he M porty minimizers; and that is he that originate and consistent have been it may be be proceed and consistent have been it may be proceed and consistent have been it may be proceed and consistent have been it may be proceed the procedure.

"That has next to this Home, that on the 17th of Oct her hat construct a norm of an had by his flying go construction to find the first home in the Cape of Cool Hope and I the cohorier conserve than to by his Minney as a state find dealer by view of what I Might for a to present all the Core was tended by him of what I Might for a to present all the Core was tended by him of what I had not to the home of the Millian with I women the locally him of had the him of the most of him Millian with he was constructed on a farther than he had a separation a return the was a man of him of the had he had a separation a return the waste to the more form and I had he had a separation a return the waste to any send I can have the appropriate force of the Millian with the state of the millian had been the different of the had been to different the translated had a sufferent which is the first of the Local first had been to the first of the Local force of the had to the had a translated by the first of the Local force of the had t

ministers neted in contradiction to the sense which they had themselves mainfested of their own duty, and have improvidently exposed to dinger some of the most important interests of his Maje ty's dominions

5 " That, by all these instances of misconduct in the present ministers of his Majesty's government, they have proved themselves unworthy of the confidence reposed in them in such an important crisis as the present."

As roon as the Chancellor of the Exchequer (Mr Addington) sat down, Mr Pitt rose

If I possessed a full and clear opinion on the ments of the case. to the extent of either directly negativing or adopting the resolutions which have been proposed, I should, following the unbiassed dictates of my conscience, give my vote on that side to which my judgment inclined. If I agreed with my right honourable friend", in thinking that the first steps we ought to take in duty to the public, were, by a retrospective survey of the conduct of ministers, to judge of their fitness to exercise the functions to which they are called, and if, upon that result, I were forced to conclude, that the papers on the table afforded evidence of criminality, of incapacity, of misconduct, then, however painful the sacrifice of private feelings might be, in taking such a part in the case of individuals whom I respect, I should feel myself bound to concur in an address to his Majesty, for the removal of his ministers. On the other hand, if I were one of those who considered the explanation afforded by ministers upon general points, so clear as to justify a decided negative of the propositions moved by the honourable gentleman over the way-a negative which would imply approbation, (for in such a matter to avoid ground of censure, may be considered the same as to have deserved applause), I should feel myself happy in joining in a decisive negative to the motion. But to this extent, either of approbation or of censure, I am unable to go. I cannot concur in the latter, or in the extent of the charges involved in the propositions which have been moved

Besides, I am aware of the inconveniences that would result from supporting any measure which has the tendency of the pre-

228

sent motion unles the clearest necessity exists for it. Though I do not do pute the naht of this house to address the king for the removal of muniters, yet nothing is more inscherous than a parliamentary interference by declared con-use, rendering the conbnumee of mini ters in office impossible, unless that interference is justified by extraordinary exigency of affairs. Not disputing the right of the hous. I contend that the right is to be governed by a sound discretion and by the public interest. He must look to considerations of public expediency and of public safety There are some questions in the discussion of which centilemen mu t fiel more than they can well express and this with regard to the interference of parliament for removing ministers is one of them Admitting even that there were con iderable grounds of distatis faction at the conduct of musices, would it tend to promote three exertions to encourage those sacrifices which the difficulty and danger of our situation require? Mould our means of sustaining the struggle in which we are engaged and of calling forth thou resources necessary for our defence, be improved by cutting short the date of a immistration, and uncettling the whole system of government? To displace one administration and to lattoduce a new one is not the work of a day. With all the functions efexecutive power surplies, it is will the regular miens of ein musnicate n beta en pulsam et and the thrice interrupted; week ray monds waited in could nee county and inaction, how e util a rubbe saf h consent to a tate of them so yed at and uncatural as we uld result from parl am at remletin, one alimmi s rate nuncepally of extremnants public lance on without any older Court go men et ben obtaned in it statt I will immised botal silvaniers la tha salminoral muiat almitancered to make a might and what at second to more at Il I pend upon the er up wou I feel stail placed in a r at than a Tanthematic movement and il. ca leep th westernt transaltheritier or a to partiallancieum areat bricebrite shatem tit they was a mer or our of their look dates which

so eventful a period must impose? These are considerations for the crown and the public, and they ontweigh all those which present themselves, on a partial view of the advantages which could be hoped from a prosecution of that censure and dissolution of administration, to which the propositions tend.

I am aware that the right honourable gentleman* on the floor, and my friends on the same bench with him, must feel their situation riksome under the weight of a question so important, in which they are personally involved, remaining undecided. Nevertheless, when other sacrifices are demanded for the public interest, personal feelings must be overlooked. Those who with me have not made up their minds to the extent of censuring infiniters by the adoption of the propositions, or of approving their conduct by agreeing to a direct negative, must pursue some middle course. They cannot do that which must imply approbation, when they do not find from the case made out that approbation has been deserved; neither can they vote severe censure, leading to an address for removal, when they do not consider the charges made as completely sustained

Having stated the opposite lines of conduct which present themselves in deciding upon the propositions, I do not intend to enter into any detailed discussion of the papers. I wish, if good cannot be obtained by continuing to discuss them comparable to the evil of interrupting the course of our parliamentary duty, to suspend them altogether. Since things more urgent and more important demand our care, let us make good the parliamentary pledge we have given. I shall behold with much greater satisfaction as first proofs of our determination to support his Majesty with our lives and fortunes, you, Sir, presenting a strong bill of supply providing resources, not merely for every demand of public service, but adequate to every scale of exertion; a measure that vill display and call forth the means of sustaining the struggle, not merely for one year, but till we shall have brought it to a successful issue, some measure by which we shall be enabled to complete

our army and to call into action the national strength, and give activity to all the military skill di cipline and expenence we personal I be not know if gentlem in fit I as I do upon the occasion or if I have been successful in making my felings under stood. Improved as I am with those feelings and unprepared for the deci no vote which is of red in the direct nemtise or affirmative of the propositions before the house I more, " that the other orders of the day be now read "

Hr Pitt a motion was regerted; Ates

210

Noes 111 Tie enginel quenion was then put and negatived; Ar4

Note 2 4

July 19, 1803

T a terretary at War I ar e mored "That leave be greate be are mad the ameria lien er more effen alarian ju min the genere we a of printers on al A hit to enable hi Malony more Free light provide for the defendent restry I the mandary the providing and fel " I - a res et ay men it it it papent le la lan no limanteeur mes alten ... Hi harmorette tally a progressively meaded electrons alien ranget mi man ed hitrements as turn a full r 1-

Julier ut milble a mi call met an fact :

the que tion as to our domestic security entirely beyond all doubt. I am not now disposed, because, indeed, I do not think it necessary, to enter into any investigation of the degree of danger which the country has to apprehend, though I am aware it is material that the danger should not be undersated. But to return to the mensure before the houses—I rejoice in its introduction as the most congenial in its spirit to the constitution of this country, and in its execution not at all likely to meet any obstacle from the character or disposition of the people. In its structure there is nothing new to our history, in its tendency there is nothing ungrateful to our habits, it embraces the interests, it avails itself of the energies, and it promises to establish the security of the country. It imposes no burthers, nor does it propose any arrangement of which it can be in the power of any class of the community to complain object is the safety of all, without containing any thing in its provisions offensive to any. It is perfectly agreeable to the best institutions of civilized society, and has for its basis the rudiments of our constitutional history.

It is obvious, that unless we make efforts adequate to the crisis in which we are placed, the country is insecure, and if those efforts cannot be effectual without compulsion, I trust no man can entertain a doubt of the propriety of resorting to it but I have a confident expectation that compulsion will be unnecessary, that the number of voluntary offers will be sufficient to obviate the necessity of that disagreeable alternative. It is, however, an alternative of which I hope no man will disapprove, should the necessity arise, and least of all my right honourable friend,* who has not, on a former occasion, hesitated to recommend that compulsion By his Majesty's prerogative he has it in his power, at any time that the country is threatened with invasion, to call out all his subjects for its defence, and the object of the measure before the house is, that the people, when called out, should be prepared to second his views, -should be trained to military evolutions, -should be ready to act with promptitude in any quarter where their ser-

vices might be required -- should be capable of conformitie to orders without confusion or delay -of collecting with celerity and acting with decision. Such a plan is highly desirable for it would be unwise to leave the defince of the country placed on our miral force however superior, or in our regular army however gallant and well disciplined or even in the people whited or many unless previously dulled in military mancer res, and subject to the directions of government viso by the measure before the house are to be invisted with ample now is if rendering the application of this force effectual, and of directing it to the several branches of public service which circumstances may call for. The training of the people bowerer should be prompt no delay should be suffered, for there is not room to allow it. The efforts of those to be en trusted with the execution of this important duty should be unremitted and indeed of all public and private individuals, until the country shall be completely secur against any attacks of the enemy. This security is certain if every man will be active in his station and of that activity I have not the least doubt if government will at e the proper stimulus.

With respect to the observations of my noble friends upon the sentiments of my right honourable friends in to the dangers of in-rasion the noble lord seems to have quite mittaken his meaning; for my right honourable friend did not at all describe the danger in such a way as to damp the spirit of the country but rether to excite its caution and energy by removing the idea that an invasion is impracticable; and as soon as that delustic notion shall cense to privail I am quite certain that the whole tenour of my right honourable friends remarks will be to produce confidence of security in the public mind at the time when that feeling of confidence ought to exact either with reference to the subty of the state or of individuals. The amount of our danger therefore it would be impositive to cone all from the people. It was the first duty of ministers to make it known and after doing so it should have been their study to provide against it, and to point out the

means to the country by which it might be averted. It is quite impossible that a people will make adequate efforts to resist a danger, of the nature and extent of which they are studiously kept in ignorance. Upon those grounds I disapprove of the outcry so often raised against my right honourable friend and others, who have endeavoured by their speeches to rouse the energies of the country in the most effectual way, namely, by pointing out the necessity which existed for employing those energies however, the grounds of apprehension shall have been extinguished, I have little doubt that the exertions of my right honourable friend will be to point the attention of ministers to such means of annoying the enemy as his ingenuity can suggest, and that'those grounds will be removed with proper attention and activity on the part of ministers, I can have no doubt, for who can fear for the event, when millions of Englishmen are to be opposed to the detachment of the instruments of French ambition? and whatever the number of our invaders may be, they cannot, comparatively with the force I trust we shall have to oppose them, be more than a mere detachment

I have not understood, from the words of my right honourable friend, that he had any fear as to the event, but that he wished solely to urge the adoption of such measures as might tend to give an effective direction to our natural strength. My right honourable friend has appeared to me very little to indulge in those gloomy presages which are ascribed to him by those of whose sluggishness, supmeness, and mactivity he has been long in the habit of complaining, but I feel the most sincere gladness that the charge of supineness can no longer apply. his Majesty's ministers seem now determined upon rousing the spirit of the country, and upon giving that spirit a just and powerful direction. I hail, for the sake of my country, the appearance of this resolution is an auspicious day, though I cannot help expressing my surprise that this measure has not been submitted to the consideration of the house long ago. but even now I hope it will answer its pur pose, that it will meet the approbation of pailiament, and that the people will promptly come forward to second its object. After

244

the precise views of this country shall be made known, and after its dangers shall be fully understood, I am sure that no man will shrink from the calls of his country in this hour of peril unless from motives such as he dare not avow Whether minuters bught sooner to have proposed this measure is a question into which I shall not now enter at large but I will merely observe that if it becomes necessary from a knowledge of the enemy's views. I behere no knowledge of that kind has been recently obtained-none of which ministers and the public were not aware at the time that war was declared and even before. Why then was this import ant measure delayed? The danger to be looked for has been apprehended for a considerable time back and upon the contingency of it my noble friend admits, that, even during peace a very large and expensive establishment was kept up. I cannot concure any excuse that can be alleged for such procrastimation. It did not proceed I suppose, from the desire of ministers to const der the scale and measure of our dangers [a lau b] or from an opinion on their part, that it was better they should be tardy and gradual in their measures against the gigantic efforts of the enemy [a laugh] This cannot have been the reason and really I see to difference in the state of Europe nor in the relative situation of this country with respect to France from what it was at the com mencement of the war. I am therefore at a loss to divine the motives which baye influenced the conduct of minuters and why this measure was not brought forward long since. If there was no necessity to be serve if there was lessure for slow d liberation then of course the period is not such in their (stimution, as to call for any extraordinary promptitude of excition or such as ought to excite alarm but in truth if there was any particular mea sure which claimed precedency it was that now under con idera tion, which could not interfere with any other military arrangements. The question simply is this -- nas it predent to postpone the introduction of a men use which had fir its object figurate the people for a general armament, and which preparate a must necessarily consum some time before is could be the 11' I to in the wisdom of ministers, this is the particular measure which is to be delayed to the last.

I will not, however, stop to inquire into the time which has been already lost, but I shall express my carnest hope that no time will be wasted hereafter-that every instant will be actively engaged until the country be completely safe. I think that some arrangements should be made to connect the different departments of the executive authority, that, upon orders issued from government to the lord-heutenants of counties, the people might be immediately set in motion, that, without interfering with agriculture, which should not by any means be disturbed, the several classes might be disciplined, to attend the dill at least two days in each week, to assemble in particular places throughout the country; the limitation of distance from the residence of each man to the place of assembly, to be about six miles, the time of attendance to be not less than half a day. The distance I propose is not more than the stout English peasantry are in the habit of going, when led to a cricket match or any other rural amusement. These men, in my conception, might be disciplined by soldiers on furlough, who, on being called back to their regiment, when danger should actually reach our shores, might be enabled to bring with them one hundred sturdy recruits, prepared for military action through their means.

With regard to the motion before the house, I must say that it is not liable to the objections advanced by my right honourable friend, on the ground that it would have a compulsory operation, for in fact it does not propose to resort to compulsion, if the object can be attained by voluntary offers; and I am of opinion that the purposes may be so effected. These voluntary offers may be promoted considerably by the presence of the nobility and gentry in their respective districts, and on that account, I rejoice in the prospect that we are soon to separate, not only with reference to this, but to the other measures which have passed the house, and to the execution of which the presence I have alluded to, must materially contribute. The great men of the country to animate by their example, to countenance by their authority, and to assist

by their advice the operations of the people, have it in their power to achieve the most important good to excite a zeal and devotion to the public exerce and to diffuse their own spirit through all make of the community

With a view to those desirable advantages, I wish that the ses sion may be short; and I hope that as little time as possible may be lost in examinin, and arranging the details of this important measure and that whatever reasons we may have to look for voluntary offers, we shall not rely on those offers altogether; for as the representatives of the people we are bound to provide for their safety and to provide a sufficient force. Though they may not be disposed to take care of themselves it is our duty to take care of them. If, therefore voluntary offers shall not be adequate to the purpose we must of course resort to compulsory proceedings. The drilling of the men is, as I have already observed, the principal object to be arrended to but I lag it to be understood that in my opinion, the poorer classes should be remunerated for the time they may be engaged in discipline. I hope it is so in tended though I have not beard any thing of the kind mentioned by my right boroumble friend in the opening. The man, who is taken from his labour for the public safety ought certainly to be paid for his time and this would serve to recoucile such persons to a practice which otherwise would be justly considered a very great hardship. As to the trouble which the nobility and gentry may be called upon to submit to, in this general armament I can not do them the injustice of supposing that they would not submit to it with alacut, or that questions of mere personal convenience would in such a crisis as the present have any weight with them In the execution of this measure I do not like the idea of a iter? for the slaw progress of a ballot. I think that unless the solunteers should within a certain date comply with the condition presented their consent should not be waited for. In these purplies where the colunters of its bould not be premisely made, the compulsory lesy should be promptly enforced. This emopol sion, however would not, necording to my appreharmon in in any instance needs any of the lord-heat mants of courties, with the

4

deputies, and other persons of respectability, would go round from bouse to house in their respective districts, and solicit the people to come torward. This I know I am not too sanguine in believing would effectually accomplish, within one month, the ends we have in view without any compulsion whitever, particularly when they are apprized tally of the necessity for their service, when they are encouraged by the advice of their superiors, and when they have the satisfaction of knowing that the legislature have deemed their country's danger demands it

Much has been said of the danger of arming the people contess that there was a time when that fear would have had some weight, but there never was a time when there could have been any fear of arming the whole people of England, and particularly not under the present encumstances. I never, indeed, entertained any apprehensions from a patriot army regularly officered, according to the manner specified in the measure before the house, however I might hesitate to permit the assemblage of a tumultuary army otherwise constituted. From an army to consist of the round bulk of the people, no man who knows the British character could have the least fear-it it even were to include the disaffeeted, for they would bear so small a proportion to the whole, as to be incupable of doing mischiel, however mischievously dis-There was indeed a time when associations of traitors, systematically organized, excited an apprehension of the consequences of a sudden armament of the populace but that time is no more, and the probability is now as occurred in the case of the volunteers, that, if there are still any material number of disaffected, by mixing them with the loyal part of the community, the same patriotic zeal, the same submission to just authority will be soon found to pervade the whole body, and that all will be equally anxious to defend their country or perish in the attempt, -that the good and the loyal will correct the vicious disposition of the disaffected, will rectify their errors, and set right their misguided judgments We may thus enlist those among our friends, who would otherwise, perhaps, become the auxiliarits of our enemy. Under all these circumstances, Mr. Pitt said, he felt that the objections urged upon this score were not tenable, and that they ought not to have any weight against a measure which was necessary to the preservation of public order and private happiness.

Leave was given, mains matrediants to bring in the bill:—which was then brought in, and read a first time.

July 22, 1803

The General Defence bill was this day read a third time. On the quemon that "the bill should pass," and after Cohonel Crawford and the Secretary s: War had delivered their sentithents upon it,

Mit Prer rose :

It is not my intention, Mr Speaker to trouble the house at any considerable length but I cannot avoid submitting a key observations upon what fell from the honourable officer, and from my right honourable friend? Much, Sir of what has fallen from the callant officer is entitled to great att atton and entirely mech my approbation but I must observe that these considerations are not now for the first time introduced. With regard to the best means of national defence, such as a selection of the great leading posts, an examination of the most effectual means of operation to resist the progress of an enemy if he had landed ;-upon all these points, though perhaps much may remain to be done yet certainly government is not without ample foundation of information upon this subject which has been long since obtained and which I hope is every day increasing. It is impossible but that comblerations of this kind must have occurred to government formerly; for though the danger of impasion was never so imminent or so preving In the last war as it is at present; though the enemy had not then so km, an opportunity of fixing his attention in this are objet, that is to ray, the destruction of this country without being disturbed by the danger of continental attack, though the scale of action which was found necessary at that period can be no criterion of the degree of preparation which is now necessary, yet even then it could not be supposed that his Majesty's ministers, in their general superintendence of the defensive means of the country, which was all that belonged to the civil servants of the crown, or that the illustrious personage who fortunately for the country then presided and now presides over the military department, that the variety of very able generals who had commands in the different districts of the kingdom, did not turn their most serious attention to a subject of such infinite importance as that of securing the kingdom against the possibility of foreign invasion, and to adopt such means as, with the force the country then possessed, would secure the defeat of any enterprise which might be attempted. There is hardly one military district in the kingdom of which the government have not at this moment in its possession ample memorials, prepared a considerable time before the termination of the late war, under the auspices of the illustrious commander in chief of his Majesty's land forces, containing a mimite statement of the various points of resistance which are to be found on the coasts, and also all the intermediate points of military defence between the different coasts and the capital Ministers, I know, have now in their possession similar reports with regard to those counties which contain the great naval arsenals of the kingdom. They have also memorials upon the very subject alluded to by the honourable gentleman, that of protecting the mouths of our harbours, and particularly that of the mouth of the Humber, and, what I think of more importance still, though more remote, I mean the defence of Newcastle, which, from its connexion with the wants of the capital, is obviously of such importance that it cannot be necessary to enlarge upon it.

It is hardly necessary, Sir, to recal to the recollection of the house, the names of the gallant officers who had the commands of the different districts in the last war, but if I do state them, it

its completion, because there must remain some interest before all these plans are completely arranged and organized, and brought to that state of perfection at which I hope they will however soon arrive but even supposing that all the measures which I have stated were brought to perfection, still it would not dispense us from the necessity of adopting other means of defence, particularly in two points of view. Suppose all the objects attained at this moment, yet the foundation of our security would not be these olyects, however completely attained against the ardnous and most desperate struggle in which we may be engaged, all these kinds of strength can only give us this kind of security that if we are not wanting to ourselves, if we have not forgotten our national character but remember who we are and what we are contending for the contest will be glorious to us, and must terminate in the complete discomfiture of the enemy and ultimate security to this Lin.dom but if there remain any measure by the adoption of which our safety may be yet rendered, not only more certain per haps, but mure easy by which our defence can be secured with less effusion of blood less enzucty of mind less interruption of the industry of the nation less I will not say of alarm but of the evils, the inconveniences, the agitation that processarily belong to a great struggle of this kind however short or however certain its rangemay be -in a contest of such a nature it certainly would be most un use to run any lineard of protracting it or to reglect any mean of shortening it still more if possible if upon these grounds. I say it can be pointed out to me that there are any means by abich our regular arms could be immediately increased and all our neiments completed I should my that, although we are safe without it, vet our interest our prosperity and every object that can influence it would require that such a memure abould be adopted.

Much however as I should rejoice in seeing that object attained and much as I am inclined to attend to the knowledge and experience of the homomoble officer whose plan is was to take the militia at once into the rigidars I cannot lining my mind to conwar in the idea which he has suggested for the attainment of that

al pet. I crount think of ro detailing our rained ate system of defence, if the were no of he objections to it; I cannot think of become in upor the part of the militia as it now stands, for the pero worth as commention into the regular array. I know that the proof in the militar feel an common with the rest of their countries, the value of the stored object for which they are to covered; that they are ensured to have in opportunity of showing that they would be take place to any other troops in his Maje to service in the release of their devotion to their country, but Heave, or do some time, it is impossible to divert men of feelings and matricely vanish they have been for artified, and I know the fair arms are of the land were adopted, from the partiality and ofection which the officers bear towards the men whom they have to ened, and have loss, had under their command, they would suffor much mutual regret in being separated. I should be sorry if there was one minute officer who did not feel proud in having his troops complete, and making his corps wie with the best disciplined troops in his Majests's service. As such then are their feelings, in the same proportion must be their reluctance to see these men transferred from their officers into other regiments. I think I may senture to essert, that if you take a number of Lug-Ishmen under the command of proper officers, and with a proper argree of discipline, they must and will, especially when under the superintendince of regular generals, and mixed with regular troops, furnish for the present occasion a force so great, so respectable, and so useful, that it would be very unwise to beyond the miking it less so, either by reducing their number, or by wounding their feelings, by making them think worse of themselves by your shewing that you thought vorse of them; by making an inviduous comparison between different kinds of troops, and by creating that worst of all feelings, a rivalship inctured with animo-Silly.

The honourable officer, however, not only wishes for this error measure with regard to the nultia, but calls upon the error of Leers to do that which must recordly be highly a proting another inclinations, viz. to give their red in therefore version.

JULY 92.

ments of the line those men on whose discipline they had bestowed so much pains this is a sacrifice that can hardly be expected but even if it could there are other arguments against the adoption of this plan the weight of which I am sure the honourable officer will upon reflection admit. In the first place the danger is minimized and the measure non proposed is one that must take up some time in its operation and during that time the discipline of the corps must be necessarily loosened and therefore I very much doubt whether in such a pressing danger the remedy turnished by the honourable guilterian could be with safety adopted. I confess that the measures which have lately been adopted by parliament have in my mind taken off very much of adopted by parininear cases in any most attach or set the weight of the arguments which have been drawn from the necessity of augmenting the army of the line they are taken for increasing the army of the line very considerably the means less violent and less grating to the feelings of individuals than that now proposed By placing a large proportion of the 40,000 men that are posts by pacing a saye projection of use 40. Which that he to be mixed as the army of reserve in Great B. Can with replements of the line by permitting such of them as a superport of enter into the regulars for preserval service partials. Recritating has done much to nonrowe the regular army are preclude them from the necessity of adapting the rough! Sandul Endows experment which the honourable gentleman recommends doubtedly much will depend on the fullest use leding I nade of the power which has been given to till up regiments of that like by means of the arms of reserve

I certainly seel as I ought to do great distruit of my orne opinion upon military subject and I always state these obsures with great deference but I believe that it is unreveally admitted by all efficers that new recruits pointed into an old corps which has a number of experienced officers will not be soon ranguing a knowledge of dictifd as and become good soldiers than they will if they are I film a corps by themselve what see pains may be I hen in their in truttion. Taking that as an arathebed point I has therefore surprised and desproduted when I he and my not it as therefore surprised and desproduted when I he and my not

honourable friend the secretary at war, instead of proposing to diffuse the 40,000 men of the army of reserve over the thirty-nine or forty battalions that are in England, in which case they would have all the advantages of all the officers of those old corps-instead of this he talks of dividing them among thirteen battalions, by which means all the advantage which they would derive from the instruction of a great number of old and experienced officers would be very much diminished. Il now it may be said that the commissions in the army of isserve will in a great degree be filled up from the half-pay list, which certuilly contains a great number of officers perfectly well qualified to instruct and discipline any men placed under their command. But in the first place, it must be recollected, that the half-pay list would not furnish any non-commissioned officers, who are certainly the most essential in training raw recruits there is, however, another consideration which strikes my mind, and which I believe has not yet been suggested to the house. Our situation in point of security will certainly be improved by the adoption of the measure which is now before us; but it must be recollected, that while it improves, it alters our situation if we had voted only the aimy of reserve, undoubtedly it might be filled with able and experienced officers from the half-pay list, but we must recollect, that in addition to the army of reserve, we have voted an army of between three and four hundred thousand men. That we shall have no difficulty in procuring the men who are to compose this force, I am perfectly satisfied, because the spirit of the country is now raised in the capital, and will from thence rapidly pervade all the extremities of That spirit was first kindled in the north, from thence it has extended to the metropolis, and is now catching from town to town, from village to village, and very shortly the whole kingdom will, I am convinced, manifest one scene of activity, of animation, and of energy, displaying in its native lustre the character of Englishmen. That the men, therefore, will be procured with the greatest facility, I have not the smallest doubt, but we shall then want the means of preparing and drilling them, with all the accuracy that the shortness of the time will admit Does it

not then occur to the house that we shall have infinitely more use for the services of officers not attached to regiments? Doest not occur to geotlemen, that in addition to the nobl men, the gentry and the yeomann of the country many of whom will serve as officers, it would be advisable to every three or four officers of this description to add one or two from the half-pay lint? Would not the adoption of this plan greatly accelerate the training and perfecting of this new force? It therefore does appear most clearly to me that by allowing a greater number of battalions of the line to receive the army of reserve you would have a greater number of officers on the half pay to discipline the irregular force

I ought for to apologue for taking up so much of the time of the house upon this subject but I conceive it to be the daty of every member to state to the house every rdea which occurs to him by which he thinks the general means of the definee of the coun try can be improved. I therefore certainly do applicad the bonourable officer for having given to this night the general outlines of what he concerns to be the best plan that can at the present errors be adopted for national d fence. The opinions of an officer of so much experience are certainly entitled to great weight. There was not I confer. Sir any opinions delivered by the honourable officer which I heard with more pleasure than those which related to the property and practicability of having recounce to f. ld fortification on the present occasion of takin, the necessary men ures to secure our raval arrenals, not from capture, for that I apprehend has already been done but to secure them from a bombard ment even from the greatest possible distance. I pon these points we have as I before stated, the opinions of many able and exprmenord officers and I trust that we should not for a moment be so far influenced by any technique of fabe pride as to neglect or the sp e any means of this sort that would so obsorts add to our s. curity; much less can I suppose that these means may be rejected from any nor sken at as of economy or rather of penurs for peners it is ald be indeed to run the harried of a great waste of II I for the purpose of arong a few pound and shill rg. I ther fere eer al rolly here that po fall an of the kind will me t

fere to present a great national object of this sort from being pursued and adopted.

There was mother point advanced by the honourable officer, in which I am not sure that he was not misunderstood by my right honourable friend. I mean that part of the honourable gentleman's speech in which he regued upon the propriety of creeting forthis cations upon some parts of our coasts. I know very well, Sir, the common and general prejudice which prevails upon this subject; I know very well that when such a proposition is made, the answer will be, What, formly the whole coast of I ughand will you build a wall round the vhole island? No Sir, that was not the proposmon made by the honourable officer, no man in his sinses could make such a proposition. He spoke only of the propriety of fortifying particular places which are picularly accessible, or the mouths of great rivers, such as the Humber of I am right in my construction of what fell from the honourable officer, then I perfeetly concur with him. I see the propriety, and even the necessity, of partial fortifications of this kind, and I believe he will agree with me in the suggestion I threw out of the propriety of creeting some additional works for the security of Newcastle honourable officer talks of making certain points secure, he does not mean that they are to be placed in such an absolute state of security as to defy all kinds of attack, nor does he mean that there ought to be creeted on the coasts one regular connected series of fortifications, he me ins, as I imagine, a judicious selection of given *ituations, the best calculated to prevent the landing of the enemy, or to prevent them from penetrating into the country after they It is an absurdity to suppose that fifty miles of coast had Linded require fitty miles of fortification. But if in that extent of const there are but few points on which the enemy could land with security, those points ought to be fortified, while those points which were difficult of access, and in some degree fortified by nature, might be left untouched. The consequence of this would be, either that the landing of the enemy would be obstructed, or else he would be compelled to land at an inconvenient and disadvantageous place. This certainly would be obtaining a great deal and thou h I pretend to very little knowledge upon the subject, I believe that in many instances it would not be necessary to erect great fortifications it would be sufficient to profit by the natural advantures of the situation. There are in many parts of England valleys with large rivulets flowing through them these I apprehend might be juun dated so as to separate two corps of an enemy sarmy or to present communication between them. I really beg pardon Sir for talking upon a subject upon which I know so little but I think that f r a very small expense a great extent of the coast might be but into such a situation of defence as I have described; and then, instead of being obliged to look to such an immense extent of coast your attention would be narrowed and your force concentrated. If you are obliged at once to look to the whole extent of your coast the consequence must either be that your army must be collected in some central position equally distant from all parts of the coast and in that case some time must clapse aft r our enemy land before you can bring your army to meet him or else you must fritter away your army in small di valous along the whole line of coast. But by the ad pilen of the plan of the honoural le officer at lea t as I understand it v u would be able in the first instance to approse the landin of the enemy and if he should effect a landing be able to meet line immediat ly. The system if fortification is one that is not halto that foolish thou he mmon objection that it would be build ing a wall round the island. It would diminish much of the dan ger with which we are the ateard for while on the one land the people of lingland are il iron not t be pared in a mercary con est, we on the other land ou ht to shewevery leave not to mik an unn c- art u of that courngewhich ne applatel arela? min but which we's ould mana and spare by every precaute n that hum nft i litean implie

The third object to which the him unable effect allused was that of med ving fortice tion in the lines of int male below. The resources a principle roughant, that though it is go teen in the

tary knowledge to state it distinctly, yet it only requires the plainest common sense to see the advantage that must result from it, it is as clear as any demonstration in mathematics

If then this plan does promise such advantages, I am suré I shall not hear any objections started on the ground of expense I would not enlarge any more upon this subject, if it were not for something that fell from my right honourable friend, upon the fourth . point suggested by the honourable officer. I know very well that the manly feelings, and, if I may say so, the obstinate courage, of my right honourable friend, will not let him believe that the French would offer us such an insult as to come over here to fight us for our capital I am sure I shall not be suspected of depreciating or of not placing due confidence in the army, in the navy, or in the courage of the people of England, on the contrary, I am firmly convinced that the enemy will find us to be invincible. But it must be admitted, that in war there are accidents depending sometimes upon a day or an hour, in which, with the bravest and most numerous army, the enemy, by hazarding an operation for which in any other service a general would be broke or shot, but which a French general would attempt, because he knows he would be broke or shot if he did not, might obtain an advantage, the consequences of which might be most serious if some such measure as that recommended by the honourable officer was not adopted. We unfortunately know that attempts of this kind may be made, however rish or desperate, for those who will make them know that they will not appear so to Buonaparte The proud despot of France will, however, have reason to tremble on his usurped throne, when the people of France find that they have sacrificed hundreds of thousands of men to gratify his ambition and his re-With respect to that despot himself, he would, I am sure, feel as little hesitation in sacrificing 100,000 Frenchmen, as he would millions of Englishmen if he had them within his grasp

In arranging therefore the plan of national defence, we ought not to estimate upon probabilities merely. It is not enough for us to say that it he is eccentric and mad, he will pay the price of his

madness and folly we must take care that we do not pay for it first, we must not now disdain to adopt precautions which were formerly thought unnecessary I cannot therefore agree with the short and decisive opinion of my right honourable friend, who when the honourable officer recommended it to government to for tily London replied "I say do not fortify it." I must enter my protest against such language. He says, be would not affront the people of Lagland by supposing, that, while they have 80,000 sea men on board their fleet, and have such an army as is now on foot it could be necessary to fortify the capital. Why, Sir in the first place as to the navy we must remember that although we have 80 000 scamen a great part of them are detached on ser vice to different quarters of the world and consequently could not in any degree prevent an invasion at home. I am certainly not denying that the enemy would find great difficulty and danger in transporting his army to this country but it is by running desperate risks that he can alone hope for success. We may have a proud navy of ships of the line and frigates-I will not now stop to inquire whether that navy might not have been in readiness sooner-but I can conceive a case in which ships of that kind would not be sufficient to meet an innumerable flotilla of beats i suing from all the ports, harbours, and creeks on the opposite coast of France and covering the channel for several miles in length. Whether in order to meet a force of this kind it would not be wise to multiply the smaller sort of our naval force, and t mount them with guin of heavy metal and with carronades. I do not know. I hope something of this kind has been done already It is admitted indeed, that our many, great and powerful as it is cannot be relied on with absolute certainty to prevent an insusion; I came it it could there would be no occasion for all the preexution which we are adopting

But it is said, we ould he not to furtify London because our an test in did not furtify it. Why Sir that is no arrument unless you can show me that our ancestors were in the a nor ituation that we are: It is back to the days when it, penus, the word reads the fortited of Litzabeth, deteated the proud and instancible

Armada, fitted out by Spain to conquer us-and I trust that the invincible battalion from France will meet with the same fate,we must admit that not only the situation of this country, but of all Europe, is changed, and it is absurd to say, that when the cucumstances are changed, the means of defence should be precisely the same We might as well be told that, because our ancestors fought with arrows and with lances, we ought to use them now, and that we ought to consider shields and coislets as affording a secure defence against musketry and aitillery. It is however a very great historical mistake to say that our ancestors in England, and particularly in Ireland, had not fortifications much more numerous than any it is now proposed to eject. If then the fortification of the capital can add to the reasonable security of the country, I think it ought to be done. But here again I do not understand the honourable officer to mean that London should be encompassed with a regular fortification, but only that proper use should be made of the natural advantages of defence, which it possesses in a greater degree than any capital in Europe. The only difference of opinion that can exist upon this subject, must proceed from gentlemen imagining that we are recommending the election of great regular fortifications; there is a great difference between regular fortifications and field works, such as now recommended, we do not want regular fortresses capable of standing a regular siege, like Lisle or Tournay But if by the erection of works such as I am recommending, you can delay the progress of the enemy for three days, it may make the difference between the safety and the destruction of the capital It will not, I admit, make a difference between the conquest and the independence of the country, for that will not depend upon one nor upon ten battles, but it may make the difference between the loss of thousands of lives, with misery, havoc, and desolation, spread over the country on the one hand-or on the other of frustrating the efforts, of confounding the exertions, and of chastising the insolence, of the enemy

If then I am night in my general view of this subject, the expense and the time of constructing these works are so diminished,



character, it is for our very name as Englishmen, it is for every thing dear and valuable to man on this side of the grave ment has now provided ample means for our defence, at remains for the executive government to employ them to the best advantage. The regular army must be augmented to that point to which the means are now given to ruse it, the militin must be kept high in numbers, and unbroken in spirit, the auxiliary force must be as promptly raised and disciplined as the nature of things will admit, nothing must be omitted that military skill can suggest to render the contest certain as to its success, and short in If government show the same determination to apply all those means that pullament has shown in providing them; if the people follow up the example which the legislature has set them, we are safe. Then I may say, without being too sanguine, that the result of this great contest will ensure the permanent security, the eternal glory of this country, that it will terminate in the confusion, the dismay, and the shame, of our vaunting enemy; that it will afford the means of animating the spirits, of rousing the courage, of breaking the lethargy, of the surrounding nations of Europe, and I trust, that, if a fugitive French aimy should reach its own shores after being driven from our coasts, it will find the people of Europe ieviving in spirits, and anxious to retaliste upon France all the wrongs, all the oppressions, they have suffered from her, and that we shall at length see that wicked tabric destroyed which was raised upon the prostitution of liberty, and which has caused more miseries, more horrors to France and to the surrounding nations, than are to be paralleled in any part of the annals of mankind

The question passed nemine contradicents

December 9 1803

The House having resolved itself into a Committee of Supply Mr Hebhouse in the chair the Secretary at War (Mr Eragge) hild before them the entimates of the sumy and moved certain resolutions in conformity thereta. After Mr. Wiedham had speken

Mr. Pitt rost:

It is not my intention at present. Sir to follow the example of my right honourable friend* in taking that detailed and compre hersive view of the subject before the committee meither is it my intention to go into any retrospective discussion of the measures of covernment, nor to inquire whether the extraordinary means with which they were entrusted before the last prorogation of parlia ment have been exercised with sufficient vigour and ability. Conendering the danger with which the country was threatened as not yet past, convinced that the crisis still impends, and that still we have further efforts to exert and further precautions to adopt in order to camble us to meet it. I am anxious to direct your attention only to such points as are peculiarly urgent and on which delay would be inconvenient if not dangerous; and to suggest prospectively the comideration of those objects which are immedrately connected with the public accurity. I am still less in clined at present to examine all the questions that might be in cluded in the resolutions presented to the committee opening a wide field of discussion of the conduct of envernment and the state of our defence. I wish to confine myself particularly to what is more directly before us-the nature the amount and the proposed management of the military force of the crustry the more anxious to do lhis, as I have the minimum to dill't fundamentally from my m ht honourable fromd with m and t what should be the nature of il at force to which we are beautiful. as a permanent source of saf to it rou bent the whole of it is tot, boanerlong may be its day ton. No sea the

highly than I do of the importance of a regular military force, or of the regular force of this country. No man is more convinced that the excellence of regular military forces is unattainable in the same degree by any species of force which can be employed, but, in the last session of parliament, I professed an opinion which I still maintain, that there are other kinds of force to which, as subsidiary to the regular force, and as composing a safe and efficient system of national defence, it is wise and proper to resort. Parliament itself, by sanctioning and regulating the volunteer system, adopted this principle, and if in the execution of that system, government have adhered to the policy which parliament approved, and to the provisions it enacted, they must stand acquitted of all blame. On that subject, however, other parts of their conduct may be liable to censure

I was formerly, and still am of opinion, that to a regular army alone, however superior, however excellent, to the regular army even aided by the militia, we ought not solely to trust, but that in a moment so eventful, in a crisis so full of danger, in a contest so singular in its character, and which perhaps may be tedious in its duration, we ought to superadd to the regular army some permanent system of national defence, either to a certain degree compulsory, or formed upon the voluntary zeal and patriotism of the country itself. This ought to be resorted to as the grand source of domestic security. The army must be the rallying point - the aimy must furnish example, must afford instruction, must give us the principles, on which that national system of defence must be formed, and by which the volunteer forces of this country, though in a military view inferior to a regular army, would, fighting on their own soil, for every thing dear to individuals, and important to a state, be invincible Looking at the nature and probable turns of the contest in which we are engaged, I wish to see that system of defence employed, not merely for domestic security, but so matured and regulated, as not only to carry the volunteer corps to as high a degree of perfection as such bodies can be carried, but also to enable us to use the regular army in its full extent, in any way which circum

world is disturbed

stances might point out as eligible either for annoying the enemy where they are available by our separate efforts, or on a larger scale should a prospect open for contributing to the deliverance of Europe ir in the oppression under which it grouns, and for the reduction of that ambitious power by which the peace of the

Contemplating all these great and important objects. I cannot but rejoice that the volunteer system ha, been formed. I have no enuse of complaint against government for the extent to which it has been carried meither do I complain that it has fallen short of what we could wish or expect. I wish only that in the provisions which were enacted with regard to its extert, the numbers had been allotted with some relation to the local position and peculiar danger of the different parts of the equatry. I only wish that when it was fix d generally that the volunteer & ree might be six times the number of the militia, a great er propertion had been assigned, or a facility had been reserved of increasing it in the mantime countles or in those most vulneral I and me t exposed to the first attacks of the enemy. I am sorry that a different distribution was not edopted with ref-mace to the grand object of resisting and repelling the attempt of invasion in the firs increent it should be made. am confirmed by the crimons of much be tree judges than I can pretend to be of such a matter that a much smaller force would be sufficient to Lorais or d f-a, the enemy on their first landin than a much larger tree effer they had landed and recovered from the effect of this rays we. But then fire with resent to the economy fine a but with regard to a much more impiretantecowing a startlers at would have been desirable that the number els un o mal. Ill intren increased and encourant d in [re it mail the [re imit to the coalt and to there pea a with armine of atting Such ad infution a to the s and feefe at lier e ects I and more more di-small consecut file et et Althou hill free as son a tel s la mut mlengul in me n'he n'equael abeit mer mer brem iffe ju feit ibebefat myr may at rat at these these corn at

if the volunteers from the more distant parts should at last arrive to take their share in the victory, yet the greater the force that could be immediately brought to act, the sooner would the enemy be subdued, and the less should we have to regret the loss and the disgrace of our enemy fixing himself in the heart of our territory. But, on the other hand, wishing that no effort should be unemployed, that no means of safety should be neglected, I am desirous that while we make provision for meeting the enemy the moment he touches the British soil, prepared to repel him from our shores, to charge him as soon as he ascends the beach, we likewise ought to be ready in case of necessity, to meet him with fiesh armies, to overpower him with fresh aimies, and even, if it should be requisite, to bring army after army against him till he was finally discomfited. I, therefore, do not condemn the volunteer system in the interior, in the utmost extent to which it has been carried all I mean to say is, that it was calculated to be of great utility, and might have admitted a greater extension in those districts on which must fall the first struggle for the independence of the country

Having said so much on the volunteer system in general, I come now to consider by what means it may be rendered not merely a nominal force, not a pompous display to alaim the enemy with the multitude we can draw out against them, not merely a number of men upon paper, but an efficient and permanent force, always improving, always approaching nearer to the perfection of a regular military establishment. As far as I can perceive from the estimates submitted to the house, and from the opening of the honourable gentleman, no provision is made for introducing any improvement into the volunteer system, or for securing greater benefits from it than we now obtain, even for maintaining and securing those we aliendy enjoy with as much enthusiastic ardour for the volunteer institution, with as much admiration of the spirit and patriotism from which it sprung, as any man can boast, I must say that from all that I have seen, and all that I have heard from those most capable of observing and of judging, it does not appear to me that we should be

doing justice to ourselves that we should usely and effectually avail ourselves of the means of safety within our reach if we hesitated or delayed to render the volunteer system, what it is susceptible of being made, a system of solid permanent defence. a source of great and extensive national energy. Upon this part of the subject I am afraid I must totally disagree with my right honourable friend So far am I from thinking that there has been any fault in endeavouring to introduce too much system into the volunteer institution and to bring it near to the discipline and qualifications of a regular army, that I think too little has been done to promote what I consider a most desirable object: I can not agree with him that the men who compose the volunteer corps would be most usefully employed as a mass. If that were the case all that would be necessary would be enrolment arms and previous appointment of leaders Even these combined with the spirit and zeal of the brave people of this country, might be ultimately sufficient to ensure us victory but they would conquer amidst greater duadvantages and at a greater expense the object of the legislature in the measures adopted last session for the public defence to a sist and to regulate the spirit and real of the country and by the help and system of decepline and in struction to enable a smaller number to do that which a mu h larger number would hardly effect without them; to enable them finally to prevail over the assault of a foreign inteder with less expenditure of their own lives and with he speril to the courtry I rom what I have electrod and from what I have heard of

expen fiture of their own lives and with it sperit to the courier. Irom what I have beered and from what I have heard of the state of the leapine of the solunteers. I am more and more consumed that in order to bring them to any considerable does of 1 explaines they must be assemble 1 in bodies and that if they continue in companies they will make but little comparate optopic at the seems describe therefore that where it it can be does they should be formed into lattakes. When that earlier bed in they cull took formed and trouble to when that earlier has no horsely do bedies a courn target will point so with the levelet of importion and does for the area to the extremely do not be, therefore that we relate to the entiresty do not be, therefore that we relate in the second

should, in addition to its own officers, have the assistance of two officers of the service, one a field officer and one an adjutant, to assist in the instruction and discipline of the corps These officers should be considered as belonging to the army, and should in every respect enjoy their rank, pay, and other advantages, as if they were actually serving in the army. The expense of this arrangement would be considerable, but from what I know of the great superiority which a battalion, with the benefit of such officers, has over one trained under their own officers without such assistance, though with the utmost zeal and diligence, I am satisfied that the expense would be abundantly compensated by the perfection which the corps would attain. I do not know what the expense of allowing two officers of the description I have mentioned would be at present I see no provision made for such an object Neither do I know what proportion there is between the volunteer corps formed prior to the 3d of August, and subsequent to that period, nor how many adjutants are allowed agreeably to the regulations now existing upon the subject imagine, however, upon a conjectural view of the matter, that the whole expense of a field officer and adjutant for every battalion would not exceed 160,000l or 180,000l a year expense surely is trifling in comparison with rendering a body of 350,000 men an efficient and improving force. If the expenditure of such a sum were to contribute so materially to the efficiency of the volunteer force, no gentleman could hesitate to purchase, at so cheap a rate, this permanent and solid source of public security

I confess, however, that though I consider this arrangement as of the first importance to the discipline and perfection of the volunteer corps, some farther regulations will be necessary, in order to obtain the full benefit we desire. Even all the service which experienced officers could render, would be inadequate, if the number of days which the volunteers are by law required to drill, were to continue so limited as it is at present. I understand that the number of volunteers existing previous to the 3d of August, is 40,000. Where pay is allowed to those accepted and em-

to complete our means of defence so that in the Spring we may command every species of security which the resources of the country are calculated to afford. If it be the opinion of the house that officers should be assigned to the different volunteer battalions the sooner it is done the letter that they may have time to form acquaintance with the officers with whom they would have to act, and the men they would have to command before the season for military operation returns. I should wish, therefore that even this night-at least before the recess, the house should pass a vote for carrying this object into effect. I am the more unsent for this, because it appears to be the only object connected with the estimates, that calls for our immediate attention. Other points may be reserved for future discussion; but if parliament separates without making provision for the expenses which the objects I have pointed out will require there will be no opportunity of making up for the time which must thus be lost in carrying the plan into execution.

But even in addition to this improvement on the volunteer system it will be necessary in order to give it due effect that it should be accompanied with some new regulations of detail the purpose of which will be to keep up the number of the volunteers to their full amount to ensure punctuality of attendance to promote steadiness, attention, and soldierly habits and though without putting the corps under martial law provide for that obedience and discipline requisite to form the military character Looking forward as I am afraid we must to the long duration of the present contest it is of the utmost importance to prepare a system of defence which will be commensurate to the necessity of our situation and admuste to every purpose both of defrasto and off must war. We have already seen what exertions, what acriters the people of this country are ready to make under the guidance of a reliament under the impulse of real for its honour and in bejondence un lora some of the danger with all ich they are threatened. The zeal and this spirit promptin such generous and unanimous efforts may perhaps induce the energy to all and on the projet which he has presumption by concerned and mally

proclaimed Perhaps after viewing us on every side, after reconnoitring our position, he may be forced virtually to admit that we are unassulable. Perhaps, he may apparently abandon but we must not suffer ourselves to be fulled into a tatal security. We must not relax our efforts, or intermit our preparations, while any measure of wise precaution remains to Le adopted. We must take care that the enemy shall not do, by surprise, what he finds he cannot do when he has given us warn-In leed we are not to expect, that after the force of the country his been let down, the enemy will always be so confithent and so indiscrett as to give us ten months previous notice of the attack which he meditates. If, upon the apparent abandonment of the project of invasion, the people of this country were to indulge themselves in congratulation on their escape, there is some danger that the spirit which has proved our safety would subside, and these efforts be relaxed. The volunteer system might thus moulder away. It is necessary, therefore, to give it that consistency and vigour which will been it alive when the pressure which first produced it has subsided. Thus, even were the enemy sudden to resume his design, we should be found prepared to meet and to defeat the enterprise.

It is the duty of the house, therefore, to devise means for attaining this end. The house, thinking for the people and providing for their welfare, will adopt suitable measures, to give permancht system to this plan of defence, instead of trusting that the spirit of the people will supersede the duties of the government. Let us be on our guard that no temporary of apparent abandonment of the meditated attack shall induce us to disirm be advisable, that whenever the volunteers become too few in any district, the compulsory act of last session for calling out and disciplining the people, should be put in force. Care must be taken likewise that the volunteers shall fulfil the intention of the legislature in their efficiency as well as number Regulations must be established by summary fines to secure attendance, and provisions made for enforcing discipline and inducing military Exemptions should not be allowed but where these conhabits

ditions are complied with and no person should be allowed to withdraw from a corps without permission of the commander or without finding a substitute of proper military age. These points I merely but at, as they are matters of detail that may be afterwards discussed, and are less pressing than those to which I have particularly called the immediate attention of the committee. If I am right, however in my general ideas respecting the allow ance of officers to rofunteer corps, the execution of this measure admits of no delay. I am strongly inclined, then fore, to more a resolution for granting 500,000L for this object.

Before I sit down I with to say a few words respecting the

exemptions to which volunteers are entitled. It appears that what se understood to be the law on this subject is not what the legislature intended. As the law stands, however no exemption is allowed unless the person clasming it produces a certificate that he has at tended twenty four drills previous to the 21st of September there are many who have attended twice that number of drills without having such a certificate and therefore would be subject to the ballot. If any doubt remains as to the exemptions it is but right that the legislature should pass on act clearing it up. that those who were influenced by the prospect of exemptions which they concerned were held out to them may not have cause to complain that they were deceived by the ambiguity of the acts of parliament. There is another point, the law says, that to entitle to exemption, the volunteers claiming it must have been exercised with arms yet in some places it was impossible to procure arms, nor am I surprised at it considering the great and sudden demand for supplying the army of asserve and the great number of volunt are throughout the country. Let in such cases, it surely would be unreasonable to a first the exemption when the claimants had netually learnt many viry important and perhaps some of the most techous parts of discipline without arms. It surely would be hard than that people in this situation should Le liable to the ballet during the Christma h bilays when ly the spint of the acts of parliament, they ou ht perhaps, in parf rence to others, to be exempt d

These few observations I have thought it my duty to submit to the committee, feeling a most anxious wish to avoid every topic that could interfere with the consideration of what is necessary to the public detence, and at the same time desirous to direct your attention to those points most essential to it. The subject of the sex-fencibles has been alluded to, and I think has been misunderstood by my right honourable friend. Upon this head I may be allowed to speak with some confidence, as, from local situation, I have had an opportunity of examining it with care. If the seafencibles were composed of men hable to serve in the navy, the objections to it would be well founded, but this is not the case. They are composed of sea-firing men, it is true, but chiefly pilots and others obliged, not merely by their own pursuits, but by their importance to the commercial interests of their country, to remain at the places of their residence. These men are intended to man the boats which have been prepared for the defence of the coast, and armed only with pikes in situations where they could not act with any other weapons. Indeed, I wish that the admirilty had displayed more diligence in preparing those vessels which the sea-fencibles were intended to man. This species of force will. I am confident, be found of the utmost utility in case of any attempt to invade our shores, and will evince the same superiority over the flotillas of France, which the other branches of our navy have evinced over the maritime force of the enemy, and when brought to trial, will neither disappoint the hopes, nor lower the character of the country.

The different resolutions moved by the Secretary at War, were severally agreed to

February 27, 1804.

ON a motion for the second reading of the Volunteer regulation bill,

MR PITT addressed the House as follows

Sir-From the opinion of the right honourable secretary of state,

that this discussion should be confined within mirro v limit—and should apply solely to the consideration of the mea are immediately before the house. I decidedly diff r—and with the sentiments of my not honourable friend—on the lower bench that we are now called upon to take into sew every thing connected with the national defence. I entirely concur—Although the voluniter system naturally forms the first subject for our deliberation, as it is the principal feature in the picture, and that upon which we must under all the circumstances ground our relance for ultimate security, yet the army the milium and all the other branches of our public force press upon our attention and require to be examined upon the present occasion.

Whether the volunteer system be radically wrong or inadequate to its object is not the question proper for the louse now to conalder a but how far any defects, which experience has rendered manifest in its original formation may be removed and how the detail of the measure may be improved; law far, in a word it may be rendered efficient-this, in my judgment is the turn which the debate should take. With a sense of the situation in which the country is placed, of the danger which has been so long ru pended over us and of the errors which according to all appearances and information is so rapidly approaching we should devote ourselves to the consideration of the best means of arrend in, ar I advancing to perfection, the only force of equal magnitude non with a our reacht to desire not only how this force is to be prepared for the first approach of the danger which menaces us but how its pirit and efficacy may be preserved and mad comnet at to meet the full extent of the danger and efficiently to guard the country

That the criticals in which may enable men to next the first a tack can be the quitting the permitted to hope; but if at it would no rail not man would be very an une in rail to high proper. If it comes therefore incomes the enumerate to the afforder every in traction that is practically in order to a life to the practical in order to a life to the property of the order to a life to the practical in the practical in order to a life to the practical in order to a life to the practical in the practical interpretation in the

late them to a regular army. That it is impossible fauly to investigate the nature and tendency of the volunteer system, without referring to the regular army and militia, I readily admit, and that it is proper to inquire how far any faither augmentations of the one or the other is practicable or desnable, also how far the volunteer system interferes with either of these objects. But these are topics upon which I shall trouble the house by-and-by present I wish, principally, to dwell upon the methods to be resorted to, in order to communicate to the volunteers all the instruction they want, and to the system all the improvement of which it may be susceptible, for I am certain that this must form the great basis of our strength, the important institution of our defence, the medium by which we must contrive to bring the country safely out of its dangers, and to lay asleep those apprehensions, which, from the calamitous destinics of the present times, have been excited by a gigantic power suddenly creeted, to disturb the world, to desolate a large portion of Europe, and to lay the foundation, if not resolutely and vigorously resisted, of future and incalculable misery. Such resistance it is become the fate of this country to make, and I trust it will be its glory effectually to accomplish. That its resources and the zeal of the people are competent to the undertaking and the achievement no man can doubt -that zeal which has been displayed in a manner so extraordinary as to surprise even the most ardent admucrs of the British character, and to gratify the most anxious friends of British independence,—that real which has not merely seconded but far outrun the wants of the country, and very much indeed the wishes of the government

Into the principle of the system, upon which the force produced by this real has been constructed, I shall not now inquire. That is a point which has been already amply discussed and satisfactorily settled. The question fairly is, whether, in addition to our regular aimy and militia, it is practicable to procure, from the population of the country, a force sufficiently large to meet the magnitude of the dangers which threaten us, by any other and letter means? It does not appear to me that we could. Cer-



attempt on our liberty and existence, dictated by slavish power and inordinate ambition, it behaves us to consult our immediate security, and not to allow of even the idea of disbanding so large a body as 400,000 men, however imperfectly constructed they may be. We should rather examine how far this force may be rendered effective, and, with this view, I shall state to the house the mode that, in my judgment, ought to be pursued.

How far ministers have failed, heretolore, in the performance of their duty with respect to the volunteers, how far they have wished to carry into complete execution the system of which they appear to approve, I will not now stop to inquire, farther than to say, that they should have been more attentive to promote the regulation of the several volunteer corps They should have communicated more precise instructions, through the medium of the lord-lieutenants of counties, as to the best method of training the volunteers, of procuring a regular attendance at drills, and enforcing attention to discipline when there. These are points of arrangement very material to consider, and ministers should even now, and I hope it is not too late, look to objects of so much con-I do not mean that any superfluous directions should be given to the volunteers, nor do I ask to have them trained up in the way in which the advocates of an aimed peasantry would recommend, who seem to unagine that such peasantry could be converted into that quality of force, namely, light troops, for which, of all others, they are least qualified But I would have the volunteers instructed in all the necessary evolutions, and this I am decidedly of opinion, would be far the best course to pursue, particularly as it must be admitted, that, under existing circumstances, it would be quite absurd, if not dangerous, to think of proposing a new system to supersede that of the volunteers. To promote this improvement in the discipline of the volunteers, is a thing so obviously necessary, and so highly desirable, that I should hope no minor difficulties will be allowed to stand in the way, that no mistaken or narrow notions of economy will operate to impede such an important object, but that the volunteer force will be rendered as perfect in military discipline, as the nature of the



that no unnecessary sacrifices shall be made, that the blood of our countrymen shall, on every possible occasion, he spared. To these points it is our imperative duty to attend, for, surely, if ever there was a great trust confided to the liberality and justice of path ment, it is the means of protecting the lives and blood of their fellow-citizens, who have rushed forward to the post of danger when the safety of their country was menaced. We should not consent to purchase our security by the sacrifice of our countrymen, if such a sacrifice could at all be avoided.

I rom these considerations, I conjure the house to point their attention priticularly to the consideration of the means of icndering the volunteer force as efficient as possible. That much yet remains to be done and for which this bill does not provide, I feel the most perfect conviction, and although I am of opinion that it would be better the alterations in detail, which I think necessary, should originate with his Majesty's immisters, who are best qualified to give complete effect to such alterations, yet my sense of duty will not suffer me to neglect the propositions which appear to me eligible. To these propositions I shall strictly confine myself, and, abstaining from all allusion to whatever I may think on the present state of politics, or to the conduct of ministers hitherto, I shall apply myself solely to the examination of our na-That appears to me to be the first and most intertional defence It ought to occupy the attention of every man esting subject It is quite enough to fill the minds of ill

This, therefore, claiming my consideration in preference to every other subject, I look with great concern to the imperfections of the volunteer system, recollecting that it is pushed to an extent far beyond any thing that was foreseen when the country was first declared in danger, and, considering its present magnitude, I regiet to find that it is not more advanced in military quality, that it is still extremely madequate to its object, and that the proper means of promoting its discipline have not been as yet adopted. These means, which I deem most material, I conceive to be, 1st, the op-

portunity of regular instructions. 2dly the securing of a undance at drill and, 3dly, the enforcing of silence steadmen, &c when at drill

On the first of these points. I beg to a k of any thinkin manwhether it is possible for the volunteer to acquire a sufficient knowledge of the simplest part of military discipline by att nding drill only twenty days in a year and generally not more than two or three hours each day-particularly takin, into account the jeadequary of the instruction &c. I am aware that there are urner to may be said to offer objects in to the system all wetber; but if we objects as I feel to be remorable by attending to the alterations I have suggested and shall hereafter propose. What may be deter et a future time I shall not now enter into but merely evinfine myself to the manner in which they should make the best overel their time that yet remains to prepair them for if e impend on dategery and this preparate a should be timulated and encouraged by the conduct of parl amont. The spirit of our gallant volunterrs so long tried by suspense may be etherage relayed. Dane ger being to often memocol, and so long suspended there are may be weakened unless parl amont shall do its date by go in to these salinnt patriot every possible means of rendering their excitives in the case of their country complet by effectual. The dier and your sens fully explained I am penuaded that the s lenteen will secred to any prop nal that the premity of the case may sugest backs the nature of the mode of Legislatore that I have not the stadion of doubt that there is no a finality which they would not encounter, and no pro-store to which it's were I not submit, when the year I under and that such the share and pri at ire are necessary to succeed in the glations exoner with ted t t feetarm elements that e unity francis we sol other I all an the wear ty fit frame a price

Inc. In the tiprore the flex egy what I have saving a world propose that here described a world propose that here described a series of the extension is not case but someon in particular discovered for a single case.

care to assemble the corps in the place convenient to their native home For this purpose, I should propose that a small bounty be given to each volunteer who would consent to march on such permanent duty, namely, seven shillings per week, independently of one shilling per day to every volunteer who should so march. This plan would, I am persuaded, do more towards promoting discipline and military habits among the men, than any dulling at different and detached periods. I had an opportunity of witnessing the salutary effects of such a system last summer. About 2 or 300,000l. would be quite sufficient to defray the expense of it. Surely it cannot be pretended that parliament manage with judgment and integrity the purse of their constituents, if they refuse to open it in order to advance this sum for a purpose of such high importance, to save the lives and property of the people, and to bring the contest in which we are engaged to a speedy and glorious conclu-

Now, as to the mode of instructing the volunteer corps, I mentioned before Christmas very fully the propriety of appointing fieldofficers, &c to such battalions as applied for them, and I am still of the same opinion, as none of the arguments which have been advanced against my recommendation appear to me to have any weight, and as I know, from my own observation, the advantages that would result from it. I would propose that the instruction of volunteer corps should be assisted by the regular officers stationed in the several districts, particularly those on the coast, on some parts of which no less than from 80 to 100,000 men might be speedily collected I would also recommend the adoption of some system, not harsh, to enforce attendance at drill, which is particularly necessary This might be done by regulations, to which each man might subscribe, imposing fines on detaulters, rendering the mattention at parades liable to arrest and detention, until tried before a magistrate, who should have the power of commuting any fine for a short imprisonment of two or three days agree with the right honourable mover, that no change should be made in the volunteer regulations that is not called for by absolute necessity, and of such a nature do I conceive the proposition

58R

thm nation, I believe it will be found that the number of that description of our mayal force fit to repel the actual attempts of the enemy is at the present moment much inferior and less adequate to the exigency of the danger than at any period in former times Shall I Sir detain the house with a tedious recital of the great and extraordinary changes which have taken place and which call for increased activity and exertion? Such an appeal is rendered unnecessary by the actual state of things and by facts which cannot be controverted If, on former occasions we have been called upon to make preparations of defence in their ma, nitude supen or to preceding cales: it does not require from he nly arguments to convince the house that, in our present situation our means ofserenty should be night greater in a comparate point of new and that in proportion as we are threatened not only with the acknow ledged determination of the éneing, but with his increased power of effecting an invasion, we should redouble our efforts and be r ady to guard against every possible risk which may be hat artical arniust our independence and happiness The next point to which I shall beg leave to call the attention

of the house is that species of naval force which i best calculat d to meet and defeat that preparing by the enemy to accomple helic great and favourite object of invasion. I believe that at the commencement of the last year at occurred to the land of the # lmls raite that the kind of force best calculated to act attribut the attempts which mucht be made to effect a descent was that more excularly fitted to deplay itself in shoot water and I have cord grounds to believe that the long of the at tairalty thinking so here of opinion that it ought to be considerable no merfed. But although they were of that of link a in the month of January 1803 yet I em state to the honout the fire fire two betters, that and the many three gun accords wir no il die e anno mertanom it il isperies of mialif ter fire chalith a n t la con pl ted in three and the remaindering a iran I I fream Se that this earn lamant tamas in words dertak nit bemarmilit fet init menth of Janu ry 1801 Let of all the sec. It also be mpl yel with success this end na of all eth re them ste' b mbether its means eld beme

and annoyance are to be considered, or the water on which it is destined to act. The lords of the admiralty, convinced, however, of the necessity of employing it, took some measures for an establishment of that nature, and I am naturally led to inquire into the steps which they pursued to complete so desirable an object. They determined to have five gun-boats ready in three months, and the whole, constituting twenty three, finished for actual ser-It is undoubtedly a very material point to vice in six months inquire why this augmentation was not thought of at an earlier period. Am I, Sir, to recapitulate the various motives which should have accelerated increased exertion? Were I to do so, I should merely re-state what has been obvious to every man of common sense and common observation. In the month of August, when we saw the necessity of augmented efforts, when we saw transports for the conveyance of troops collecting daily in the port of Boulogne, when we saw them gaining new strength and new additions, during the fine weather, to the months of November and December, and when we knew that they had increased to upwards of 1000 in the same port, independent of the armaments in Helvoet, in the Texel, in Brest, and other points of attack, what reason, let me ask, can be assigned for the gross neglect which has taken place in this respect? But above all, Sir, let me ask what defence can be set up for this extraordinary conduct, when we were told by government itself, that we were threatened with invasion from day to day, when we had, if I am not very much misinformed, reason to believe that 100 strong gun-boats were collected at Boulogne ready to convoy and protect the enemy's flotilla assembled in that same port?

In stating all these circumstances, it is hardly necessary for me, I think, to apply them to the subject under our discussion. Having, as I have observed, all these proofs before us, I wish to know, and I trust I shall not be considered as asking too much, why we can have but a force to meet the enemy in his own way, a part of which is to be ready only in three months, and the remainder, the greater part, to be completed in not less than six? If we have been preparing for a considerable time, with all the

efforts of which the country is capalle an immente land force if government be serious in the notice which it has given, and in the alarm which it has diffused of the attack that menaces our independence and even our existence; if we are now ready to contend on our native soil with an enemy anting for a favourable moment to make a descent in that class of venels peculiarly adapted to cross the Channel. I hope I shall not be thought unrenson able in taking why the best and most effectual means of meeting and triumphing over the danger have been so long suspended; and why a part of our counteracting exertions in the naval department of our strength has been deferred for three month and the more considerable part has been postponed for the space of aix months? This will constitute the object of the second motion with which I shall trouble the louse.

I shall not thinking as I do that it would be an uni refitable waste of time undertake to show that the means of our na tional defence with respect to the use of gun-boots have been improperly used and that when it was found necessary to resort to them, they were only attempted too late to be effectual. I have now to state what has been done in the course of the la t war when the occasion was less presong and the circum tances were under every point of view of a less imperiou, nature ; and I have to neutre the home that if the proper documents be grant ed I shall undertake to a rove the truth of the a writing, which I may ful it my duty to bring firmard. Centlemen will no doubt neillect that in 1791 1707 and 1801 it was found nec wary to an ment the same species of naval force, to which I have this evening ally led. What wa the conduct of government at each of these periods? A considerable number of gun boats was get realy in the two first periods within ten weeks only; and the same activity of preparation was carried on with success in the year 1801 within the space of from in fre to fourteen needs Instead of any exertion now similar to those instances which I have mentioned we are informed that the greater part of a f mems of I frace is to be completed within six months, and that a I wegen leads will be ready at the end of three

Thus, Sir, I am warranted in maintaining that here we have sufficient grounds for a motion to address his Majesty, that he might be graciously pleased to use additional vigour and expedition in preparing and maturing our naval means of defence against the enemy's armaments, for employing redoubled activity against the danger with which we are threatened, and for guarding the narrow seas with more strictness and vigilance. These, it will not be denied, are objects of true constitutional enquiry, and they form a most satisfactory ground for me to demand the information which I desire may be laid before this house.

In the like manner I also propose, "that an humble address be presented to his Majesty, for a copy of the contracts made, and the orders given, by the lords of the admiralty, in 1793, 1797, and 1803, with respect to the number of gun-vessels to be built, distinguishing the time at which each contract was made, the period in which it was to be brought to a conclusion, and the amount of the sum to be paid for the performance of it" These accounts are the more important and material, as they will give to the house the opportunity of not only seeing the opinion of the lords of the admiralty on the subject, but they will also afford the means of comparing our naval strength in this respect, as it actually exists, with what it was in former instances, and tend most essentially to promote that end for which we cannot be too zealous in our wishes-the security of the country. It is not for me to anticipate the opinion of gentlemen upon these questions; but most certainly no man will undertake to tell me, that this is not a proper mode for satisfying the house, whether the preparations which have been made by his Majesty's ministers, in the direction of naval affairs, have been commensurate to the magnitude of the crisis in which we are placed. As the measures I have thought proper to touch upon, are decisively necessary for the defence of the country, I will not fatigue the house with dwelling on them at a length that must be uninstructive and tedi-There is, I am confident, no man who hears me, that is not convinced of the vast importance of these objects, which are superior in magnitude to any that can occupy our attention

can receive no embelishment or illustration from thy words which it is in my power to use, for they press themselves irreast ably on the minds of all.

292

Another object to which I shall call the attention of the house is, however remote it may appear to some not less essential to the permanent security and happiness of the country I mean. Sir. hot what relates to our present danger and our actual exertings, but to what should be our system of conduct, even were peace to be concluded, with respect to any future war. It is a considera tion let me say, in which not only our own dearest interests. but the interests and destiny of Europe are involved. Next to the two first points which I have noticed it remains with the house to determine whether the state of our navy at the commencement of the war was such as to call for augmentation, or diminution. In the year 1801 it was impossible to suppose that the navy did not require more exertion than in 1793 for every thing indicated that it was not so promising as in the beginning of the former war I have no desire to disclose the precise condition of our present force, but the truth is, that you were bound to make every possi ble exertion, and even efforts altogether unprecedented to aug ment and repair your navy at the beginning of the present war, from motives and causes which did not exist in the commencement of the former war. It is almost needless for me Sir to remark, that there are two modes of increasing our paral strength with respect to our shipping the one by building vessels in the kine s wards the other by building them in consequence of private contracts in the merchants yards. If we look to the progress of our mual improvement for a very long time we shall find that ro less than two thirds of it have been built in the merchants yards; and undoubtedly it is not necessary for me to state to the have that which must be known to every person conversant with the subject t at building in the lines yards in time of war is nearly to: pended altogether. I have also to remark what I am een sinced will not escape the attention of gentlemen that the great aurmentation of our many does not notice form hips began in a period of nar but from slips which bare been hid upon the stocks for everal y are anteceden... Denreg the List as 1 con

state, without the possibility of contradiction, that out of twentyfour ships of the line, prepaied and finished for actual service, two alone were supplied from his Majesty's yards. What conclusion then, it may be said, do I intend to draw from these facts? I wish to establish it as a system that should be acted upon, that when the circumstances of the times require extraordinary efforts, you should look to the building of ships by contract, 'and tha. you should also look to the augmentation of your navy, not in the precise moment when necessity calls for exertion, but many years antecedent to the pressure of any unforeseen exigency to the difference of building between the king's and the merchants' yards, it was evident that no material difference arose in point of expense, since, in the latter, the amount of the expense was regulated by public advertisement, and the work was to be executed in the best manner Now, Sir, if I am not very much mistaken, I am enabled to state, that, since the present lords of the admiralty have come into office, only two ships of the line have been contracted for, to be built in the merchants' yards I mean to shew that entering on the present war, when our navy could not be in so good a condition as at the beginning of the former war, every possible means should have been taken to augment and strengthen it, that it was a period which required greater exertion, and that only two ships of the line have been contracted for, while, during the last war, out of twenty-nine ships of the line, the king's yards furnished but two But if the admiralty be liable to censure for these omissions, it will be found still more so from details which I can pledge myself to prove in the most satisfactory way. I have explicitly to state, that there are at this moment docks and slips in the river unoccupied, which are calculated for building fourteen or fifteen ships of the line When, therefore, all these circumstances are put together, and fairly considered, I hope I shall not be told, that they do not constitute grounds for an address to his Majesty.

The next motion I have to make is, "That there be laid before the house a list of such ships as have been built in the king's yards in 1793 and 1801" But if gentlemen should think any informa-

tion on this head might be the channel of improper intelligence to the enemy I shall feel it my duty to abstain from pressing the motion on the house; for I am aware that there will still be grounds sufficiently strong to commice the house that the construction of vessels in the merchants yards, is preferable to that which is now adopted in those of his Majesty s. I shall afterwards submit a motion for the production of a list similar in substance and time of the vessels built by contract in private yards and to this I conceive no material objection can be made. A noble friend of mine on the bench below me has, on a former night entered into a comparative view of the state of our naval force in different years but it was so generally couched as to be very little suited to the present inquiries which form the objects of my motions. It is material for the house to remark that in the former war we set out with 16 000 men, who were soon after augmented with 2 000 more and in the course of the year were increased to the number of 75 or 76 000 including marines. In the present war we started with 50 000 men, and it should not pass unnoticed that we also engaged in it when our mercantile marine was increased in a maternal proportion. Let what was done? Why although we began with 50 000 men, and had all the great advantages aroun, from an unprecedented prosperity of trade and commerce our naval force did not exceed in the number of men \$6,000 at the end of the year. Thus in the first year of the former war we had an increase of 60 000 stamen, and on the first year of the present war, an augmentation of 30,000 only

In the few plain statem at I have made, the house will per crive that I have cauth usly abstained from all general reasonic and that I have carefully confined myself to such group Is as I have thought sufficient to justify the moost I have to bring firward. Should the material by refused I trust however that I shall is not 1 and by the house it any further transming and explain any which I may be call I upon to employ and should they be remarked. I hall reason if it is future by the remarks and it is trust as to which their it is must resturably lead me. The con-

rederations which they involve are of the first importance, and render it, in my mind, the indispensible duty of parliament to agree in an address to his Majesty. I shall therefore conclude with moving, "That an humble address be presented to his Majesty, praying that his Majesty may be graciously pleased to give orders, that an account of the number of ships of the line, of ships of 50 guns, frigates, sloops of war, bombs, hired armed vessels, &c as have been in commission, with the distribution of their respective services on the 31st of December, 1793, on the 30th of September, 1801, and the 31st of December, 1803, be laid before the house"

After the question had undergone considerable discussion, MR PITT rose to reply

He declared, that he would endeavour to detain the house, at that late hour, as short a time as possible. It must, however, be evident that he was bound to answer some of the remarks which had been brought forward. He agreed with a learned gentleman, that any vote which was given that might for the papers did not absolutely proceed the length of censuring his lordship. They rere called upon to grant certain papers, deemed requisite for an inquiry into the conduct of his lordship, and the honourable board of which he was the head. They were called upon to view, with the eye of candour and impartiality, the merits of the case which he had presented for the consideration of the house. To grant the documents for which he moved, yould be the best means of establishing the character and conduct of his lordship, by the inquiry which he purposed to institute. To refuse them would create those doubts which must always be injurious to a public character, however pure it might be considered by his friends. To refuse them would also have an evil tendency-it would serve to excite doubts as to the real strength of the nation. And what doubts? Doubts as to our capacity for the resistance of a very powerful enemy, whose visit to this country we are taught to believe will

Mr. Tonblanque.

take place in the course of a very few weeks. Before such a ter rible emergency arrives all doubts ought to be removed by the production of such papers as would demonstrate at once the real strength of the country

If these papers be deemed necessary to ascertain our capacity for the resistance of the enemy why deny them? Is parliament for the sake of protecting the board to be left doubtful of our strength and power at this great and awful ensis? Is that very par-Imment which makes a liberal expenditure for the security of the country, to be left in a state of doubt and dismay, because ministers do not choose to gratify their moderate walkes? The greater the danger, the greater the necessity for knowing the arrangements and strength of the country at the eye of one of the most serious crents about to be recorded in our history. Should the papers be refused which from the disposition of those connected with administration appeared likely to be the result of his efforts our doubts would be increased not only respecting our expacity to meet the enemy but our doubts would also be increased respecting the conduct of the nobleman who provided at the admiralty. It was as much as to say do not inquire into our conduct for th re are certain facts which cannot bear public investigation. It was as much as to my give us unlimited confidence believe in our professions of vigilance and activity. Int do not attempt to institute an inquiry for we can power consent to such a men ure "

What fort of confidence loss the board want? That blind and file centil inte which exposes the safety of our country! That confidence which receives our public security for the sake of severaling from cristure a department of enverancement the reset in pertant at the particular period to the interest of the country! Is this the kirl of security which the hon-parable barrows boards of a measure so personally on his mind as to be fuce him a return to the mind and the public which confidence is the country and it is down his head on the public which confidence is the analysis of the return and it is not a return and it is not a return to the country of the return to the return the return the return to the return the return to the return the return to the return the return the return to the return the return that the return th

benumbs our senses, and lulls us to sleep, while the enemy is at our gates—a confidence which cannot ful to excite the most lively emotions in the minds of men of serious reflection, when contrasting the terrible activity of the enemy with the alarming supprincess of our government

But let it not be said I am trifling with the feelings of the house by these melancholy views. I believe, with a fit application of the resources, the country may not only be rendered secure, but triumphant. My only wish is to remove the evil of deception from before our eyes, to scout that take confidence under which ministers shelter themselves—a confidence which, if passed over in silence, my endanger the very existence of the nation, because it avows and cherishes a trick upon itself. Let the honourable baronet, therefore, retire to his pillow, if he please, and wrap himself up in his charm of naval confidence.

I have been very much estonished at the extraordinary turn this debate has taken. Ministers had previously applied to be informed of the nature of the motions I meant to propose formed them, and I certainly understood it was their intention to accede to two of the motions, without any objections being sug-With this persursion, as I have lately often intruded very much on the time, and, I fear, the patience of the house, I thought it unnecessary to enlarge on the nature, circumstances, and object of the motion Consistently with this reflection, I merely stated the leading object, from doing which I had no sooner retired, than the right honourable gentleman * below me rises and asserts, that I have made out no case on which the present application can be founded. I must confess this is not treating me with that candour I had reason to expect. A case opened, and a case proved, are two very different things, but it is not at least a necessary consequence that these two stages in the same cause should not succeed each other. I should be very much surprised if the case, even as it now stands, should, by any gentleman, be considered feeble. The first prominent feature of it is,

298 MR. PITTS

to possess such a maral force under the present danger of invasion. as would be fully competent to guard these islands I my the force ought to be greater that it is less than it ought to be, and than it might be, if the means of the country were put in requestion and activity. Are not these then, grave and important considerations and are they not directed to provide against the greatest possible calamity and for the security may the existence of the country? The next distinguishing feature of the present motion is to lay a sufficient foundation to keep the navy under such an establishment that whatever may be its present condition a permanent force may be in future supported adequate to the accumulating penls to which the nation may be expused. These preparations are the more necessary, because the present war suc coeds a recent one of great length, in which the navel force had unavoidably received considerable damage. Can I consistently with the respect I owe to this house inquire if these matters which immediately concern the present safety and future strength of the country are suljects of importance? or if any materials can be supplied on which a case may be more firmly supported? The right honourable gentleman below me has refused

the main object of this motion and he is to be a powerful supporter of the present measures. Whether his aptitude be great in the support of an administration as it was in opposition we have to learn-we have yet to learn what his abilities are as a defender. He has been instructed already in a severe school; but I very much question if he has distinguished himself or will do so, under the new character he has been induced to assume; and he certainly has enjoyed sufficient opportunity to remove our uncer tainty in this particular, of which lowever he has met been dop sed to avail himself. I am tell by the honoural! grateman. I have been selerd with a panie to which the gallant Leart of the rolle I of could not be lable. Am I t. on lent in I that the and thenoumble gentleman speaks the win men well to treat tours en il esture beneh whin he af en to ni cule to jes e to or to a that offer he is to the world from fatten the a in Alefar lath won'll will will the traile

occupies, if he were not to entertain a rational conviction of danger, if he did not know that difficulties were to be encountered under the mighty system of hosfility adopted by France nisters have felt none of these apprehensions, if to this alarm or pame they have been wholly superior, how are we to explain their recent conduct? For what purpose have they been engaging the time of parliament with prolix and energetic discussions on the military force necessary to defend the sacred soil of our country from insult and violation? Whence, if this be the case, all this bustle and activity, this voluminous correspondence with the most eminent characters in military life, and whence this variety of measures, which I will not say they have proposed, but to which they have acceded? Is this too all vain delusion, or have they. with me, been degraded by a panic which they assume when mi litary matters are under consideration, and reject with indignation when the naval force is the subject of debate? It has been truly said by my honourable friend*, that the naval defence of the land is our national passion, in which we indulge all the excesses of instinctive pride. With this generous propensity, let us look to the collective strength of the enemy on the opposite coast, which seems to realize the fictions of ancient story. Can it be supposed. with this view before us, we can for a moment forget all the advantages of our insular situation, the glories of our maritime strength, the navy which has extended our commerce, which has established our authority, which has raised us to the rank we enjoy amongst surrounding empires, and which has conduced to our command and aggrandizement in every quarter of the earth? Cin we, I say, in the moment of danger, fail to remember this grand source of public security? In such a crisis as this, am I, with all the indifference of a cold comparison, to be referred to the commencement of the former war with France, when she was torn by civil dissensions—when she was encompassed by hostile nations in array against her-when all Europe was leagued for her destruction? Is that period to be assimilated to the present,

300

when we are to meet her single-handed without the co-operation of one ally and are we to limit our evertions to what they were at the time when circumstances were thus totally different? Let it will be recollected that then the navy of this country at least was so far prepared that scarcely one fleet ventured to forsake the norts of France that did not supply new laurels to the callent defenders of their country on the tempestuous element by which we are surrounded. The enemy who have lost their internal tride their exterior commerce, their fisheries the very founds tion of their many have in the prosecution of a gigantic enter prize created an artificial marine of prodigious extent; and are we not to proportion our means to the new circumstances in which we are placed to the new perils to which we are exposed? and are we to have the ardour of all our generous passions dissipated by the application of this 'cold comparison?" I trust therefore I shall not be necused of disgraceful fear of idle pame if I contend our exertions ought at this moment to exceed all former precedent; because the dangers by which we are encompassed exceed all former peril. Unless I am much mutaken the kind of minor marine I have recommended is a force easily prepared neither of tedious nor expensive construction.

But gentlemen have argued as if I wished to lay with the floating castles by which this country is protected and to dishaud the British may I was sorry to bea, an honourable admiral deviate into this gross misapprehension. True it is, I have expressed some confidence in gun-vessels for a particular purpose; but have I ever been insune enough to express a doubt that for the blockade of Brest Toulon I errol and the various ports occupied by the ships of the enemy our men of war and our frigates should not be employed? Liven should the flotilla of the enemy venture toward our coasts, I have no doubt that a wide destruction and goneral confusion will be occasioned by the annoyance they will receive from our regular many but some will probably excepamon the vot multitude, and ain I culpible in recommer.lin.

that this minor navy should be prepared, under such an emergency, to render certain that security which would otherwise be only probable? Our first defence then is by our larger ships; our next in the shallows by our flotilla, the third expedient is, to prevent the landing of the enemy, and the fourth and least convenient is, when they have gained a footing on English ground, to meet them in the field of slaughter. Will gentlemen, who affect to despise these gun-vessels, not admit, that between the ports of Harwich and Portsmouth there are numerous banks and shallows where ships of the line are incapable of approaching the shore? I am not ashamed to say, before professional gentlemen, however eminent, that if we neglect to provide against contingencies, by the kind of force to which I have now adverted, we do not do all in our power to conduce to the national safety.

Terms of derision have been employed to render this species of marine defence contemptible, and it has been called a Mosquito fleet, but when gentlemen are pressed a little more on the subject then we are told there are 500 or 700 volunteer gun-boats.-These boats, we are to recollect, are not under the immediate command of the admiralty, and have actually been forced into its service by the enthusiasm of the people. A great proportion of these are engaged on an extent of coast with which I am particularly acquainted, and I know this force would have been ready much sooner, and would have been in a much better condition. if the admiralty had given them due encouragement. Honourable gentlemen have dilated on the distinction of gun-boats and gunbrigs, and the latter have, it seems, received some commendation from high professional authority. It is to gun-vessels of this last description that I have all along adverted, and twenty of these, which have had the foremost duty under Lord Keith, are those which were fitted out in the time of Lord Spencer so that the utility of these is acknowledged by Loid St Vincent, and as a further proof, those that have been lately ordered, under the circumstances stated, are precisely of the same kind, and differ only in the delay, I contend, needless delay, in their construction have then the satisfaction to reflect, that my sentiments are sanc-

glorious achievements of Lord St. Vincent. To him we are highly indebted for shedding extraordinary lustre on our national glory I did believe that when his lordship took upon himself the direction of our naval affairs, the public service would derive great be nefit from his patriotic exertions and professional skill I did be lieve that his name in whatever naval capacity was a tower of strength -but I am apt to think that between his lordship as a commander on the sea, and his lordship as first lord of the ad mimity there is a very wide difference. It cannot surely be a subject of surprise, that Lord St Vincent should be less brilliant and less able in a civil capacity than in that of a warlike one And with all my lofty ideas of his character, as a brave and successful naval commander I shall not shrink from my duty in censuring him when presiding at the board of the admiralty of be deserve it I do not deny but that my motion for the production of the papers imply blame on his lordship. I therefore candidly avow that I do not come forward on this occasion from a tender recard to the character or conduct of his luniship, while at the board of admiralty I claim this right of censure as a member of parliament if I can make out good grounds for the inquiry; but without I am allowed the official documents I cannot prove the validity of my grounds I cannot follow up my inquiry If ministers choose to make this a question of confidence they cannot they shall not induce me to the surrender of the mestimable privaleges transmitted to every member of parliament by his prede tessors in the house. In bringing forward the subject of this present discussion. I have no other motive than merely to di charpe my duty to my country whose safety in such a crisis as the present is the first object of my heart

The house divided on the que tion.

Ayes 113

Nors 1 1

April 23, 1804.

MR Fox, in pursuance of the notice he had previously given, this day moved "That it be referred to a Committee of the whole House to revise the several bills for the defence of the country, and to consider of such further measures as may be necessary to make that defence more complete and permanent."

MR PITT rose immediately after the Chancellor of the Exchequer

I cannot agree, Sir, with the right honourable gentleman who has just spoken, in the description of the motion which is now before the house. It is a motion, in my view of it, which is neither calculated to embrace opinions hostile to government nor to any ministers whatever, nor to embrace opinions that may have been entertained on small and minute points, and thereby produce a general concurrence 'against ministers, to criticise upon their conduct, when such members might have but small and minute differences in their opinions as to the detail of a system to which they generally assent, but it is a motion calculated to embrace all those, who consider that such a measure ought to be adopted and substituted for that which they consider to be inadequate for our defence, and to call the attention of those who are disposed to take a grave and radical review of our public affairs, a review of all the resources which government have brought forward, who think that no part of our defence is adequate to what we ought to expect-all those who are convinced by experience, that, after twelve months have been given to these gentlemen to exhaust all the resources of their minds, and to amend and improve their plans from the suggestions of others, nothing satisfactory has been accomplished-all those who are convinced upon mature reflection, that from the present ministers, or under them, nothing is likely to originate to give to this country any fair chance of having what is due to its own zeal and its own exertion, at the most important and the most critical period that ever existed in its history: and I confess I am one of those who look at this subject in that point of view, and I am inclined to support this motion on almost

all the grounds which the honourable gentleman urged who moved it I feel it my duly to my sowering and to my country to do so not only on all the reasons which that honourable grutleman has urged in support of it but also for many which he omitted to state, and which I shall should touch upon.

306

But the right honourable gentleman who spoke last with all his recollection of the records of parliament and with all the force of his imagination, which he indulged to supply his recollection, has only proved, that he knew of no motion like the present and also by the same authority which is himself that when circumstances are extraordinary the measures to provide for them are likewise extraordinary; and I think we may add that whatever extraor dinary measures may be adopted the present crisis which requires them is also extraordinary. And this the right I onourable gentleman appears to be surprised at a saif it were extraordinary to propose a committee of this house to consider of the means for providing for the defeace of the country as if it were extraordinary, that after twelve months of the war preceded by a peace which by the confession of ministers themselves was a mere notice of that war and a war in which they themselves have been exhausted in their skill (and yet in the course of the last twelve months they have brought forward nothin, in which there has not been a vanety of contradictions in the plans, repugnancies in the measures and imbecility in the execution-notling in which every step has not been marked by unneces ary delay; and at last the measures adopted amounting almost to a retraction of the principle upon which it was founded)-I should say it is extraordinary indeed if after having such and so many melancholy proofs that ministers themselves after repeated trials have proved what is to be expected from them by what they have produced this house did not inquire into this important subject in the hope of being able to derive some better means for the deferer of the country than any which they have brou ht forward for that purpose a crurie in which if they are permitted to go on there can be no hepe of safety to this country Such has been the mode in which they have manued the important charge of defending the country! I fol that I am compelled to make this strong and explicit declars

of the regular army, as a measure for which ministers are unable to provide, for it is only a few nights ago, since we had the confession of ministers themselves, that the necessity was so great for the making of a provision for this purpose, that the measures they themselves had formerly brought forward, they would consent to abandon, if hy any other measure the increase of the regular army could be produced.

I do not mean to say any thing of the propriety of the measure proposed upon the subject of an armed peasantry. nor of substituting an aimed peasantry for the volunteers, honourable gentleman who spoke last, at which the right one time was about to state, as being the idea of the honourable gentlem in who has brought forward this motion, but he set himself right afterwards, and admitted of a difference, not a very slight one, that of idding an armed peasintry to the volunteers, instead of substituting them for the volunteers. And if there are persons who thinl, that, in point of substance, the volunteers are more essential for the actual and efficient service of the country than the armed peasantry, as certainly there are great numbers that would be for eltering the quality of our mode of defence, then they may assent to having the aid of the pensantry, but not in the way in which it was stated by the right honourable gentleman and as to the volunteers, we have a right to avail ourselves of the full benefit of that force—a force which has often been, and justly is, a favourite with this house and the public-a force, which, whatever it may have been in its origin, has now the advantage of being formed, and of being in a great state of efficiency as a force, though none of its efficiency has been owing to ministers it has produced among us some difference of opinion, as to the precise extent to which you should carry it, and as to the circumstances under which you should render it most effectual, but it is a force which all of us allowed to be an extremely valuable , force And now that there is hardly any difference between the honourable gentleman who made this motion, and his Majesty's government, on the subject of the armed peasantry, he says it may

be a proper thin, if ministers and parliament shall think it right So the right honourable gentleman has gone the length of admit ting the measure may be right if he shall hereafter think so. I say, I think it clearly right that you should institute an inquiry whether it is right or not. Have we not been told by ministers for these six months past that the linesion might take place perhaps within "4 bours? Is it a time to procrastinate any was measures, any efficient plans of defence, at a time when we see that the enemy have surmounted many of these preliminary difficulties which some months ago were deemed invincible? Have not the enemy supplied those means of conveyance which it was at first thought must render all their threats vain and futile? Have they not, in the face of that navy which ministers so confidently boast has been carried to its utmost strength, and has been distributed with the most perfect judgment-have they not within right of our shores, and in defiance of our obstruction assembled in one port between 13 and 1400 resels, canable of conveying from 50 to 100 and 150 men each? Have they not proved that all our reasonings about the impossibility of miling from one port the difficulty of a concerted attack the obstacle of winds and tides were unfounded and that the contempt we entertained for their preparations and for their menaces was ill founded and unwarranted? With such facts before us, ought we to suspend or delay any means that can contribute to our safety? We ought not to treat with contempt, or with a false security pronounce impracticable, the projects of a bold, enterpri ing, and desperate though often fortunate enemy, and one too that never stood in the way of good fortune by a dread of bad. If then an armed pearantry is calculated to be of any utility in Essex Kent, or Sussex in opposing an enemy and retarding their progress to the metropolis it is fit that no time should be lost in deching a plan for obtaining this additional ai l

The honourable gentleman next cortends that the monon is uncommunitational; but what is there uncommitational in referring to the consideration of a committee of the whole house, which I understand to be the object of the motion, [Mr. Fox nodded assent] certain icts passed by the legislature, so that they may be modified, altered, and improved? Is the honourable gentleman, who so long filled that chair, with so much credit to himself and advantage to the house, so little acquainted with parliamentary usages, as not to know, that in a committee of the whole house alone several proceedings can regularly originate? Matters of religion, prievance, trade, finance, &c must first be discussed in a committee of the whole house. If, then, questions on those subjects must originate in a committee, can there be any scruple to refer to a similar committee measures, the object of which is to defend every thing that is dear and valuable to a state, the religion which exalts, the commerce which enriches, the laws which regulate and protect? Is there any thing extraordinary, any thing dangerous, then, in the present motion? Will it be said that the system of defence is so good that there is nothing to be added to it? Is the experience of it in its fruits and effects such as to encourage us to rely with implicit confidence in the energy and resources of ministers? What measures have they ever adopted that have not been thwarted by some other of their measures? What efficient plan has been proposed for the recruiting of the regular army? Can we indulge the vain and chimerical hope, that without any new regulations as to the period of service, such as those proposed by the right honourable gentleman, recruits vill be obtained for eight guineas, when they can hardly be procured for forty and fifty pounds? Is it upon the wisdom, the vigilance, and the energy of these ministers that we can rely, when we have seen that no one measure for the public defence can they be truly said to have originated, when several they have retarded or enfeebled? I am satisfied that some plan for the permanent recruiting of the army ought to be settled, and that we ought with all dispatch to proceed to that important subject.

But neglecting the regular army, have ministers improved and perfected that system of the volunteers in which they have spent so much time? I venture to affirm, that the volunteer system is still far from that state of perfection to which it might be carried.

The army of reserve instead of being suspended should be modined. In regard to fortifications too in which hitherto so little has been done I will renture to state that due precautions in that department have been much neglected and that many things have been omitted to be done which in case of invasion, would tend both to save the lives of men and to check the progress of an invader From all that I have heard too, on the subject of the navy and in spite of that magnificent entalogue of third which minuters have produced and which I shall not at present dissect I must repeat that the conduct of that branch of our defence has not been such as the public had a right to expect, and aron this subject I may take an opportunity to state circum tances that will astonish the house and the country. These and many other considerations, form the most conclusive argument in favour of the motion; and though the right honourable gentleman who made it did not dilate on these topics, he naturally expected and stated his expectation, that they would be taken up by other speakers in the course of the debate. It is true that ministers on this as on former occasions have given us a pompous enumeration of the force of the country. I have heard that statement with It affords the most consolutors evalence of what the country is expuble of doin, But I and oth r members of this house have at least as good a right to exult in that survey of our strength as minuters. We have not been wanting in our exertions to contribute to call forth the spirit of the country and to orranize its strength. That spirit and exertion, however belong to the country and are not to be ascribed to the direction or the energy of the government. Indeed if there be any who ought peculiarly to separate that pride from any feeling of personal ment it is the present mousters who have had so little share in the national ener gy No one in ea ure can they claim as their own; no one meaaure have they improved and periected trery many they have weakened by their delays and distracted by their incomprolities Whatever then the spirit and seal of a fire and brase people may have been, under the sense of danger workt tainly to be a parated

from the tardiness, linguor, and imbecility of ministers in every thing of which they have assumed the direction

Alimsters boast of whit others have suggested, or voluntary pubhe zeal has effected, is if what was done was perfectly adequate to our security But is it enough to have provided egainst the danger of a final conquest? Enough has not been done unless we have adopted every practicable and rational means of checking the enemy, should they invade our shores, with the least sacrifice of life, with the least waste of the public resources, with a rapidity that will disappoint the enemy's projects of devastation. Enough has not been done, unless every thing has been provided, by which, in the shortest space, we may be enabled to detent the enemy with such signal overthrow and destruction, as will for ever deter them from a repetition of the attack, and for ever relieve the country from the alarm and anxiety of invasion. I do not me in to say that the enemy would, recording to all human calculation, succeed in their designs, even had we no other means of defence but those which now exist, but have we reason to believe that our strength is yet arrayed in the best manner, that our forces are distributed at the proper points, so as to act with the most de-Unless this be done (as I fear it is not), it is not cisive effect? enough to say that we have 184,000 regulars and militia, and 400,000 volunteers, and, indeed, when it is proved by their own statements, that the resources of the country are so great, it forms an additional ground of censure against ministers, if our system of defence be not adequate to every demand

As to the observations which have been made upon the amount of force which should have been kept up during the peace, and the proportion which existed at the renewal of the war, whatever I may think with respect to the opinion held by the honourable gentleman who opened the debate upon a former occasion, I cannot, without surprise, hear from the right honourable gentleman who has just sat down, that he conceived the force which was maintained during the peace as amply sufficient to meet any probable emergency; for that right honourable gentleman was in possession of much knowledge of the disposition of the enemy, which must have satis-

fied his mind of the propriety of making more extensive preparations for a state of hostility That knowledge the right honourn ble gentleman to be sure studiously concealed from parliament and therefore the honourable gentleman upon the opposite bench was, with many others incompetent to form any opinion of the impending danger but not so his Majesty's ministers, who bad yet neglected to provide against it. They who, by a manifesto, since published to the world explained that there were ground almost from immediately after the conclusion of the peace to complain of the conduct and to suspect the intentions of Buonaparte, had yet omitted to devise measures to counteract his designs, and to put the country in a state fitted for the description of hostility to be apprehended. In this state the country is not in point of fact even now placed; and this forms one of my reasons for con curring in the motion before the house because as ther who thought the peace not likely to continue did not pressre for war and who since the war has commenced have not preserved that course of vigorous exertion which the situation of the empire called for they are not those in whom I would confide for the establishment of our security. Ministers foresaw the war and yet they did not attempt to beliet for the militia as they should have done during the peace. They should have availed themselves of that period when they must according to their own confessions since so repeatedly made, have contemplated war as something more than probable and set every means in motion of defending the country against invasion.

The observations they were enabled to make at the close of the last war of the plans and purpose of Buonsparte, were sufficient to assure them that his first notion was an invasion of this country, which the short period that clapsed between the establishment of peace upon the continent, and the cooclusion of the treaty of Amiens did not qualify him to attempt; but the progress of his then preparation served to show that his resolution was not to make dead tory attacks upon us but to do that which he has since accommend out to collect a large free upon some part of the cost most convenient for the purpose of making a descent upon this country.

What then are we to think of those ministers who, with such an opportunity of observation, overlooked renewing the ballot for that important part of our force, the militia, during peace? And how did they act towards the volunteers, on whose gallantry they now profess so much to rely? The house must have in recollection the letters which ministers addressed to that body of men during the peace, which letters were so much calculated rather to dump than to animate and encourage the zeal of those corps. But this was not all, for. under circumstances peculiarly auspicious for the purpose, and with the prospects before them. I have already mentioned, they refused to attend to a plan suggested to them for providing a certain resource for the recruiting and supply of our regular army plan was founded upon the same principle as that of the army of reserve, with the addition of that which I took occasion lately to lay before the house, and which, it adopted when my opinion was first urged to ministers, would have furnished the means of adding to our army, with all possible expedition, not less than 40 or 50,000 men This plan has, I admit, been since adopted in part. and I shall certainly feel it my duty to urge the adoption of the whole of it again and again. The committee proposed by the motion, I conceive to be the most convenient place for entering into the detail of this, and other measures for the public defence, to no branch of which, I perceive with regret, have ministers attended in due time, and to the execution of none of which do they appear to be adequate. Passing by all the omissions I complain of during the period of peace, drawing a veil over their conduct on that occasion altogether, and supposing the war recommenced as much to the surprise of ministers, as to that of many persons in this house and the country, supposing that they were not at all prepared to expect it, let us only look at their proceedings since that event, and let us examine how far their measures have been so contrived or executed as to justify a hope, much less an opinion, of safety to be derived from their exertion

The first part of their plan of defence, and that to which they seemed principally to look, related to volunteers. This topic has, I am aware, been already very fully discussed, and

314

count overlooked by the honourable mover of the proposition before the house but upon this point I would wish to ask of ministers whether they foresaw or had even a remote idea at the commencement of hostilities that this description of our force would have extended so far? whether they contemplated that it ever should be so numerous? It was known by those who had any knowledge of their senuments, who had any conversation with me nisters, that they had no such intentions, and that on the contra ry they expressed their disapprobation of the policy of their predecesors, in allowing the volunteer system to enlarge so much This fact I allude to merely to shew that they are entitled to no praise for the multiplication of the volunteers and to state that the spirit which produced the increase of that body arose out of the discussions of this house—a mirst which as ministers them selves admitted far outries their calculation or hones and also, as it seemed exceeded their power of direction for they after wards thought proper to check and restrain it. So much as to the origin of the volunteer system. But how did minuters proceed to carry that system into execution? Why without going much at length into this part of the subject which I do not mean at present. I will merely remark on the case of exemptions, which have been much and very justly objected to. The propriety of granting these exemptions I never could see certain it is that they were never necessary for the volunteers, for the most part. required no such thing in the shape of encouragement to offer their services, and many of them were not at all aware when they did engage, that any exemptions were to be granted; on the contrary it is notonous that they were in several districts actually subscribing a certain sum each to purchase substitutes for any of their Lody which might be balloted for the militia

Such was the state of the volunteers when the act of parlism at was passed, with the strong recommendation of minuters for allowing exemption elegand, however with uch conditions that the menture was not to be will understood. In another part of the act of mini ters there was someth out il less intelligible with restrict to a tolument at it of resignat por upon which minutes

had evinced the most complete want of penetration and foresight. Had they judged wisely, they never would have attempted to dispute this right, for, paradoxical as it may seem, nothing tends more to preserve discipline among those corps, than the undisputed exercise of this right, and the reason is this, that while a volunteer has the right of leaving a corps, he cannot object to any regulation that may be deemed necessary by a commanding officer for the promotion of order and discipline in such corps, the private having his choice to submit or resign. But as to the act of ministers, the attorney general, for whose judgment and learning I entertain the most unfergned respect, interpreted the law upon resignations in one way, and the court of king's bench in another. Ministers, in this contradiction, thought proper to circulate the opimon of the former as that to be acted upon by the volunteers, although they have since avowed that they did not agree with that opinion, and that they intended to introduce a declaratory law upon the subject. This I must class among the most unaccountable proceedings of ministers.

As to the volunteer system generally, according to its present constitution, it appears to me to have several radical errors, and principally as to the mode in which the volunteers are distributed over the face of the country. When they were forming, and particularly when it was determined to limit their numbers, regard should have been had to the proportion proper to be assigned to With that view, it would have been right to consider each district the difference between the inland and maritime counties, which were the more probable points of attack, and which it was the most important to preserve Our great naval arsenals, and those places which are most contiguous to our principal manufactories, ought of course to be the first objects of government in settling the relative preportion of volunteers which the several districts should be allowed to furnish-allowed, I call it, for it was at the discretion of government to accept the services of many corps which they rejected, and generally without any reference to the consideration of local defence which I have mentioned thought proper to limit the volunteers to six times the number of

the milita, and for what reason I cannot divine they put Staffordshire and Derbyshire quite on a par with the maritime counters. No distinction was made in favour of those distincts which he most convenient to the enemy s coast and which are most likely to be the first points of nitack. Can any man say that there was any thing like policy in such an arrangement, or that indeed there is to be found in any part of the structure or execution of the volunteer system so far as ministerage concented that which can describ the character of discretion or the approval of a stateman? There was in fact no part of the conduct pursued by government towards the volunteers, which did not form some ground for complaint which did not offer some evidence of wavering and inconditioners.

The house has witnessed the part they took at the close of the last session when an honourable gentleman" on the other side undertook to panegyrise the real and callantry of the volunteers. When that honourable gentleman, two days before the session closed, thought proper and in the opinion of many intelligent respectable members, very permaturely to move a vote of thanks to the volunteers, he stated that such vote was not only a just acknowledgment for the patriothm which they who were then embodied had manifested but that it would operate to encourage further voluntary offers of service. Ministers applauded warmly the motion of the honourable gentleman but how did they after wards act? The honourable centleman moved at the same time that there should be laid before the house at its meeting after the recess a list of such new corps as should volunteer but their was another list which the honourable centleman neglected to more for namely of all the corps which should be reduced or rejected in the same interval. Had the honourable gratierna's dore so h would have seen what use had been made of his motion for the first step taken by minuters almost immediately after it adoption was, to suspend the prome r of that e luntary and which the benourable gratlemen in common with every man why

Nameters determined at once that the volunteers should not be increased any further, but that, on the contrary, their numbers should be diminished. The notice of this determination was speedily circulated among the volunteers, accompanied by the honourable gentleman's vote of thanks. Thus the volunteers were complimented for that, which government at the same time told them they did not wish for, they would not accept. There is a word in French, remercier, which literally implies returning thanks for proffered services, which it is not intended to accept, and this word has close analogy to the conduct of ministers in the communication of the motion of thanks, which they agreed in, in company with the strange resolution which I have already mentioned.

In regard to the enrolment of volunteer corps, so far as such enrolment is connected with the provisions of the defence act, I must again repeat the complaint I have often made, of the total omission of government, to execute the powers vested in it by that net, and any difficulties that have arisen in the progress of the ballot, I do conceive to be attributable to the non-exercise of the power I have referred to As to the refusal of adequate pecumary, and military aid to the volunteers, I must observe, that it is amongst the most culpable and inconsistent part of the conduct of ministers. Without going minutely into the consequences of such conduct, which it would be more convenient to detail in the proposed committee, I have only at present to remark, that whatever difference of opinion may exist between my honourable friend, on the lower bench and me, with respect to the volunteers, and the practicability of rendering them perfect in military discipline, there can be no difference between us as to this point, that they ought to be furnished with the best instruction that is attainable, and both he and I have a right to complain of ministers in not following up their own principle, by giving the necessary aid to promote the improvement of the volunteers My honourcoion was made and I understand it is undecided will while the discipline of the volunteers does and has for months back so imperatively called for an immediate decision respecting it although this was one of the defects in the volunteer system which government promised to cure

When before last Christmas, an application was made to ministers with regard to the confusion which prevailed among the volunteers, the gentlemen who applied were desired to wait until after the Christmas recess when a dicested plan would be brought forward by ministers which should remove and prevent the re currence of the evils complained of and communicate to the volunteer system all the perfection of which it was susceptible This directed plan has been laid before the house and at leverh made its way, after various alterations through it. Those alterntions were added in the house of lands and now that it is returned to us there is scarcely one kniture remaining of the original measure of the well-digested plan of ministers. The suggestions made to them by others they reluctantly adopted and the object of those suggestions they in some instances have almost defeated as in the case of inviting the volunteers to permanent duty and applying the guinen proposed to be given them as bounty which n to be distributed in such a way as to hold out no inducement to the men or benefit to their families

Ministers have been equally injudicious in every other project of defence from the army of reserve, to the carolment of classes under the general defence act—an act which I had the bonour of a large above in suggesting and I lament much that ministers did not adopt it at a more early period but the fact is that so far from ministers having spontaneously taken any increase proceed in for the d fence of the country since the commencement of the war. I state broadly that no part of the measurs for the increase of our military establishment has originated with them. If the right honourable gentleman means to deay my avertion, I shall appeal to the recollection of the house whether in June last when the army estimates were under discussion. I did set

ask the right honourable secretary at war*, after he had finished his statement—I did not ask if what he had mertioned comprehended all the provision that ministers meant to make for the defence of the country? To this I was answered in the affirmative, and I accordingly gave notice of my intention to submit a measure founded on the same principle with that of the army of reserve. Any benefit that may have resulted from that measure is not, I assert, attributable to ministers, who were quite at a loss what course to take—who knew not, in fact, what measures were applicable to the dangers of the country.

I will not dwell on the execution of the army of reserve act, as I shall go into that subject very fully on Wednesday next, and it I should not then have the good fortune of persuading the house to accede to the proposition which I would wish to have ingrafted on the army of reserve bill, in order to provide a constant and regular supply of recruits for our regular army, I shall feel an advantage in the existence of such a committee as the motion before the house proposes to establish, as I may thus have an opportumity of again pressing the project upon the consideration of the house, -a project which, if I am able to demonstrate its practicability for great objects in view, I am sure that every man will feel to be desirable, and all will be ready to give it their concur-If I can show that even a small increase can be derived from this project to our regular army, it is impossible to doubt that any member will refuse it his support. The mode proposed by ministers to raise any addition to the regular army, to supply the suspension of the army of reserve, I confess I cannot under-They have not stated any inducement to men to enlist beyond eight guineas bounty, and how they can procure them for such a sum, while thirty guineas and more are given for militia substitutes, it is difficult to imagine, unless the intention be that which no one has yet avowed, because all have been unanimous in condemning the practice, viz. that of raising men for rank

It may be said that this practice has prevailed when I was in

power but then the experience of that practice afforded a com-

322

plete warning against it. I am quite ready to declare that I am sorry for the share I had in that measure and experience convinces me that it ought never again to be resorted to gentlemen have appeared and professed to be equally adverse to that measure, and their minds must be of a strange character if what they have observed is not sufficient to dissuade them from it Nothing can be more absurd than to suppose that if they persist in the old and scandalous practice of crimping, all its attendant frauds and impositions will not return and it is equally absurd to fancy that only eight guineas bounty will be given. Why not let the army of reserve go on along with this new plan whatever it may be? If officers are to run a race together for rank as I un derstand they are (for although the intention is not avowed in this house by ministers applications are known to be made to and by several officers) why take away the competition of the army of reserve? I cannot concerre any thing more irrational. When a new mode of raising recruits for the regular army is proposed it naturally brings to my mind an opinion which is much disputed and upon which because it is so disputed by some great military authorities, I cannot presume to pronounce a decided sentiment I mean the propriety of rabing men for limited service; although I certainly should think it the more eligible policy and best calculated to render the army respectable and efficient However military objections as to the difficulty if such a system existed, of supplying our foreign stations, must be overcome be fore the system be attempted

As to the plan for bringing the Irah militia over here. I do not approve of it under existing circumstances. No argument can be drawn in farour of such a plan at present from a precedent which occurred in quite a different situation of things. With respect to the interchange of the militias of the two countries there are many physical objections to it that would render it a mea use very disagreeable to the officers connected with both will tis a and bere I must notice a rumour which has gore abroad that applications have been lately made to the per ales of the West Arest to the

without the knowledge of their officers, to volunteer their services for Ireland—this practice deserves to be strongly reprobated. What, to try to prevail on men to quit then own coast, within half a days sail of the enemy, to proceed to the distance of Ireland!

The honourable mover of the proposition under debate has alluded, in the course of his speech, to the power which, in my opinion, belongs to the crown, to call out the population of the country in the event of an invasion as expressed in the preamble to the general defence act. I perceive, that the honourable gentleman's sentiment differs from mine. I do not mean to discuss this subject at present, but I must observe, that nothing appears clearer to me than this proposition—that the state has a right to call on the people to defend it, and that in the crown, being the depositary of the power of the state, is vested the right of so calling out the people upon a giest emergency. This right I think I could show, from a series of precedents, to be recognized by the constitution and custom of this country, that it is a right inherent in the crown to exercise this power, according to the necessity of such case as may arise, and to be limited by that necessity. The crown, it must be admitted, possesses the power of putting any district of the kingdom under martial law, in case of measion, subject, however, to that responsibility to which ministers would be liable for the abuse of any such power.

Upon the respective interchange of the services of the two militias of England and Itehand, I think, considering the peculiar principles on which they are officered, it would put the landed gentlemen of both countries to great and unexpected inconvenience; and therefore would operate injuriously on that constitutional establishment. If, however, this interchange of service is right at all, it must be on general principles, or on account of particular and pressing urgency, that supersedes all regular establishment, such as arose from the situation of Iteland, when, during the former administration, the English militia volunteered their services to that country. If the present ministers do not advance any general principle to justify the measure, (and cer-

tainly no idea of urgency presents itself on either side the water; that there is no necessity is obvious from the very arguments of minuters, for they say, do not be afraid to vote with us on this subject, as it is not our intention to carry it into execution by a partial and discretionary measure ") it must of course be unjustiflable and wrong 'On general principles they have not attempted to defend it. This last mensure of his Malesty a ministers, shows clearly that the regular army is not yet adequate to the necessity of the state, and implies that means should be taken to mereaso it. The method of so doing may be subject to some variety of opinion; perhaps I may not see it exactly in the same light as the honourable tentleman" yet I do not perceive any material practical difference between us. The reneral principle and out line of our national defence appears to me good, regarding as it does the regulars, army of reserve, militis and volunteers. Were they less defensible in principle than they are I should consider them as existing establishments in the present moment more agreeable to confirm and improve than abolub and substitute by new

Thus I declare my opinion on this subject without at this time entering into a more particular defence of it. With respect to the power of the crown to call on every subject under its dominion in case of absolute need this I take under some form or other to be incident to the very establishment of civil tockety and, in fact whenever occasion required has been exercised. The principle is however limited by its necessity, which scarrely can arise but when the state of things would authorise the crown of itself to proclaim martial law; and if the constitution should survice that urgent state of things the constitutional responsibility for that as any other act of their administration. As such is the undoubted right and prerogative of the crown I should think, with a view to possible emergencies that leg latter promises should, in the present juncture a little acticipate the Justifiable.

necessity to which I have referred, at least so far as to put every man in the mailtime counties, likely to be the seat of the enemy's attempt, under the immediate power of the crown, in case of actual or imminent danger of invasion. In those counties most likely to be the seat of war, such as Kent, Essox, Sussex, Suffolk, Devonshire, Cornwall, and the vicinity of Newcastle, I also think a greater proportion of volunteers should be allowed than in others, at least so far as the convenience and voluntary offers of individuals will allow. But I shall not stop here must say, that our naval means are insufficient for our defence; and that there is a great deficiency of the means for keeping up our navy. Into these points I shall enter minutely, upon some At present I shall only say, in vindication of future occasion. myself from all the obloquy thrown upon me by officers, brought from distant stations to support the present system, that, as to the specific force which I recommended, I did not recommend barges, and lighters, and such sort of trash as they reproached me with advising I recommended good stout gun-brigs, and I have had the pleasure of seeing great activity of late to increase that very species of force.

Another objection has been made to my system. I recommended fortifications, and I have on this account been reproached with an attempt to lessen the spirit of the country, and to involve a great and unnecessary expense. What I recommended was, not a general system of fortification, but that, where there were great districts possessing great local bulwarks, there a judicious mixture of art and nature, which, at a small expense in money, might spare many thousands of men, would be the best and wisest policy I know many places in which a few thousand pounds expended, would give more security than as many thousand men could afford. This is obvious to all military men, and the system is beginning to be acted upon, but at the same time it must be admitted, that it was as obvious last summer as it is now. not wish, by these observations, ato interfere with the department to which this system may seem to belong I believe, however, that it does not rest with the department of the commander in chief for any other but with the cabinet: I have discharged my duty by delivering this my sincere and underguised opinion. I hope it is not hastily or inconsiderately entertained but sure I am that I should consider it treason to the best interests of my country if such as it is I did not openly declare it.

The motion was negatived,

Ayes 504

Noes 236

April 25, 1804

April 23, 180

Ma Secretary Yorks having moved the order of the day for the House to go into a commuttee on the bill for the Suspension of the Army of Reserve act,

Ma PITT rose, and addressed the House as follows:

In rising Sir to oppose the motion for your leaving the chair it is not my wish to occupy the attention of the house longer than appears to me absolutely necessary; and therefore I have no desire to enlarge on topics connected with the general subject, which bave in the course of late debates undergone the most ample discusion. Before I proceed to the description of the plan of which I have given notice to the house on a former day it is my wish to say a few words on the nature and complexion of the bill which it is the object of the right homographe centleman's motion to bring under our consideration in a committee. As far as I am able to understand it the only effect of its adoption would be to relinquish all chance of the benefit of that augmentation of our disposable force which in the unanimous opinion of all persons in this house ought to be the principal of ject of attention with his Majesty's government. It would be to relinquish all chance of the continuance of future benefit arming from a measure which though in many points of view impeded and retarded has had the effect of procuring, within the space of twelve months a more considerable augmentation of our regular force, than could per

haps have been obtained in any other way, at the time and under the circumstances in which the measure was carried into activity. It appears to me that all these chances of benefit are given up, without substituting in their room any system by which the great object of the augmentation of the regular army is to be obtained

If I understood the statement of the right honourable secretary of state below me, there were three measures, by the adoption of which his Majesty's ministers flattered themselves that they would be able to augment the regular disposable force of the country. The first of these measures was, the acceptance of the voluntary offers of a certain proportion of the Irish militia to extend then services to this country. The second measure had in view the augmentation of the militia of Ireland The list of the measures, directed to the great object in view, was the formation of a number of new regiments, to be raised independent of that competition which is at this moment admitted to be most fatal to the speedy recruiting of the regular army On these different measures proposed for the augmentation of our disposable force, it is not now my intention to enlarge, but I must be permitted to observe, that in none of them does there seem to be any thing which is at all calculated to be effectual in producing the completion of this object which, without exception, is admitted to be of the last consequence in the present circumstances of the empire It is true that a certain proportion of the Irish militia have volunteered their scrvices to this country. In this offer it is doubtful whether there is less of policy than of national advantage. Before the house can admit the policy of receiving such offers, it becomes necessary to look a little to the degree in which the interchange of the services of the militia of both countries is to be conducted, and I may add, whether, in extraordinary circumstances, this interchange ought to be encouraged. It cannot, in reason, be denied, that such an interchange must depend on circumstances of special emergency; and what I maintain is, that the acceptance of the voluntary offers of the militia of Ireland, at this time, is not only productive of all the evils arising from an undefined interchange of services, but can be attended with none of the advantages arising

from such a measure originating from well considered views of national interest. On the subject of the augmentation of the mi litis of Ireland my opinions are not by any means different. It will not be disputed by his Majorty's ministers, that the offers of extended services by a certain proportion of the militia of Ireland. depends for acceptance in a great measure, on this subsequent measure. It is allowed on all hands that Ireland cannot lose such a proportion of the means of its defence, without receiving something like an adequate return. We must understand that the augmentation proposed is meant to form the return to which I But will any gentleman in this house for a moment affirm that a mere resolution for the aurmentation of the Irish militia will at all compensate for the loss of a considerable proportion of troops, allowed on all hands to be adequate to the defence of Ireland combined with the resular military force? In fact Sir if muniters act consistently the offers of the Irish malina cannot be accepted unless some return be made to Ireland By the system of augmenting the militia, this return cannot be expected for a considerable period and therefore the one mensure is not only incomistent with the other but utterly inefficient for any object of obtaining a greater disposable force

No man will go beyond me in maintaining that the militia are a constitutional, a respectable and a most useful force—when kept within proper limits, and applied to the specific object of their formation. It must however, be with every man a question of peculiar jealousy to find the militia come in competition with the regular army under any circumstances, but more peculiarly under the circumstances in which the proposed measures of ministers ne cessarily placed that competition. They admit that there is a necessity for the augmentation of the disposable force of the country. They fix on Ireland as that part of the empire where that deposable force is to be more readily procured; and at the time they are holding this language, they are determined that the militia of Ireland shall be to a considerable degree increased. It must Sir appear singular that when the deficiency of the quota of the army of reserve to be furnished by Ireland is materially de-

ficient, it should be proposed to augment the militia; -a species of force confessedly limited to services less applicable to our present circumstances If new levies are to be made, why are these deficiencies in the army of reserve, or why are not the new levies to have the precedency? The mischief of competition is allowed. The professed object of ministers is to have a disposable force; yet, with these admissions, the militia of Ireland, allowed on all hands to be limited in their terms of service, are to counteract the new regular levies, for which, on a former occasion, so much merit It is admitted that, by the measure of the army of was claimed. reserve, we have obtained a very considerable augmentation of our disposable force. In Ireland, it is proposed that the militia shall be augmented. On what principle, then, is it that the operation of the reserve bill is not suspended in that country? [Here Mr. Yorke signified that a bill was brought in for suspending the act in Ireland]-If the law is to be preserved in force, on what principle is it, that though there are now deficiencies in the army of reserve for Ireland, to the amount of 6 or 7000 men, the augmentation of the militia is to be preferred to this force? Will it be prefended that the augmentation of the militia will so materially contribute to the object in view, as the augmentation of the aimy of reserve, from which constant supplies for the regular disposable force of the country may be fairly and reasonably expected? It is really not easy to ascertain on what ground a force, though not generally disposable in the first instance, yet not indisposed to general service, should be lost sight of, while a species of force which, by their constitution, is confined to limited service, should be pre-Must the system which ministers have so much favoured, as calculated to add to the disposable force of the country, be altogether abandoned, because it may not have accomplished all that was expected from it in their sanguine expectations? I really cannot consider it in any other view than as a measure inimical to that which ministers hold out to our observation. On the one hand, if the militia of Ireland is augmented, the levies for the regular army must, in a great measure, be suspended. On the

other hand if the levies for the regular force are to be carried forward the proposition for augmenting the Irish militia is altogether preposterous. The language of ministers is that they want to have men at a reduced bounty. But how, is it that they carry their object into practice? They do not pretend to deny that their first object is to have men for disposable purposes, and this they hold forth as the object which ought to have precedence of every other consideration. It is rather currous, however, to look at the mode in which they reduce the plan to practice. They wish recruits for the regulars in Ireland, and they are desirous of having an augmentation of the militia in the same country. It is in vain. Sir to deny that the competition in these cases is altogether unequal, and that where it is proposed to raise 10,000 and ditional militia in Ireland, the plan of raising a number of new regiments is quite impracticable and impolitic.

On every general principle, then, I do most heartily contend that the suspension of the army of reserve act is not at all called for on principles of necessity of policy or of unlity. In stating this I wish it to be fairly understood that my opinion is founded on general principles. A great deal of argument will not be ne cessary to show that those who most zealously objected to the army of reserve, ou ht not to agree to the motion now before the house. If they objected to the act, in consequence of the high bounties which it encouraged if they think that the principle of the ballot which it promoted, did not proceed on constitutional principles if they are consinced that it did not proceed on constitutional principles then Sir they surely cannot gi e their ascent to the motion now submitted to our completation. If the bill it to much liable to censure of it is so little in companse with the opinions of these gentlemen, they cannot surely be causted with the suspension of such a measure which according to their own principles ought to be totally repealed. This is a proposition which ennot be fairly denied, and therefore it is the less necessary to enlarge on it But Ser if the system of the army of reserve as now existing can be modified; if a description of persons, not all likely to enter into the regular army can be brought into h mited service; if this temporary plan of recruiting can be ma

naged, so as to promote the great end in view, without increasing either national inconvenience of private misery, there will not, I am sure, exist a doubt that such a system ought to meet with every degree of support and encouragement. If such a system can be brought forward, it must at least, Sir, be one to which the house could not, consistently with their duty, refuse their most serious consideration. Though, on the present occasion, my object in rising was to explain the outlines of such a plan, I do not so much flatter myself as to suppose that it will be perfect, but it is not too much presumption to imagine that it is a plan not altogether unworthy of the consideration of parliament.

Before I go on very shortly to state to the house the nature of the plan, it may not be improper merely to advoit to the grounds on which the suspension of the army of reserve act ought to be considered The first question that presents itself is, whether the reserve act ought to be suspended, or whetherat might not be modified in such a manner as to render its application more advantageous? The second question is, whether, if the idea of suspending the act is entertained, this is not too little, and whether, if the idea of suspension is at all entertained, the act ought not to be totally abolished? Conceiving these to be the two great preliminary views of the subject, I hope I shall meet with the indulgence of the house, while I state the outlines of a plan, the result of long and careful examination, the effect of digested and careful comparison of the wants and circumstances of the country. Without going into any details on the subject, which I shall have ample means of doing hereafter, it will be sufficient for me at present to give an outline of the plan I propose. Allow me then, Sir, to introduce the subject generally, by obsciving that, under the present circumstances of society, under the present extension of commerce, under all the new openings offered to labour in all its branches, it is not going too far to say that the encouragements to enter on a military life must be very materially diminished. Looking, then, to the difficulties attendant on the recruiting of the army, looking to the difficulties of obtaining men for unlimited service, compared with service for a short period, and on

fended to 64 000 men. In this arrangement, I should prepose that there should be an augmentation to the army of reserve in England of 24 000 and that 4 000 should be the augmentation for Scotland In submitting this arrangement, the house will understand that I do not at all'allude to any sudden and abrupt damantling of the militra. It is equally far from my wish to inter tere with their progress in discipline. All that I propose is that the vacancies in the militim, as they successively occur shall not be filled up for that species of the public force and one import ant advantage of this arrangement would be, that compelliion to a material degree would be destroyed. By this arrangement the number of persons liable to the army of reserve would be enlarged; and it would not require much labour to shew that by this colargement, a very material advantage would be gained. I may merely call the attention of the house to the experience of last year If dut of 37 000 raised by the army of reserve act, upwards of 9000 according to the returns on the table, have within considerably less than twilve months, volunteered into the regular army I am surely not presuming too much in supposing, that a similar cause would be accompanied with a similar result In proposing to the house the permanent establishment of the

In proposing to the house the permanent establishment of the army of reserve, though certainly on a very modified system. I am aemable that objections may be readily started against the proposition. But Sir, let it be remembered that the times in which we like one not ordinary times. When we are called to encounter extraordinary and unprecedented dangers we must lay our account to submitting to extraordinary and unprecedented difficulties. If we are called on to undergo great sacrifices, we must bear in mind the interesting objects which these sacrifices may enable us to defend and to secure. I need not remind the house that we are come to a new seria in the history of nations; that we are called to struggle for the destiny not of this country alone but of the enablishment of the carriers would be not remember that it is not for carrelves alone that we submit to unexampled privations. We have far fourselves the great duty of self privariation to perform; but the duty of the people of Lagland now is of a nubber and lagher order.

We are in the first place to provide for our security against an enemy whose malignity to this country knows no bounds but this is not to close the views of the efforts of our exertion in so sacred Amid the wreck and the misery of nations, it is our just exultation, that we have continued superior to all that ambition or that despotism could effect, and our still higher exultation ought to be, that we provide not only for our own safety, but hold out a prispect to nations now bending under the non yoke of tyranny, what the exertions of a tree people can effect, and that at least in this corner of the world, the name of liberty is still revered, cherished, and sanctified. Viewing thus the pressure to which the measure I propose may give use, I contend these are fair considerations. The object of attention then will be, that what is unnecessary shall be removed, that what is oppressive shall On these principles my whole plan proceeds. be mitigated. Mitigated, however, as it may be, still the pressure must be severe. But let it be remembered, that the object is not only to repel from our shores a danger that threatens our existence as an independent nation, but to restore to Europe the chance of regaining all that is most dignified in the condition and in the relations of To any question of pressure, I conceive that civilized nations is a most satisfactory answer with every reflecting mind

But having said so much on the subject of the pressure attendant on the plan I mean to propose, I shall now mention generally the number which appears to me to be necessary to complete the establishment, as the foundation of a regular, permanent, military establishment. I shall go on the supposition that 60 or 70,000 men should be kept up every year, according to the proportion of the different counties, regulated on the principles of the ballot for the militia establishment. In the detail of the system there must of course be a great deal of modification, but I would beg leave to state generally, that, in my opinion, the regular army would receive an addition of 14 or 15,000 men annually, by voluntary offers. In the first instance, you would be sure to procure a large number of men who could not be otherwise obtained, and the same men would be induced to enter the army on the ge-

neral principles of human nature, founded on habit and experience. The ordinary recruiting of the army would not be im peded or interrupted. All the means of a defensive and an offensive system would be united. By following the system we should not only be secure at home, but be provided with the means of holding out hopes for the restoration of states now unking under the most odious tyramy. It has often occurred to me, Sir that the indiscriminate manner in which volunteering from the gray of reserve has hitherto been allowed as highly runnous to the best in terests of the army By the plan which I wish to propose, a certain degree of shape and consistency would be given even to the volunteering from the army of reserve into the regular army What I would in the first instance suggest would be that the quota furnished by each parish and county should be attached to the corresponding regiment in Creat Britain or Ireland plan were once reduced to practice, and judiciously acted on, I have no doubt that one of the greatest obstacles to the recrusting would be removed. It is obvious, that by such a plan all the in fluence of domestic feeling and local connexion would be obtain ed if those entering into the army of reservo were connected with the particular regiment for which they must feel a peculiar predilection. But this would not happen alone in the case of recruits attached to old battalions. If supplementary battalions were formed, the same effects would result from the same consider ations. Similar feelings betweet both battalions would be en couraged and similar ardour would be created. But Sir the good effects of such an arrangement, would not be so limited. It will not be denied that the present system of indi-criminate volunteering from the army of reserve is extremely discouraging to the officers employed in drilling the raw recruits. After he has wasted all his labour in bringing the men to some kind of perfection, I put it to the candour and the good sense of the house whether it must not be painful to the feelings of any man to think that all his labour is to pass without reward that those whom he has prepared to advance into the field are to follow the banners of some other cader It is not, however Sir, as a painful consideration that the

indiscriminate system of volunteering is to be reprobated. It is evidently calculated to undermine all discipline as well as attachment to officers. If soldiers are attached to officers this feeling cannot be of long duration, because the connexion is hardly formed before it is dissolved. If, on the other hand, soldiers are dissatisfied with their commanders, they know that while indiscriminate volunteering is allowed, they have the speedy prospect of retiring from the control of men whom they may choose to detest and to calumniate But, Sir, if the system I recommed were followed, all these evils would be done away. Knowing that their connexion with their officers was of a permanent nature, the men would learn both awe and regard, and the officers, firmly attached to their recruits, would spare no time to render them perfect in all the duties of a military life. The consequences that would result from this system are obvious should have the men in an intermediate state more efficient for the purposes of defence, and we should have them more disposed to enter into the regular disposable force of the country. thousand regular troops were wanted, we should have a thousand troops of the reserve perfectly qualified to supply their place for every purpose of internal defence. We might have men for limited service, but then they would be under officers of no limited views; men who had seen service in every part of the globe, and who knew most perfectly to qualify men to advance into the field of battle, with that confidence which is the best pledge of success. Indeed, in a short time the whole of your defensive force would thus become as good and efficient as your regular force, by that means the men will, as it were, become worth double their number, with a view to the defence of the country, they will be changed in a short time to ready-made soldiers, while, at the same time, the plan of regular recruiting may be enlarged and made more effectual. It thus unites several advantages in every point of view, unless in so far as the mode in which it is to be raised may increase a competition. Considering, however, the numerous benefits to be derived by the adoption of such a plan, perhaps gentlemen may consent to admit of a certain degree of

336

neral principles of human nature, founded on habit and expenence The ordinary recruiting of the army would not be in peded or interrupted. All the means of a defensive and an offensive system would be united. By following the system we should not only be secure at home, but he provided with the means of holding out hopes for the restoration of states now sinking under the most odious tyranny It has often occurred to me Sir, that the indiscriminate manner in which volunteering from the army of reserve has hitherto been allowed is highly runous to the best interests of the army By the plan which I wish to propose a cer tain degree of shape and consistency would be given even to the volunteering from the army of reserve into the regular army What I would in the first instance suggest would be, that the will not be denied that the present system of indiscriminate volum to advance into the field are to follow the banners of some other

quote furnished by each parish and county should be attached to the corresponding regiment in Great Britain or Ireland | If this plan were once reduced to practice, and judiciously acted on I have no doubt that one of the greatest obstacles to the recruiting would be removed. It is obvious, that by such a plan all the influence of domestic feeling and local connexion would be obtain ed if those entering into the army of reserve were connected with the particular regiment for which they must feel a peculiar predilection. But this would not happen alone in the case of recruits attached to old battalions. If supplementary battalions were formed, the same effects would result from the same consider ations. Similar feelings betwirt both battallous would be en couraged and similar ardour would be created. But Sir the good effects of such an arrangement, would not be so limited. It teering from the army of reserve is extremely discouraring to the officers employed in drilling the raw recruits. After he has wasted all his labour in bringing the men to some kind of perfection, I put it to the candour and the good sense of the house whether it must not be painful to the feelings of any man to think that all his labour is to pass without reward that those whom he has prepared cader It is not, however Sir, as a painful consideration that the

indiscriminate system of volunteering is to be reprobated. It is evidently calculated to undermine all discipline as well as attachment to officers If soldiers are attached to officers this feeling cannot be of long duration, because the connexion is hardly formed before it is dissolved. If, on the other hand, soldiers are dissatisfied with their commanders, they know that while indiscriminate volunteering is allowed, they have the speedy prospect of retiring from the control of men whom they may choose to detest and to calumniate But, Sir, if the system I recommed were followed, all these evils would be done away. Knowing that their connexion with their officers was of a permanent nature, the men would learn both awe and regard, and the officers, firmly attached to their recruits, would spare no time to render them perfect in all the duties of a military life. The consequences that would result from this system are obvious should have the men in an intermediate state more efficient for the purposes of defence, and we should have them more disposed to enter into the regular disposable force of the country. thousand regular troops were wanted, we should have a thousand troops of the reserve perfectly qualified to supply their place for every purpose of internal defence. We might have men for limited service, but then they would be under officers of no limited views, men who had seen service in every part of the globe, and who knew most perfectly to qualify men to advance into the field of battle, with that confidence which is the best pledge of success. Indeed, in a short time the whole of your defensive force would thus become as good and efficient as your regular force, by that means the men will, as it were, become worth double their numbei, with a view to the defence of the country, they will be changed in a short time to ready-made soldiers, while, at the same time, the plan of regular recruiting may be enlarged and made more effectual. It thus unites several advantages in every point of view, unless in so far as the mode in which it is to be raised may increase a competition. Considering, however, the numerous benefits to be derived by the adoption of such a plan, perhaps gentlemen may consent to admit of a certain degree of

-335

recompetition, seeing that it will be materially narrowed. It appears clearly to my mind that by the plan I have suggested, the disadvantageous competition in the recruiting of the regular army will be avoided As the ballot is now regulated, if it falls upon a person whose engagements in life, or other circumstances, render it unfit that he should serve ho must find a substitute and no limit is assigned to the sum he must give to procure one he is to sacrifice, perhaps, 401 or 501 and expose himself and his family to num rous privations not for the benefit of the state but from the impolitic plan adopted to supply its evigencies. The effect is obvious at has occasioned a species of subscription club which converts the matter into a sort of parochial rate by which the important military duty of recruiting is committed to parish of ficers instead of bein_intrusted to officers who are competent to discharge it and being in such hands they bid against each other without limit or discretion, to the riter ruin of the service. What I have proposed requires, that if the person on whom the ballot falls will not some he shall pay a certain moderate fixed sum but if he serves, then he shall receive the same amount he would otherwise pay Another precaution in the scheme is, that the substitute should be found by the parish and not by the individual, and further, the person so provided is to be taken from the bundred and not from the great market towns unless under the predicament I shall presently explain. The bounts given will also according to these arrangements, he examined by the magintrates of the respective country who will present any deviation from the limits prescribed by law. It will immediately occur on the r view of this plan that there will be no possibility of surpassing the bounty and from the local limitation, that there will be no danger of interfering in places of extensive population with the r crotting of the regular arms

The r st consideration to which I request the attention of the Louse is, the supply of the succines or to provide for those cases where the hundred can procure no substruct which inability may accrue from a great variety of causes. In such currumitances the low ty is to be made on s to the colonel, who is to pay the himsted axia he so receives for the m to lemited terrice he requires; that is, that the recruiting parties he employs are to procure men for this particular service, and regulating the quantum of bounty to the nature of the duty, no peinicious competition will arise, as the larger bounty will always be given for enlisting into the regular army. There must, in a country like this, where the sources of comfort and enjoyment in life are so well understood, be a great variety of persons who will enlist for a much smaller bounty into a temporary service, but who would not, for any emolument whatever, engage for life in a military occupation. Thus, I conceive, I leave undisturbed the mode of recruiting now employed, and I add a new mode which I will presume to be capable of doubling the supply from the ordinary sources.

Such, Sir, is the nature of the plan, the tendency, I flatter myself, is to improve and enlarge the regular army, by presenting novel expedients for the purpose, and then to conduce essentially to the means of internal defence, and external warfare It has been justly complained, that the measures for this purpose lately resorted to, so materially interfere with each other, that they successively obstruct whatever is adopted it has been my endeavour to avoid this error, and to combine the several parts of this extensive system in such a way, that a mutual co-operation may be produced, and that what is good and eligible in itself may be rendered better and more desirable by this connexion Objections have been stated to the introduction, at this time, of any great scheme of improvement in the service it is, however, true that the moment of public difficulty is often the crisis of public improvement, the sense of danger inspires men with a portion of zeal and enthusiasm which enables them to surmount the obstructions by which they are surrounded, and they are capable of performing what, under other circumstances, they could scarcely contem-What is now recommended seems to me beneficial, whether the country be exposed to the horrors of war, or is in the enjoyment of the blessings of peace In the present circumstances of Europe, should hostilities terminate, the permanence of such a situation would depend upon the respectable state of your peace establishment, more than on any other circumstance this I felt.

340

and I recommended on a recent occasion the necessity of preserving a competent force By the present proposal, this advantage would be secured a body of men, with liberty to recruit into the regular army would be provided and, without any ballot 10 or 15 000 recruits would be ready to supply the ranks in the troops of the line, and able to act with them on the most difficult service without disgracing their companions in the field of danger If all or any of these benefits be unavoidably connected with the measure the house I am sure will be deposed to give it an at tentive consideration : but I am far from having exhausted all its ments. By the plan it appears, that the officers attached to those provisional troops would be those who are most enpuble of bring ing their discipline to perfection, and in consequence they will be much more ready to act with the troops of the line than the sun plies from the militia, or from the army of reserve. It will not be necessary to abandon the sound maxims of state policy, by which the militias of Great Britain and Ireland are confined to their ma tive territory and the disposable force of the country may be dis mused to those situations where its gallantry and conduct will reduced most to the advantage and glory of the country. I have Sir, on all these grounds thought it right to resut your leaving the chair to convert this house into a committee for the consideration of the propriety of suspending the bill of the army of reserve; and I hope it will not be thought that on a question of this magnitude I have intruded too much on your indulgence

Mr Pitt a motion was rejected;

Aves 101 Non 1104

Cabinet Michael

Right Hoe. William P.m.

First Lord of the Transperd Charither of the Federal

Date of Penind

Proling of the Cocasil

^{*} The small majorary in favour of the manieur was sounded by an lenmediate change in his Majesty government. The prov Administration was composed as f Bows:

June 5, 1804.

MR PITT, conformably to the notice he had given, rose to submit to the House his proposed plan for maintaining a permanent additional force —

In entering upon the subject which it is now my duty to bring forward, I feel, Sir, a peculiar satisfaction in being enabled to state, that the principle of the measure which I shall have the honour of proposing, seems to be pretty unanimously agreed on. Not

Lord Eldon Lord Chancellor
Earl of Westmoreland Lord Privy Seal
Lord Viscount Melville First Lord of the Admiralty
Larl of Chatham Master General of the Ordnance,
Lord Hawkesbury } Secretary of State for the Home Department
Lord Harrowby Ditto for Foreign Affairs
Earl Camden
Lord Castlereagh } President of the Board of Control for the Affairs of India
Lord Mulgrave Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster

Not of the Cabinet.

```
Right Hon William Dundas - - Secretary at War:
Right Hon George Canning - - Treasurer of the Navy
Right Hon George Rose - - - - } Joint Paymasters of his Majesty's Forces Right Hon. Lord Charles Somerset
Duke of Montrose - - - - - - } Joint Postmasters General Lord Charles Spencer - - - - - }
William Huslisson, Esq - - - } Secretaries of the Treasury
William Sturges Bourne, Esq -
                                   Master of the Rolls.
Sir William Grant - - - - -
Hon Spencer Perceval - - - -
                                   Attorney General.
                                  Solicitor General.
Sir Thomas Manners Sutton - -
Earl of Hardwicke - - - - -
                                   Lord Lieutenant
                                   Lord Chancellor
Lord Redesdale - - - - - -
Sir Evan Nepean - - - - -
                                   Chief Secretary
                                   Chancellor of the Exchequer
Right Hon Isaac Corry - - - -
```

140 11113

342

only those gentlemen who have hitherto appeared to bare turned their attention most to the military defence of the country but centlemen on every side of the house, have expressed very plainly that it if their clear and decided opinion that it is now important and necessary to device those means which may be the most effectual for increasing not only our internal strength and security, but also for consider ably augmenting our regular army. It seems to be the unanimous with of the country that we should have such a regular army as would be sufficient to take advantage of any favourable circumstance which might occur in the course of the war and which now and at all times, might be sufficient for preserving to the country that rank which it has hitherto held among the nations of Europe Being, then, so unanimously agreed upon the general principle, we have now only to direct our best efforts to carry it into execu tion, and to consider by what means this necessary augmentation of our regular army can be effected with the least possible inconvenience or hardship to individuals, and without weakening those measures which have been adopted for the internal defence and secarriv of the country Without entering at large into the principle of the measures

which have been already adopted for the defence of the country. I shall observe generally that the object of the plan which I pr >pose is in the first place to do away those obstacles which are in the way of the recruiting for the regular army and which in a great measure one their birth to that competition which now prevails among those who recruit for the regular army and these who recruit for a more limited description of service, from which competition the be unties are now to high. In the second place I propose not only to do away those obstacks which now much to the recruiting for the regular army but to create a m waddl tional force that may be a permanent f undation for a re-ular And the thir lubicet is t adopt am ! increase of our army f remains a ferce which while it will produce the direct chart of furm bin recruit for the regular army will also so fae partake of the brackts of a regular army, as to render our troops of the Laa more disposable force for unlitary services out of the kingdom, which they cannot be, whilst channel down, as at present, for our home defence.

What I have further to suggest, may be comprehended under three heads. first, as to the amount of the force to be reased; secondly, the description of that force including its limitation of tervice, and thirdly, the mode by which it is proposed to be rused and maintained

Lirst, with respect to the amount of the force, and here my object is only to propose some new regulations, with the view of rendering more productive those regulations which the legislature has already node. My first proposal is, that, in addition to all the force already mused by the act of reserve, measures be taken to enforce the completion, and make good the deficiences in the amount of the quota enjoined to be raised under that act arrount of this deficiency may probably be between 8 and 9,000 Some difficulty occurs in ascertaining the exact amount; but, by every information and return, I am persuaded it does not execced 9,000 men. My first object then is, that such deficiency shall be made good, and that under such regulations as I shall afterwards My next proposition is founded on a desire of requeing the militia to as ancient establishment of 40,000 men for England, and \$,000 for Scotland, exclusive of the supplementary militia, not that I mean to propole any immediate reduction, but only to interfere so far as that force is not complete. My idea is, that what remains due should be raised forthwith, and carried to the additional force now proposed to be created. I understand there are about 7,000 men wanting to complete the establi liment of the militia of England to the number of 60,000 What I propose is, that this deficiency should be raised and transferred to the mmy of reserve. I would also propose, with regard to the vacancus in the milina, now existing, or that may occur, that, instead of their being supplied, no means should be taken to fill up these deficiences till the scale be reduced to the number of 40,000

So far, then, my plan goes to the extent of 9,000 men remaining to be raised for the army of reserve, and 7,000 for the militia

My plan proposes to transfer a portion of that force already voted to this which is to be raised and that this force shall be completed subject however to a change in the mode of completing it. Supposing then, that we have succeeded in raising the whole that is now due to these two sorts of force. I wish also to add what was not contained in the original act of reserve, but which appears to be necessary in order to render this force available, so as to set the regular army at liberty and to render it a disposable force and fit to act in a manner suitable to the station which this coun try ought to maintain amongst the powers of Europe I would propose then that in proportion as any of this force shall critist into the regular army whatever be the number of vacancies thus occasioned they shall be distributed in order to their being filled up amongst the several counties, and by the same quotas which are already fixed according to the population of the respective countles; but with this proviso, that the number thus to be raised shall in no case exceed one sixth part of the whole force so to be created. This, I calculate, would produce a supply of recruits for the regular army of not less than 12,000 men. It appears from accounts which have been received that the number of those who have thus recruited into the regular army from the other forces, has been about 13,000 men. It has been thus found by experience that many will afterwards embark in the regular service after being used to a military life who would not at first engage in any other than a limited service. Thus, by tramferring what is due to the militin for Creat Britain (which if complite should amount to 74 000 this being the number parliament has already agreed to raise) to this new-created fiver may in the consideral le number be reasonably expected to accesse from thence to our regular army? And thus may not the proposed plan not only prove ad antageous in the first imtance, to increase one national differer, but also be adouted with a view to firming a perman at and effections yeters for the recounting of our regular army? If an I flatt a my will that the measure will appear methat arriver the deeper with a go w efit of him, I kely to af adan imports to I would be a faut morin, tone in Jeraheester the ne-

The other point to which I referred in recommendation of this plan is, that of its removing the present existing obstacles to the regular mode of recruiting These obstacles are those which arise from the competition between the several species of force, and from the high bounties which are now given to raise men for the army of As long as the system shall be allowed to prevail, of calling upon any person to serve either by himself, or by paying a heavy fine, as individuals are liable to under the existing laws, so long it is impossible to hope, during a time of war, to induce the bounties within moderate terms. Any person not finding a substitute is liable to a fine of 20/ and that during every succeeding year, the parishes also are hable to a 1 ne of 201 at each quarter sessions till the vacancies shall be supplied. The powerful motive thus pressing both upon individuals and parishes to free themselves from such a burden, induces them to offer high bounties to obtain I do not mean, however, to call in question the original policy of the act of the army of reserve, under the existing emergency, but I cannot consider it as one intended for a perminent measure. My wish then is, that we may possess the advantage resulting from the original measure, but that we may guard, at the same time, against the inconveniency which has been collaterally introduced. I wish, therefore, to propose a mode of ballot less burdensome, by imposing a moderate fine, and excluding those on whom the ballot shall fall from every temptation to offer extraor-But, at the same time, my proposal maintains dinary bounties the principle, that the person shall either serve or fine. If the person declines to serve, it is proposed that the ballot shall go on, but if no such person willing to serve, noi substitute can be found by the individual, then the parish shall be bound to provide, but guarding, at the same time, that no higher bounty shall be offered than that which is already fixed by law. I propose that the call to be made on each county for the supply of this force shall be in proportion to its population, and according to the regulations already framed in the acts for raising the militia, and the army of icserve, and that the deputy lieutenants shall arrange the numbers to be raised in each division. But where individuals are unwilling to

serve personally then the parishershall be obliged to raise the specified number. I mean to suggest that the means of recruiting be referred to the parish officers, to those who may be supposed to have acquaintance and a degree of influence in their respects e parabea and I would propose that, in the event of individuals being fined, such parishes shall incur no pecumory burden whatever and I with this fine not to be considered in the nature of a tax as it is called for only as the price of service. It is by no means my denire to convert this maptitude for arrice into any medium of taxation nor to call for public contributions. Therefore if persons, in their respective parishes, can be induced, throughout every part of the kingdom to influence their neighbours to come forward I consider that it. ought to lie with the state to find the means of paying the men thus to be brought forward and that the receiver general of the land tax be empowered to advance the money. It is only in the event of default, and the parish not finding recruits in proportion to its population, that I propose the fine shall fall upon it but at the same time that the certain and moderate fine which they shall be required to pay shall be carried to the general recruiting fund

The effect of this measure I think will be to afford a strong in ducement to parishes to procure men if men can be found and there can be no doubt in my mind that the local acquaintance, the exertions and influence, which parish officers may be supposed to have will be found a much better means of producing the force wanted than a general loose system of recruiting. Add to which that whatever be the advantage gained by the method now sug gested it will be gained without any sacrifice of the other means of general recruiting either of those now in use or which may herenfter be adopted. The benefit nectuing from this measure may be fully expected to result from it whilst we are assured that the I suntice to be granted will not be at large as those given to recruits for the regular army I confess therefore that I feel san guine in my expectation that the general outline of this measure must receiv the general apprebation of all who are an ious to im prove our means for establishing and increasing our regular army and must particularly meet with the approbation of every gently

man, who is convinced that it is needful that the obstacles to the reciuiting service ought to be removed, or at least diminished. This plan furnishes a considerable prospect of increasing the army, and at the same time of diminishing the hardships which the country has already suffered from the present method of recruiting. At all events the trial ought, in my opinion, at least to be made, because it can be done without hazard to any other system, on which, I am apprehensive, we must exclusively rely, if this plan be not adopted. I have no doubt, but that, by rigidly enforcing the provisions of the army of reserve, an addition might be raised, at the same time, I believe that the measure I wish now to propose is as likely to be effectual, and with less hardship, whilst it appears to me better calculated to increase our regular army, and remove those heavy fines, high bounties, and other inconveniences, which result from the present system of recruiting

Thirdly, If, however, the case should happen, that the parisher should not be able, with the bounty found them by government, to raise the proportion of men, the next question will be, how is the guota to be supplied? I propose that, in this case, the commanding officer of the district shall be empowered to raise the deficiencies, by means of regular recruiting, and that he shall pay the same bounty to the men thus raised as the parishes were to have done, but less than what is allowed to recruits for the regular army this case, I think it is probable that, in large manufacturing towns, there may be found a number of persons willing to enlist for a limited service, and who may be afterwards induced to go into the , regular army As this part of the recruiting service will be under the direction of the officers of the army, with the bounty fixed, and less than that allowed for recruiting the regular army, it cannot induce persons to enter into this species of force, who might otherwise be disposed to enter the regular army, but it may induce persons to enter, in the first instance, for a limited service, who, after being accustomed to the habit of a military life, may afterwards feel disposed to volunteer into the regular forces this part of the plan proposes one great advantage, whilst, if it should fail, it can produce no disadvantage. I think that my

plan will afford a considerable allernation to the hardships which the country has suffered since the war that it will render the call effectual which has been already made upon the country; and at the same time that it will enforce the measures already adopt ed, but by modes more element than those before thought of.

With respect to those parts of the country which have become hable to heavy fines for not having made good the entire complement of men required of them at is to be considered that, as the law now stands every parish is liable to be assessed for every quarter of a year; and for every man that is found deficient, to suffer those penalties to be exacted and judgments inflicted I think would be an act of considerable severity; at the same time. it will be more cheerfully submitted to if it be stated that they may free themselves from these fines by running the men required according to my plan. I think that where there is an accumulation of fines, and these fines not enforced when they took place it would be unfair on the part of the public to enforce them if it be found that there is any difficulty in the act for en forcing the payment of them as soon as they become due. But it appears that in the practical execution of the act, various difficul ties have occurred in the way of enforcing the penalties. The commanding officer has been unable to make out the necessary certificates in time to show the fustices at their quarter servious Therefore we are not to worder nor be discouraged if the act should not have reached its full effect. And when it is considered that since the war we have had to raise both the army of reserve and also to make a considerable increase to the militia no argument can be brought to prove that a more successful call may not be made upon those parts of the country that have hitherto been found deficient and that by measures less oppressive and at the same time more calculated for giving it effect in a summary expeditions, and effective manner

I have now farther to propose that the forces to be raised be subject to the same limitation of service as the army of reserve semandly for five years, or during the continuance of the war and for sex months after the termination of it and that, while there

continue in corps of such a description, they shall not be liable to be called out for foreign service. Then, as to the manner of arranging this force, nothing appears to me better calculated to render it serviceable, as a medium of recruiting for the regular army, than the plan of annexing the whole of it, in the form of second battalions, to the regular army. The house, Sir, are, I am persuaded, sufficiently aware of the sort of connexion that must be formed between the two battalions attached to each other in the manner I propose. The promotion of officers must, of course, be reciprocal, and indiscriminate. The consequence of this will be, that a constant interchange between the two battalions will be taking place. The officer in the second battalion, while he is employing his labour to complete his men in discipline, thinks that he may soon meet them in another situation, and reap the reward of his labour by leading them with honour against the enemy. The soldiers, on the other hand, are animated to the discharge of their duty by this reciprocity of services They cannot hope to escape from their present commanders, who perhaps may have been the witnesses of their negligence, their carelessness, or their want of spirit. They have before them the prospect of being under the command of the same officers, under other circumstances, and, therefore, they are anxious to avoid that sort of unmilitary or criminal conduct which may subject them to the censure of their officers, or expose them to the contempt of their It is to be recollected, too, Sir, that a certain fellow soldiers degree of affection and attachment must arise out of the system which I am now endeavouring to recommend. It is in the very nature of things, that the intercourse arising out of such a connexion must be followed by attachment. The interchange of habits must beget some degree of affection. A kind of family feeling must be created in the whole body. We have seen that out of 30,000 men raised last year, 10,000 were induced to join the regular army, though scattered and disjointed over the whole mass of the regular troops in this country. How much more powerful, Sir, must such a feeling be, when the connexion between first and second battalions is so close and animating as that

DUXE ".

which I have now described? In the one case there was no previous the of attachment. In the other there will be all the influence of known habits, feelings, and manners.

550

. The plan which with the leave of the house I wish Sir to submit to their consideration will I trust, appear to have the additional advantage that whilst it furnishes the means of recruit ing the regular army it will also render your internal force more complete respectable and capable of answering the end in view -the defence of the country | Here then it stands recommended. on the ground of its being the best in point of economy and na tional policy for it will improve the quality of your internal force. and make one man equal to what two were before. This clan has the farther effect of rendering your regular army much more capable of being a disposable force than what it would otherwise be, whilst we should have to depend not only upon the numbers, but on the quality of our troops. Whatever aid we may justly expect to derive from the various species of our present existing internal force, and from none more than from the numerous and highly respectable body of volunteers; yet it is agreed on all hands, that it would not be safe to trust the defence of the country entirely to those who whatever loyalty and zeal they have discovered and even training they have had yet have never had an apportunity of seeing actual service and who agree in thinking that some portion of experience, and prime military service are necessary to give effect to their laudable exertions. But adopt the plan which with the leave of the house I mean to bring forward, and you will not then be under the necessity of chaining down any great part of the regular army

The explanation which I have now given to the house nearly comprehends all that appears necessary for me to say at present, by way of the first development of my proposed plan for the better defence of the country. My object then is to reduce the million which now counts of 73 000 men, to its former establishment; and the new force about to be created, will be a mort important accession to the country and will become a great source for the recruiting service. It will also have the effect of rendering

the army completely disposable, nor can it obstruct any improvements which may be thought necessary in our military establish-Now, as to the proposition with respect to the ballot, the only way in which it is to be restrained is, that it may give us the chance of having the personal service of the individual to be ballotted for, and who, if drawn, may consent to take the bounty and enter into the service, and if he does not enter, he will have but a moderate fine to pay I am very confident, Sir, that this part of the plan will have the effect of procuring a great many men on moderate bounties, by making it the interest of men drawn by ballot to enter. At least there can be very little doubt but that a great many more of them will enter than those who have hitherto entered I very lately had the means of seeing an account of the number of those balloted men who came personally to serve in the force I am speaking of, and I can assure the house that out of 30,000, the number of those in Great Britain is not more than 2 or 3,000, -a number so trifling, that every body must feel how little benefit was likely to be derived from the ballot, as it has hitherto been constituted Besides many inconveniences arose from that mode of ballot Some persons who were drawn could not pay the fine, others had subscribed sums of money to ansure themselves against being drawn The whole sum was sometimes given to the man on whom the lot fell, who then either found a substitute, or served in person. Here no such inconvenience will result, but the effect of the ballot will be much more productive With all the defects, the expenses, and the inconveniences of the system I am speaking of, I would still be ready to recommend it to the house, if I thought it was material towards raising men for the public service But when I see the effect it has produced, and when I know how few men, out of those drawn by ballot, entered, I have no hesitation in saying, that I gladly avail myself of such a plan as may relieve the individual from great hardships, I therefore think myself justified in proposing the present plan. It is my intention therefore to move for leave to bring in a bill to carry it into effect. If the house will permit the bill to be brought in, I will n --- to become

printed for the use of the members of the house and fix on some convenient day suppose Friday next, for taking it into consideration and in the course of next week the house may enter into the details of the measure I do not wish to do any thing more at present than to state to the house those points, in which the plan I have how stated differs from the ideas I threw out some time ago upon the subject.

There are some other circumstances, connected with our military system, on which I will take another opportunity shortly to address the house. I mean to bring forward some regulations with respect to the state of the army in general. I intend also to bring the subject of the volunteers before the consideration of the house and to call its attention to that part of the general defence act which relates to the enrolment by classer. But as none of those points are concerned with the present plan I am about to offer I shall for the present confine myself to this plan alone. I therefore, move, That leave be given to bring in a bill for establishing and maintaining a permanent additional force for the defence of the realm and for supplying his Majesty's regular forces, and for the gradual reduction of the militia of Great Britain.

After a short explanation from Mr Pitr in reply to the remarks of Mr. Windham and other speakers, the bill was brooght in and read a first time.

June 18, 1804

Ms Pivr having moved the order of the day for the second reading of the smeethness made in the additional force bill, and the smeethness being brought up, read, and inserted in the bill, he then moved, "that the bill with its amendments by cogrossed; —Upon which a debut emored.

As soon as Mr. Sheridan had sat down Ma Pitt reset

Sir—In the observations which I mean to offer to the house, I shall confine myrelf to the latter part of the speech of the honour able gentleman is because extracated from the anety of desultary remarks and extraneous matter which he has produced it is the

only part that comes at all near to the real question. I mean the view of the question, as it effects the constitution, the character, and genius of the country. Upon this subject, a great many doctrines have been broached, and many theories have been brought forward to dazzle the imagination. The honourable gentleman who spoke last, has, in the most beautiful language, and that captivating style of eloquence peculiar to himself, laid it down as the privilege and prerogative of our happy constitution, and the characteristic quality of the genius and spirit of the nation, that the people can be blended and consolidated into a military mass, more fit for its protection than a regular standing Now this is the very principle for which every one of us has contended, the very system which we all wish to establish, We always admitted the zeal of the country, and applauded its noble and patriotic devotion. In these feelings we perfectly agree with the honourable gentleman but, much as we admire that military spirit and enthusiasm, few, I believe, would be inclined to push it to the extent which the honourable gentleman wishes, for his argument, in its full latitude, is neither more nor less than this, that in the present state of Europe, we are not to look up to a standing army Now, Sir, without examining that position too minutely, I say, whatever may be the sufficiency of the spirit and courage of the mass of the people for their own piotection, it is our duty, in justice to our country, to protect the spirit, to spare the courage, and, by the formation of a regular force, to save, as much as possible, the blood of those brave solunteers who have come forward with so much alacrity, and shewn themselves so ready to risk their lives in our defence. Now, in order to attain this end, I do not believe it will be supposed that we are to exclude a regular force from among the necessary means If not, then the question is only to what degree a regular force is to be maintained, and from hence two other questions necessarily arise. first, whether we have at present a standing aimy of sufficient strength, under all the circumstances in which we are placed? and, secondly, if we have not, whether

printed for the use of the members of the house and fix on some conferment day suppose Friday next for taking it into consideration and in the course of next week the house may enter into the details of the measure. I do not with to do any thing mere at present, than to state to the house those points, in which the plant I have now stated differs from the ideas. I threw out some time ago upon the subject.

There are some other circumstances, connected with our military system on which I will take another opportunity shortly to address the house. I mean to bring forward some regulations with respect to the state of the army in general. I intend also to bring the subject of the volunteers before the consideration of the house, and to call its attention to that part of the general defence act which relates to the enrolment by classes. But as none of those points are concerned with the present plan I am about to offer I shall for the present confine myself to this plan alone. I therefore move "That leave be given to bring in a bill for establishing and maintaining a permanent additional force for the defence of the realm, and for supplying his Majesty's regular forces, and for the gradual reduction of the milita of Great Britain."

After a hort explanation from Mr Part in reply to the remarks of Mr Windham and other speakers the kill was brought in and read a first time

June 18, 1801

Ms. Prey having moved the order of the day for the second reading of the second reading and the interest force but and the interest tags brought up read, and inserted in the bill, he then moved, "that the bill with it ameanment be or present) — by on which a did are mined.

As seen as Mr Sheralm had an down Ma Pary teats

Sir—In the observations which I mean the of receive here. I shall emfloy to life to the latter particulate speech figh. I mean a heper I manhall cause extremed from the sar ty of small of trustees and extreme us matter which he has produced in high

even those who complain of the reduction think, according to their own arguments, upon constitutional principles, it ought to stand. I only mean, that the excess shall be taken off, and applied to a more available force.

We are next tola, that there is something in this measure that violates the bill of rights, so far as the same respects a standing army. According to the bill of rights, I have always understood that to keep up a standing army in time of peace, without the consent of parliament, is contrary to law. This I conceive to be the principle of that bill. But how do I violate it by proposing to maintain a standing army in time of war, with the consent of parliament,—an army too, amenable to the mutiny-bill, and unobjectionable, I think, in many other respects, particularly after the clause which I moved this day, that it was not to remain embodied longer than six months after the signing of a definitive treaty of peace, and to be subject, while so embodied, to martial law? Such being the case, I give gentlemen all the benefit of the arguments derived from the bill of rights and the spirit and practice of our ancestors.

Now, Sir, in reference to the state of Europe, let us see how this measure operates upon our future safety. Unless we can be perfectly sure, and indeed I know not any degree of foresight and sagacity that should tempt us to suppose that it would not be folly and presumption to be sure—unless, I say, we can be perfectly sure that at the end of the present war, and when that period shall arrive we have no means to calculate or ascertain, we shall see Europe and France reduced to such a state, that we may return to our own system, unless we shut our eyes and are wilfully blind to our destruction, we may find ourselves obliged for years, to make the country a more military nation than it has ever been before thought necessary. Now, if this be the case, there are only two ways by which it can be effected, either by laying the foundation of a large supply in peace that may be brought forward in a prepared state upon a sudden emergency, or by creating a large force, which, though disembodied when its services are not necessary, may be reproduced as occasion shall

354

the present measure is not the best mode that can be derised to supply the deficiency?

As to the first question, it would be idle to argue it. Freey gentleman who has spoken this might, as well the honourable centleman" opposite as the right honourable gentlemant on the floor admits the necessity of further exertions not merely for the purpose of a general defence nor the extension of our military system in all possible ways which incentity might device and contrive; but in the very line and course now recommended and for the very specific and identical purpose of a regular army. If then the necessity of an increased regular force be admitted I wish to know how the objection upon the ground of the consults tion applies? A great part of the argument in favour of an armed mass was, that it added to the variety of our force but this is in the very spirit of my plan as it proposes to place all the lead log and principal members of that force upon their proper and respective foundations. Now, if we are to look to the keeping up of these different species of force we must also look to what are to be their proper proportions. Some say the militia ought to be raised to exactly that extent which should make it a balance to the regular army I disapprove of this view of the subject; the halanco and the warfare to which I look and by which I estimate are as it relates to the enemy as it is more or kee competent to resit the for and defend the country from at tack and not in relation to any equipolic between the regular and irregular force or the policy of dividing and subdireding them with a view to produce an equality. Of the militia I will say that his offic is have conducted thems has in a ros mer as con titutional 1 wards the country as its men have proved them selves vigorou and brave against the enemy; but if it be not a f ree as avail il as the nighter army what are you to do! Why you ar stone I to carry it his her than its constitution ! limit would admit Sour insunat that I mean to reduce the minus below in constitutional printing by the fact in that though I with the dure it per still I mean to feare it I ber time

even those who complain of the reduction think, according to their own arguments, upon constitutional principles, it ought to stand. I only mean, that the excess shall be taken off, and applied to a more available force.

We are next told, that there is something in this measure that violates the bill of rights, so far as the same respects a standing army. According to the bill of rights, I have always understood that to keep up a standing army in time of peace, without the consent of pailiament, is contrary to law. This I conceive to be the principle of that bill. But how do I violate it by proposing to maintain a standing army in time of war, with the consent of parliament,—an army too, amenable to the mutiny-bill, and unobjectionable, I think, in many other respects, particularly after the clause which I moved this day, that it was not to remain embodied longer than six months after the signing of a definitive treaty of peace, and to be subject, while so embodied, to martial law? Such being the case, I give gentlemen all the benefit of the arguments derived from the bill of rights and the spirit and practice of our ancestors.

Now, Sir, in reference to the state of Europe, let us see how this measure operates upon our future safety Unless we can be perfectly sure, and indeed I know not any degree of foresight and sagacity that should tempt us to suppose that it would not be folly and presumption to be sure—unless, I say, we can be perfectly sure that at the end of the present war, and when that period shall arrive we have no means to calculate or ascertain. we shall see Europe and France reduced to such a state, that we may return to our own system, unless we shut our eyes and are wilfully blind to our destruction, we may find ourselves obliged for years, to make the country a more military nation than it has ever been before thought necessary. Now, if this be the case. there are only two ways by which it can be effected, either by laying the foundation of a large supply in peace that may be brought forward in a prepared state upon a sudden emergency, or by creating a large force, which, though disembodied when its services are not necessary, may be reproduced as occasion shall

require. Those who look back to the public feeling at the commencement of the present war cannot surely forget how describle it would have been had we attained that state at which we have only now arrived, after several months of anxiety and protracted danger With this experience will you then have a regular force which is only efficient while embodied, or a force which may be produced for the necessary occasion without the constitutional objection to a large regular army? Then the cry persons who are jealous of a standing army in peace recommend it in war: and the present measure is such as may be easily efficient when necessary and facilitates the filling up of the regular f ree Upon every ground of public safety and economy it is particularly recommended to those who would have a large force in war and a small one in peace. It is the means of a provisional f ree which is attended with no expense in peace and may in time of war be rapidly brought forward for the emergency

A in hi honourable gratheman says, it is not wise to charge the character manners and habits of the people. The general principle is right but if it be necessary to have a large firee, I hal what is so little likely to interf re with the haluts and manpers of the people as the present men ure which establishes no permanent force and only requires a month's exercise in the year? To bear him one would suppose it would operate so great a change that the plou h was to step and the country wa t le converted into a nation of Sportan's Idiery's and yet the maxim is neither more reporters than to raise by a million of that very number of new which the parliament third need any limited 1Co. 6 in I rate I and 3 000 to feeland for the amount (the en ent dene res ; and when that Is comp tod to reserve no life a force of 12 000 Now whoster this is I by 1 gr int A clereint gemusantfalitefif i touffaet ib b. f. stand of the laure see the die by at latiness. and intennel references and and retires as 1 ? Extensi ternformproposed t texas fill er nint of a be called the first the first flan and a fill a texas of a

any mode of recruiting, to any considerable extent, the regular army, and, therefore, it amounts to nothing as a particular objection to this bill If, as it is generally admitted, it is necessary to enlarge the army, it is surely right, in the present circumstances of the country, to begin that increase as soon as possible. Every experience under the army of reserve act shews, that the present bill is likely to be successful, and attract men to enter, when they would have objections to do so for general service. Being once entered, they will gradually become acquainted with the military life, and will, by a natural operation of causes, without any kind of deception, be the more readily induced to enter into the regular service. Without, however, attending in the first instance to its effect in recruiting the regular army, it will immediately have one beneficial consequence, namely, that of setting free a portion of the regulars, nearly corresponding to the numbers raised, which are now locked up in defensive service. The next consequence will be, that, by a slight and natural transition, great numbers will enter into the regular army, and constantly supply its wasting numbers I hat it will be successful to its objects, the example of the army of reserve system holds out the best-grounded hope. The plan promises to raise men more expeditiously than any other mode we are nequainted with, at the same time it is free from the evil consequence of high bounty incident to the aimy of reserve system, which induced many to desert from the regulars to enlist in the army of reserve, and then to desert again for the repetition of the bounty This great and increasing evil, it is manifest, will be totally corrected by means of the regulations of the present, plan, which diminishes, and renders fixed the bounty both for limited ind unlimited service. From the first effect of a reduction of bounty, it is natural to expect some check in the numbers recruited, but this circumstance will soon correct itself, and when the recollection of high bounties is worn away, the service will thrive as much with a diminished and fixed bounty, as it does at present with a higher and uncertain one. Be this, however, as it may, the house having already come to a resolution against high bounties, the experiment must be made. It is obvious they have

no other choice having once made up their minds that high and fluctuating bounties are to be diminished and rendered ata-

848

tionary Against this diminution of bounty it is to be seen under this plan, what may be the inducement of limited service and local influence, the benefit of which I doubt not, will fully counteract the evil otherwise to be apprehended from a decrease of bounty. This measure being already determined on by the house. I must take it for granted that there will be no objection to this plan on that ac count, but rather on the contrary that it will therefore meet with general approbation. It has, however, been said, that by striking out the ballot, I had destroyed the only effectual part of my own plan I must, however, ask sentlemen gravely to consido the subject a little farther before they urge objections of that nature whatever the plan was originally in my mind, the house has decidedly expressed its dissent both to high bounties and ballot so that however desirable either might be on general principles, yet, with respect to this measure, they are equally inadmissible and therefore though efficiency is desirable it is only to be ex perted in proportion to the opportunity left us to make use of

With respect to recruiting the army let it be recollected there were only four possible modes 1st, the usual mode of recruiting for bounty by the officers of the regular service; 2d recruiting by limited bounty and local influence as pointed out by this plan : 3d recruiting by ballot and compulsion now generally exploded as an oppressive system and 4th recruiting by personal ballot, without the possibility of substitution, a mode yet more obrectionable. In times of great emergency this latter mode may doubtless be resorted to but, in general it has a rigour not suited to the habits and feelings of the country Supposing, as is the case that the first of these modes is not sufficiently productive and a greater force is wanted, we must, of necessity have recourse to the second the third and fourth being, as has been shown of a mere simple recruiting, remains wholly unmolested, and has a con-

nature not at least in the first instance to be resorted to adopting the second mode it is also evident that the first, that of current operation. Under the present plan we have all the benefit of what may be called regular recruiting, and add to that, whatever may be obtained by the secondary mode. If any other plan equally productive, and as little objectionable, can be suggested, I can have no possible wish but to adopt it none such, however, has been suggested, and, perhaps, it is not presuming too far to conclude, that none such can be found. According to the regulations as laid down in the provisions of this bill, the newly-adopted system with respect to the army of reserve bill, will in no degree intericre with the higher bounties left to the regular service.

Before I sit down, I shall say a few words with respect to the expectations which I have held out to the country. Gentlemen have said, that they have expected something from me very far beyond the present bill I am not conscious that I ever encouraged the idea, that I had discovered some miraculous mode of providing for the defence of the country. I say this is the very measure of which I gave notice, except so far as it is improved by the omission of the ballot entirely, and the imposing of the payment of the bounty upon the parish instead of the individual. Whether the measure be worthy of my situation I do not say, but that it is the identical measure which I held out, and taught the public to expect from me, I must contend That there were other points within my contemplation I also admit, I mean in the naval department, with regard to the proper craft to be used in the narrow seas, and the means necessary to ensure a succession of ships to a proper extent These subjects, however, as I said before, cannot be comprehended in the present bill, but it does not thence follow that they are neglected by his Majesty's ministers. There were other points, I also admit, which were the subject of my observations before I came into office If gentlemen will look back, they will see that I did propose a measure for our future defence, but that as to immediate defence, I considered that all that could be done was, to improve the discipline of the force then subsisting. But has nothing been done since? recommended originally, that the volunteers shou ralled

out upon permanent duty. That system has been adopted and not less than from 150,000 to 160 000 volunteers have been placed in that state for improving their discipline Now I would appeal to every officer of experience, whether the result of this proceeding by the improvement of their discipline has not increased their strength more than if their number had been increased one half? I will not therefore, have it said, that administration have been wholly idle and that nothing has been done for the defence of the country That being the case, I know nothing on my part inconsistent with any rational expectation that the house or any man who has attended to the notices I gave. could have formed I am ready to have my measure decided by experience and I am confident that every discussion will be beneficial to it as it will place it more and more in its true light. Of the mode of opposition which it has experienced I have a right to complain. There has been a disposition to draw into argument foreign topics which divert the attention from the real subject and in such hands as those of the benourable gentleman who spoke last may be productive of entertainment, and relieve the tediousness of debate by the brilliant display of wit which we have just witnessed

As to the argument that the administration is not worthy of confidence I am at a loss to conjecture upon what ground it rests. This, its first measure surely cannot be the cause, for it looks to an object upon which all persons of all parties and all descriptions are agreed. There must then be something awkward or unfortunate in the manner of bringing it for ward, if it be the cause of this loss of confidence. I confess this is a very delicate subject and I know not well how to deliver my self upon it. But whatever opinions some people may entertain of the advantages of an administration formed on a broad basis, I am satisfied that the principle that it is the prerigative of his Majesty to choose his minuters, will not be denied. I am the more convinced of this when I remember that some weeks ago, the historiar positive stated in this house, when it was

thrown out as a matter of speculation, who were to be the new ministers, if the late ministry were obliged to retire, that it was not within the province of the house to take any notice of such a circumstance, and if it would have been unconstitutional to agitate such a topic before the removal of that ministry, it is equally unconstitutional to deny the king's prerogative as to selection in every instance, and is it reconcileable with any ideas of constitutional principle and of public duty, that, when a ministry has been changed, their successors should be obstructed in their very first operations, by any combination founded upon any circumstances connected with the recent exercise of his Majesty's pierogative?

An honourable gentleman has said, I have received a broad hint to retire after this recent experiment. I beg leave to say, broad as the hint may be, it is not broad enough for me to take it. am yet sanguine enough to believe the bill will pass, if it should not, all I have to lament is, that the country will be deprived of the increased means of security which I flattered myself I had provided Should I be disappointed in this respect, let not gentlemen suppose I shall consider it as a defeat I shall merely treat it as the decision of this house on the dry merits of the bill this scheme be rejected, another project, which I trust will be less objectionable, shall be submitted, and the hint shall not be taken, until I find my attempts to promote the public security utterly nugatory and meffectual, -then I shall retire, not with mortification but with triumph confident of having exerted my best endeavours to serve my country. I will not discuss how far a wider basis for the formation of his Majesty's government would have evinced the wisdom of the sovereign, but I should not think the prerogative entire, if we were permitted here to deliberate on its exercise, so far as to examine the propriety or impolicy of inviting a principal person on the opposite bench to participate in the public councils of the state. Thus to interfere would be to alter the constitution of the land, which, although free, is yet monarchical, and for the preservat on of its liberties and immunities all its parts should be protected from violation

From different parts of the house, I have listened to observations not only applied personally to myself but to those with whom I have been so recently connected. As to my sufficiency, or to the sufficiency of those in office with me, it is not necessary to my a great deal upon that subject but I am surprised at the language that has fallen from a noble lord " I think it a little singular that my acting in concert with a part of the late administration should be made a bur to the confidence of him and his friends. Does my noble relative think, that, on this account, I have justly for feited the confidence of him and of his-friends? I do remember the time when, in the moment of his bitterest opposition to the honourable gentleman, the noble lord and his friends were so partial to me, that they declared that my admission to a share of the executive power would in a considerable degree remove their apprehensions of the public danger. I hope that since that time I have not, by concurring very frequently and acting very cordually with my noble relative and his friends, forfested the good opinion they were then so partial us to express of me. I confers my surprise too that after such public declarations concerning me, they so soon find themselves compelled to withhold their services from the public, on account of the exclusion of an honourable gentlement with whom they have been so little accustomed to think or act in norsan

Much has been said of the inefficiency of the members of the present califier. But is it to be asserted that the present minuters are meaqual to the daties of the stations they fill the With respect to the members of the present minutery and who were members of the last, being liable to the charge of incort sistency. I cannot see that there is the least foundation for it. The present bill is better than that which it supersedes, and aiming at the same end by juster means is fairly entitled to the support of those who supported the former bill. It is said however though not quite correctly that the members of the last administration are a majority of the present. But what, if it were so, would be the inference? There is no reason why those who sait

^{*} Lord Temple.

in a former cabinet should not sit in this. I hope the present cabinet is not one in which there will always be a necessity of counting noses, and of coming to a vote upon every measure. When differences of opinion exist, there is room for mutual concession and accommodation where men agree in a general object. If this were not the case, how could any administration go on? far more an administration formed on the broad basis which some gentlemen consider so desirable? Were I to take the broad hint which has been given me, and had that sort of administration been formed, the failure of which is represented as having struck such despair throughout the country, how could any measure have been carried in the cabinet among men, who have had long and important differences, unless mutual accommodation and concession had taken place? It is said, however, that there has not been a sufficient change in the ministry. But, surely, the right honourable gentlemans below me at least must be satisfied that the change is sufficient, and that the present is really a new administration.—And, notwithstanding all that has been said of it, I hold it to be substantial enough to answer the purposes for which it was formed

Many objections have been urged against it by the honourable gentleman who spoke last, who has indulged himself in that vein of pleasantry and humour, for which he has most deservedly acquired so much celebrity, in comparing some of the members of the present administration with those whom they have succeeded in office, and has indulged himself particularly in contrasting Loid Melville with the Earl of St Vincent. I should unquestionably think myself extremely wrong, were I to say that Loid Melville was as good a sailor, or understood how to work or fight a ship of war as well as Earl St Vincent, but yet I can have no hesitation to say, that, in my opinion, there is every reason to suppose that Lord Melville will make a better first lord of the admiralty, for experience has often forcibly shewn us, that it is by no means necessary that a first lord of the admiralty should be a naval characteristic contraction.

racter And though it may not be fit to speak of myself it surely will not be considered that it is no change that the office of first lord of the treasury, reckoned that which has a leading influence in the executive government is now held by me Few will doubt that a very real change has taken place. With respect to any differences of opinion which I may have had with the late administration it will not be pretended that they were of such a nature as to prevent us from acting in the most cordial and satisfactory manner upon general affairs. For those my right honourable and noble friends, I have uniformly entertained the utmost private friendship and esteem. With them I have thought and acted almost without interruption on every public question since our acquaintance commenced N ither is there the slightest ground to imagine that another noble friend of mine . whom I have always esteemed and loved is degraded by taking the home instead of the foreign department though I confess there were some parts of the foreign system which I did not approve and of which it is not now necessary to say more. Those who know the fact, know how fur that change was from any motive that could infer degradation. Indeed Sir I cannot see with what view such a thing could be mentioned unless it were for the purpose of sowing jenlouses and dragensions among his Majesty's present ministers, and as such, it deserves my serverest animadversion.

If the present hill should be lost, I shall be sorry for it because the house and the country will thereby loss a good measure; but the honourable gentlemen opposite will be much instalen if they think they will thereby be any thing the nearer getting rid of me. It is well known, and has ever been allowed to be one of the first and most established privileges and prerogatives of the crown that his Majesty has a right to choose and nominate his own ministers and with that conviction on my mind. I shall not be deterred from bringing forward such measures as may be necessary in aid and aupport of the present bill which I have no doubt will neet the approbation of a canaderable majority notwitistanding all tha

opposition at has met with from the honourable gentleman on the opposite side of the house

The motion for engrossing the bill was carried;

Ayes . . 265 Nocs 223

February 8, 1805.

Sir Evan Nepean, in consequence of a notice given the preceding day, moved for seave to bring in a bill for the further continuance of the net of last session for the su pension of the Habias Corpus Act in Ireland

An amendment was then moved by Sir John Newport, to omit the latter part of the motion, for the purpose of inserting these words, "That a committee, consisting of twenty-one members, he formed by hallot, to examine such documents as may be laid before them, and to report to this House their opinion upon these documents, whether the continuance of the suspension of the habeas corpus act be a measure necessary to the tranquillity of Ireland at the present time."

After Mr Windham had spoken in support of the amendment, contending that no grounds had been made out to justify the House in adopting the measure proposed,

MR PITT rose

I should be extremely desirous, Sir, to give way on the present occasion to any gentleman from Ireland, whose knowledge of the subject in question must be valuable, were it not that some observations which fell from the right honourable gentleman* over the way, seem to me necessarily to call for animadversion. At the sentiments which he has now expressed, it is impossible for me not to feel some surprise. The position which he appeared to me to hold, seemed to go to this extent, that in no case whatever could the habeas corpus act be in justice suspended without a previous inquiry. If this was not the position which he meant to maintain in its full extent, then much, very much of what he has said, was unnecessary, because it did not bear upon the present question—But if it were, why then he must have spoken with a full know-

which gave occasion to the French revolution have found in many countries a number of adherents. These principles, unfor tunately are not yet extinct. The misfortune of the times, I say renders this course necessary and said experience process to be the only safe one. The right honourable gentleman should therefore excuse me for acting on the same principles on which he formerly acted and by which this country has been preserved

But if any thing surprised me more than another in the right honourable gentleman's speech it was the extraordinary misstatement of what has been said of the state of Ireland My right honourable friend who made this motion, and those who support it are assailed with the same clumsy weapon the same clumsy dilemma with which the right honourable gentleman was for merly attacked when he thought with me and which he was wont to built with so much force and ability : Thu is the famous dilemma which they were wont to propose to us; 'either you have a majority of well affected persons, or you have not if you have then there is no occasion for the present proceeding if you have not then tell us so at once." This was what we were told, and what he often beard with so much Indignation. There was certainly reason to hope that the disaffected would by this time have had their eyes opened that those who had been carried away by unconstitutional frenzy would have been cured of their madness that seeing the fruits which the French revolution had produced they would have got enough of that liberty which had yielded only such baneful produce that if any from the impulse of religion, were prompted to seek a change in the establishment it was not possible for any catholic to laten to any suggestion from France on that hend after the mockery which the French have made of all religion and par ticularly after the late transaction in which the catholic religion has been impiously compelled to consecrate and sanction a power established by the hand that had profuned it -It was to be hoped from all the worse than bondage which has been introduced by republican fraternity by the audacity of jacobinism and the avow ed despotsm of the present government of I rance wherever its

influence could reach, that none could be found mad enough to seck alliance pregnant with so much mischief. But was it to be supposed that there was no minority in a part of the empire. now indissolubly united, and, it is to be hoped, never to be separated, which was still weak and wicked enough to cultivate such a connection? Does the right honourable gentleman forget what he has said when the disaffected few talked as if they had a majointy? When the majority during the last war was sounded in our ears, he told us that this majority was nothing but a factious minority, who forced many quict and peaccable people to appear to join in their schemes and principles, which in their hearts they hated These are grounds for the measure in question is not all, Ireland is a more distant limb of the empire, and therefore more exposed. This is the point to which our enemy has chiefly directed his attention, and, therefore, we are the more bound to take care that this point, the most important in the British empire, should be preserved from hostile aggression and secret machinations.

What, then, is the next point to which he has adverted? has observed, that whatever may be the excellence of the lord lieutenant's character, he ought not to be entrusted with aibitrary powers If the excellence of the character of any individual were stated solely as a ground for entrusting him with extraordinary power, I should immediately allow that the point could not be maintained A necessity for vesting these powers must be first made, but when the necessity presents itself, then certainly it becomes an important consideration to ascertain the character of the person who is to have the execution of the object intended by the grant of such powers It is in this view, I apprehend, that an honourable baronct* over the way, has brought forward the character of Lord Hardwicke This argument of character was applied by the right honourable gentlemant in the course of last war, with his usual talents, when he sat on the same side of the house with myself, and when we acted in opposition to those levelling principles, which we both thought subversive of the

* Sir J Stewart † Mr. Windham,

which gave occasion to the French revolution have found in many countries a number of adherents. These principles, unfor tunately are not yet extinct. The misfortune of the times, I say renders this course necessary and sad expenence proves it to be the only safe one. The right honourable gentleman should therefore excuse me for acting on the same principles on which he formerly acted and by which this country has been preserved.

But if any thing surprised me more than another in the right honourable gentlemans speech it was the extraordinary mastatement of what has been said of the state of Ireland My right honourable friend who made this motion, and those who support it are basailed with the same clamsy weapon the same clamsy dilemma with which the right honourable gentleman was for merly attacked when he thought with me and which he was wont to ballle with so much force and ability ! This is the famous dilemma which they were wont to propose to us; " either you have a majority of well affected persons, or you have not if you have then there is no occasion for the present proceeding if you have not then tell us so at once." This was what we were told, and what he often heard with so much indignation. There was certainly reason to hope that the disaffected would by this time have had their eyes opened that those who had been carned away by uncommutational frenzy would have been cured of their madness that seeing the fruits which the Prench revolution had produced they would have got enough of that liberty which had yielded only such baneful produce that if any from the impulse of religion, were prompted to seek a change in the establishment it was not possible for any catholic to laten to any suggestion from France on that hend after the mockery which the French have made of all religion and par ticularly after the late transaction, in which the catholic religion has been impiously compelled to consecrate and sanction a power established by the hand that had profuned it -It was to be hoped from all the worse than bondage which has been introduced by republican frateriaty by the audocity of jacobinism and the avow ed despotism of the present government of I runce wherever in

influence could reach, that none could be found mad enough to seek alliance pregnant with so much mischief. But was it to be supposed that there was no minority in a part of the empire. now indissolubly united, and, it is to be hoped, never to be separated, which was still weak and wicked enough to cultivate such a connection? Does the right honourable gentleman forget what he has said when the disaffected few talked as if they had a majority? When the majority during the last war was sounded in our ears, he told us that this majority was nothing but a factious minority, who forced many quiet and peaceable people to appear to join in their schemes and principles, which in their hearts they These are grounds for the measure in question is not all. Ireland is a more distant limb of the empire, and therefore more exposed This is the point to which our enemy has chiefly directed his attention, and, therefore, we are the more bound to take care that this point, the most important in the British empire, should be preserved from hostile aggression and secret machinations.

What, then, is the next point to which he has adverted? has observed, that whatever may be the excellence of the lord lieutenant's character, he ought not to be entrusted with arbitrary powers If the excellence of the character of any individual were stated solely as a ground for entrusting him with extraordinary power, I should immediately allow that the point could not be maintained A necessity for vesting these powers must be first made, but when the necessity presents itself, then certainly it becomes an important consideration to ascertain the character of the person who is to have the execution of the object intended by the grant of such powers It is in this view, I apprehend, that an honourable baronet* over the way, has brought forward the character of Lord Hardwicke This argument of character was applied by the right honourable gentlemant in the course of last war, with his usual talents, when he sat on the same side of the house with myself, and when we acted in opposition to those levelling principles, which we both thought subversive of the

^{*} Sir J Stewart + Mr. Windham.

essence of good government, and a scourge to the civilized world

I maintain in the present instance that an inquiry would be superfluous, and might be dangerous. It would be in the highest degree improdent to duclose the sources from whence our infor mation has been derived and who that has attended to the state of Ireland can reasonably desire that they should be disclosed? Thave the greatest confidence in the discretion of the members of this house But in a matter where the life of others may depend upon secreey. I am sure that few would wish for a disclosure. The mat ter then rests upon the plain general grounds of notorious necessity, which has been touched upon. The notice has been short, The reason, however of this is obvious. Notice was given some time ago but it was suffered to drop on account of the urgency of the bunness which was likely to come before the house. That business, however has been unavoidably delayed and as the time when this act would expire was so near at was impossible to postpone the notice any longer. Having thus endeavoured to explain frankly and plainly the grounds of the measure, and the reason of the proceedings with respect to it. I trust I have enterfied the house of the propriety of a recing to the motion, and given a sufficient answer to the arguments of its opponents.

The House, spon a division rejected the amendment, and the main question was carried:

> For the amendment 11 Against it 113

Felruary 11 1805

Trix order of the day being read, for taking into consideration the papers relative to the war with Spain,

Mr. Pirr rost, and addressed the House as follows

I feel great saturaction 9ir that the day is at length arrived when we can enter into that full and ample discussion of the papers before the house, which the magnitude of the subject re

quires, and though I im satisfied that a perusal of these papers, and an mapartial consideration of the transactions to which they refer, would be sufficient to convince every retional mind of the rectitude of the measures pursued by his Majesty's government, and of the justice of the war in which we are enjoyed, vet, icflicting how much the complete illustration of the policy by which we have been guided, and the vindication of the steps which have been idopted, the next its to the credit of his Majesty's government, and to the honour of the British nation, I trust Ishell be excused in I go somewhat at learth into a review of the different ispects of our relations, and the pregues of the discus ions with Spain previous to the var. In the course of what I shall have the hon air to submit to the house, I hope that I shall be oble, not only to eat this hat which I believe few can digo ed to question, the nitimate justice and meessity of the var, but ilso, the exemplify moderation, liberality, and forlierring of the immister of this country in every period of our relation with Spain since the breaking out of the wir with I rance, and when un spected circumstances required the departime from the system of lenity which it was ilways the desire of the British government to exercise, that though they were not deficient in vigour to vindicate the rights, and to avenge the cause of the country, they never deviated from the law of nations or the principles of good faith

In the first place, then, it is necessary to take into consideration the relative situation in which Spain stood towards this country it the breaking out of the war, in consequence of her antecedent engagements with I rance. I need hardly say more to characterize that situation, than bately mention the treaty of St. Ildefonso, and the stipulations it contained. Spain was bound to I rance by a treaty, on the face of it both offensive and defensive; and, in fact, a treaty which was by the contracting parties so entitled. Besides guaranteeing neutrality, their territories, &c. they agree to assist each other with 15 ships of the line, and 24,000 men, and this assistance, too, as appears from the Sth article, is to be given upon the demand of the requiring party,



and the demand is to be taken as conclusive evidence of the new cessity, precluding the party required from making any investigation or inquiry as to the justice of the war, or the policy of the object for which the succours were to be granted. Nay by the 11th article of this treaty, the contracting parties are to assist each other with their whole forces, in case the stipulated succours should be insufficient. This treasy it is most important to keep in view as the foundation of all the proceedings which it was thought incumbent on this government to adopt. The Spanish embassador in this country in several of the notes before the house it will be seen endeavours to set up his own, as appears too in the first instance unauthorised reasonings, to show that this trenty was not offensive. To such reasonings I oppose the trenty itself which expressly puts at the disposal of France the whole power and resources of the Spanish monarchy by sea and land which strips Spain of the right to ask a question or exercise any judgment as to the purpose of the succours she is to farnish. Such a treaty unless distinctly disclaimed I contend must spro facto have rendered Spain a principal in the war. On the face of it such is the treaty of St. Hdufonso, and if any thing were wanting to explain its tendency it would be the example of what happened in the year 1796 in which the offensive proxisions were specifically directed against England Indeed who; that recollects the circumstances in which the treaty was concluded, and when Spain was compelled to subscribe and ratify sthat record of her vamalage to France can doubt the spirit of the contract, or its bostility to the British inition?

Such was the situation in which his Majesty's mimiters found themselves, when the aggresmons and injustice of the present ruler of France forced them into the present rupture. This was the sl tuation of the relations between both countries when his Majesty a ministers actuated by sentiments which I cannot but applaud resolved to delay their determination with respect to the light in which they should regard Spain till they should see in what manner and to what extent, Spain would be disposed to carry its observance of the terms of the treaty. In whatever light the treaty

should be viewed, it could not be considered on the part of Spain, but as a reluctant tribute to the overbearing dictates of its ambitious and tyrannic ally, yet, whilst stipulations so directly hestile to the interests and security of this country remained in force, no man, I am confident, in this house, will deny, that it could be attributed only to extreme pusillanimity on the part of his Majesty's government, if they had not required the clear, distinct, and explicit renunciation of the offensive articles. But the feelings to which I have alluded, for the degraded and humiliating situation of that country, and which so justly influenced his Majesty's mi--nisters on the occasion, dictated a spirit of moderation and forbearance in the measures they adopted with respect to a court, of which, though an enemy, I am not disposed to speak with severity, at the same time that I cannot but admit that in its present state it seems to possess very little of that honourable spirit, and those high-minded sentiments, by which the Spanish nation has been so long characterized On this ground, I am convinced that the tendeiness, moderation, and forbeaiance shewn by his Majesty's mimisters, from the impulse of such generous sentiments, not upon any principles of true or sound policy, for the degrading situation to which necessity, not choice, had reduced Spain, will meet with the decided approbation of the house I state this particularly, because it was, in the first instance, deemed expedient to gain time, and the Spanish court seemed as desirous to get 11d of their en--gagements as we were to detach them from thoir ally sidering the situation in which Spain was placed, considering the isituation and circumstances of Europe, considering also that the intemperate and precipitate conduct of the French ruler might compel Spain to take an active part with him in the war, the same sentiment to spare, the same generous feeling for its degraded situation, could no longer be suffered to influence his Majesty's government to a perseverance in the system of moderation upon which they had hitherto acted. To act longer upon such a system, under such discouraging circumstances, would not be to give way to the influence of generous sentiments, or honourable feelings, but to enable Spain, under the dictation of France, to accumulate reMR PITTS 1 [Fee 11,

sources and armies and fleets, and arrenals to be at the disposal of France and for what purpose? France might at once demand the contingent of 15 sail of the line and 94 000 men, she could more over demand that Spain should put into activity the whole force that she could command. At any moment it nos in the power of France to call for the whole either of the treasure of Spain or of the blood of her subjects unless the contingent succours should be deemed sufficient and for what purpose? The purpose of aiding the French in the war equinst this country for a purpose announce ed at the very outset of the war continued through every stage of its progress, and never once suspen led but in practice for the purpose of destroying the power and independence of this country, for the purpose of overthrowing this noble barner against the engrouchments of French ambition on the liberties and independence of mankind. The duties of the ministers of this country were, by all the e circumstances, rendered extremely delicate and difficult Standing at the head of the affairs of a nation like this to be at once moderate and forbearing towards Spain and was and provident to Great Britain to reel and to vindicate the justice of their cause, yet to mitigate the rigour of justice as far as true policy and the safety of the state would admit of was indeed a trying situation, and required the utmost prudence, particularly when they were sensible that Spain might be pushed on to war with us though ruin might be the consequence to her provided her co-operation could in any manner facilitate the projects of the ruler of France for our deetruction

Having stated these general principles as applicable to the state of our relations with Spain it remains to consider how they have been followed up. Gentlemen will see in the papers on the table the instructions by Lord Hawkesburg to our minister at Madrid so far hack as October 1802 instructions which I um confident all mult approve. They will there see that the first object of our policy was if possible to detach. Spain from her degrading connection with Lance and if that was impracticable at least to conductors, that in case of any future war either a system of neutrality should be settled, or at least that hostilities with ber might be deferred as

371

373

It cannot be questioned these principles were long as possible acted upon by our numster, and that every effort was in ide to prepare the minds of the Spanish government for these alternatives. In June 1803, instructions were given to Mr Piere to demand from the Spanish government a renunciation of the treaty of St. Ildefonso, not will any man I believe, dispute that the instructions to which I allude, as to the points to be insisted on, are fully justified by the law of nations

It is needless for me to dwell upon the question, how far the hmited succours in the treaty of St. Ildetonso, would have been consistent with the neutrality of Spain, as that makes no part of I must say, however, that it never was admitted that we vere bound to requiesce in those succours being given so that all arguments founded upon the commutation of issistance in kind into pecuniary aid are mappine the, because, if we did not rainit the one, we were certainly no way bound to acquiesce in the The conduct which a nation is bound to follow in the case of limited succour, firmshed in pursuance of a detensive treaty, must depend upon the extent of the assistance, and that extent must be taken in proportion to the whole strength and resources of the nation furnishing Much will depend, too, upon whether the treaty is recent or encient, whether it is general in its provisions, or concluded with direct reference to hostilities with a princular His Majesty's government at the time wisely give no opimon upon the question of limited succour in kind to be furnished by Spain to It ince, because that case did not occur what was necessary for the protection of our interests, had it tal en place, and the Spanish government were appaised that our forces would attack then auxiliary fleet, and prevent their appetion with That to do so would have been consistent with the clearest principles of the law of nations, and of self-delence, cannot admit of a dispute. But while the moderation of this country was unwilling to drive Spain into war, it was unquestionably necessary to obtain some pledge that the treaty of Ildefonso should not be If they did not make it a specific ground of wai, they were entitled to insist that its hostile principle should be abandon-

ed In the dispatches of Mr Frere will be found the answer which he received to the applications he had made upon this subject, and in which he stated that unless satisfactory explanations and areur ances were given the treaty of Ildefonse could not but be regarded as hostile. It was not till August that these dispatches reached this country. The answer of the prince of peace, was vague and inconclusive but still it evinced a disposition to delay and if possible, to clude compliance with the demands of France Much ill humour existed in the court of Madrid against the latter and an inclination to resist her domination seemed to provail while every disposition was manifested to give estisfaction to the just representations of this government. Things remained in this state till September nor was it known here at least, up to that time that France had made a formal demand of the supplated succours that period a note was presented by M. d Anduaga, the Spanish minister here in which he endeavours to prove that the treaty of St. Ildefonso contained nothing hostile to this country And here I cannot but remark upon a whimsical circumstance in those reasonings of the Spanish ambassador. He endeavours to show that the treaty in question was, in reality two treaties the one defensive the other offensive but applicable only to the case in which both France and Spain should by common consent enter into war against any other country. It so happens, however that the first part of the treaty which M d Induaga contends to be defensive is precisely that which contains the offensive provisions; and that part which he describes as offensive to that which is defensive under the first part is included the stipulation that Spain, in case the limited succours shall be insufficient shall put her whole forces at the disposal of France words which M d' induaga argues do not mean that Spain shall join France with all her power though words more synonymous I do not think it would be possible to select Thu, however by the way and now to pursue the course of the regocration

In September a dispatch was received from Mr Frere dated in August in which he autonunces that France had made a formal demand of the supulated succours. Mr Frere was then informed by the prince of peace, that, to preserve the neutrality of Spain. they were willing to make a pecuniary sacrifice The demands of France were urgent, and Mr Frere writes, that a sum of not less than 250,000l, a month, or 3,000,000l a year, were the terms. and though Spain had pleaded for a decrease, it appears to have been the sum settled, and indeed rather with increase than dimi-This event, in which the influence of France over Spain was so manifest, must have led ministers to conclude, that the hopes of the neutrality of Spain would prove visionary Mr Frere, in dispatches dated 12th of September, mentions, that the Spanish goternment, in answer to his remonstrances on this subject, had stated, that it was better for this country that they should make pecuriary sacrifice to pieserve their neutrality, (though it appeared from every account, that this pecuniary commutation was no less than 3,000,000l) than that they should have supplied the stipulated succours in kind, and a nominal declaration of war which must have ensued. This mode of reasoning is undoubtedly absurd and ridiculous, for how could the Spanish government have expected that this country would have considered the declaration merely nominal, and have abstained from active hostility? But I mention this, in order to shew that the Spanish government themselves, far from thinking even the limited succour consistent with neutrality, considered that at least a nominal declaration of war must be the inevitable consequence of supplying them sequent dispatch from Mr Frere, dated 20th of September, he mentions, that he had heard that the subsidy demanded by France was 700,000l a year, and that this was considered too much by Spain, who offered 600,000l If then the Spanish government considered 700,000l as excessive, is it not clear, by their own confession, that three millions was infinitely more than this country was bound to consider compatible with any principle of neutrality

Nothing further of importance took place in the discussion except a note, respecting the passage of Fiench seamen to Ferrol, to reinforce the crews of the fleet there,—a subject on which I forbear at present to comment. On the 9th of October, Mr. Frere writes,

376

ed In the dispatches of Mr Frere will be found the answer which he received to the applications he had made upon this subject, and in which he stated that unless satisfactory explanations and amur ances were given the treaty of Ildefonso could not but be remarded as hostile. It was not till August that these dispatches reached The answer of the prince of peace was vague and Inconclusive but still it evinced a disposition to delay and if possible, to clude compliance with the demands of France Much ill humour existed in the court of Madrid against the latter and an inclination to resist her domination seemed to prevail while every disposition was manifested to give satisfaction to the just representations of this government. Things remained in this state till September nor was it known here at least up to that time that France had made a formal demand of the supulated succours. At that period a note was presented by M. d Andunga, the Spamish minister here in which he endeavours to prove that the treaty of St. Ildefonso contained nothing hostile to this country And here I cannot but remark upon a whimsical circumstance in these reasonings of the Spanish ambassador. He endeavours to show that the trenty in question was, in reality two treaties, the one defengive the other offensive but applicable only to the case in which both Franco and Spain should by common consept, enter into war against any other country. It so happens, however that the first part of the treaty, which M d Anduaga contends to be defended in precisely that which contains the offensive provisions and that part which he describes as offereive, is that which I defensive, under the first part is included the stipulation that Spain in case the limited succours shall be insufficient shall put her whole forces at the disposal of France words which M d indungs argues do not mean that Spain shall join France with all her power though words more synonymous I do not think it would be possible to se. lect. The, however, by the way and now to pursue the course of the perocustion

In September a dispatch was received from Mr Frere dated in August in which he announces that France had made a formal demand of the supulated succours. Mr Frere was then informed

by the prince of peace, that, to preserve the reutrality of Spain, they were willing to make a pecumiary sacrifice. The demands of France were urgent, and Mr Picre writes, that a sum of not less than 250,000l a month, or 3,000,000l a year, were the terms, and though Spain had pleaded for a decrease, it appears to have been the sum settled, and indeed rather with increase than dimi-This event, in which the influence of France over Spain was so manifest, must have led ministers to conclude, that the hopes of the neutrality of Spain would prove visionary. Mr. Freie, in dispatches dated 12th of September, mentions, that the Spanish government, in answer to his remonstrances on this subject, had stated, that it was better for this country that they should make pecumary sacrifice to preserve their neutrality, (though it appeared from every account, that this pecuniary commutation was no less than 3,000,000l) than that they should have supplied the stipulated succours in kind, and a nominal declaration of war which must have ensued. This mode of reasoning is undoubtedly absurd and ridiculous, for how could the Spanish government have expected that this country would have considered the declaration merely nominal, and have abstained from active hostility? But I mention this, in order to shew that the Spanish government themselves, far from thinking even the limited succour consistent with neutrality, considered that at least a nominal declaration of war must be the inevitable consequence of supplying them sequent dispatch from Mr Prere, dated 20th of September, he mentions, that he had heard that the subsidy demanded by France was 700,000l a year, and that this was considered too much by Spain, who offered 600,000l. If then the Spanish government considered 700,000l as excessive, is it not clear, by their own confession, that three millions was infinitely more than this country was bound to consider compatible with any principle of neutrality

Nothing further of importance took place in the discussion except a note, respecting the passage of French seamen to Ferrol, to reinforce the crews of the fleet there,—a subject on which I forbear at present to comment. On the 9th of October, Mr. Frere writes,

that the negociation with France was concluded Mr Free home ver was unable to procure any official communication of the ar ran_ement with France though from every information be could procure it amounted to three millions sterling a year. What we know of that convention, however is sufficient to stamp the conduct of Spain as hostile and the refusal of a communication of its terms, up to the very date of the supture, was of steelf sufficient to justify war. What we know then is itself a distinct and specific ground fwar unless it be contended as I cannot suppose it will be in this house that a war subsidy of three millions is not an infine-ement of neutrality and does not render Soun a principal in the war. The Spanish government indeed all along contended that the subsidy the extent of which they refused to communicate was only an equival at for the succours stipulated but we are not told, a bother it was to be considered an equivalent for the limited or for the unlimited successes. If to the latter nothin, can be more absard; and if a to the former on what principles of calculation is the equivalent estimated? Under the name of an equivalent any sum might have been paid. In different nations, different estimates of that coured at would be formed. In this country owing to circumstances connected with our properity though sometimes barthensome in their operation, the pecuniary equivalent for mill Tary and would be higher than in any oth r country probably in the world. What then might be the rated equivalent in England for fifteen sail of the lin and twents four thousand land forces? At the highest estimate the pay and charges for fifteen sail of the line for a tack-month, would not exceed one million leaving two for the land forces. This would be allowing between 80% or 90% for every man. It is well known that the is infinitely beyond the allenunce necessary in any service or in any treaty. Of what h allowed as pecuniary commutation for a tyree in kind we may take an instance from the treaty between this country and Holland in the year 1788, in which it is stipulated that between 81 and 91 shall be paid for each man in the infantry and 111 and 121 for each man in the cavalry By this calculation of equivalent however Spain pays between 801 and 901 for each man,-an allowance ex

travagant and unreasonable in the extreme. Can it be doubted, then, that a precuniary subsidy, to the annual amount of three millions made. Spain a principal in the war, and could never be considered as a fur equivalent for any moderate extent of military assistance?

If this be the general principle, is it most unquestionably is, why did this government forbear to make it a ground of war? I have already touched upon the reasons lieved that Spain rather submitted to adverse circumstances, than acted from choice. They believed that she looked to circumstances that might enable her to escape from the thraldom in which she was kept, and to pursue a course more suited to her interests and to her dignity. There were, indeed, circumstances in the state of Europe known to those at the head of affurs here, circumstances on which I cannot it present enlarge, which seemed to justify the hopes which Spain was naturally supposed to entertain, and which sufficiently occount for the forbearance manifested by this government. It appearing, however, that nothing had notually been signed between France and Spun, instructions were, on the 24th of November, sent to Mr Trere, in which he is authorised to declare to the Spanish government, that the requiescence of his Majesty in the payment of a war subsidy to France, could be no more than a temporary confinance, that it must depend upon the amount of that subsidy, and the disposition of Spain in other respects to maintain a strict neutrality. Mr. Fiere is instructed also to protest against the measure as hostile, and that forbcarance of actual war could only be continued on the expectation that the subsidy was to be temporary, and the most express reservation of our right to go to war is made. The Spanish government received distinct notice, that should his Majesty be induced to connive at the payment of a subsidy as a temporary measure, he would naturally look with the utmost jealousy to any naval preparations in the ports of Spain A dispatch was received from Mr Frere, on the 27th of December, announcing that the convention between France and Spain was finally concluded on In this dispatch Mr Frere informs this gothe 19th of October vernment, that he had represented the convention to M. Cevallos,

that the negociation with France was concluded Mr Frere home ver was unable to procure any official communication of the ar rangement with France though from every information he could procure it amounted to three millions sterling a year. What we know of that convention, however is sufficient to stamp the con duct of Spain as hostile and the refusal of a communication of its terms, up to the very date of the supture was of steelf sufficient to justify war. What we know then is itself a distinct and specific ground of war unless it be contended as I cannot suppose it will be in this house that a war subsidy of three millions is not an infrincement of neutrality and does not render Spain a principal in the war The Spanish government, indeed, all along contended that the subsidy the extent of which they refused to communicate was only an equivalent for the succour stipulated but we are not told, whether it was to be considered an equi alent for the limited or for the unlimited success. If to the latter nothing can be more aband a and if a to the former on what principles of calculation is the equivalent estimated? Under the name of an equivalent any sum might have been paid. In different nations, different estimates of that equivalent would be formed. In this country owin, to circumstances connected with our prosperity though sometimes Lurthensome in their operation, the occupiary counsulent for mill tary aid would be higher than in any oth r country probably in What then might be the rated equivalent in England for fifteen all of the line and twenty-four thousand land forces! At the bighest estimate the pay and charges for fifteen sail of the line for a twelvemonth, would not exceed one nullion leaving two for the land forces. This would be allowing between 80% or 90% for every man. It is well known that this infinitely beyond the allonance necessary in any service or in any treaty. Of what is allowed as pecuniary commutation for a rvice in kind we may take an instance from the treaty between this country and Holland in the year 1788, in which it astipulated that between 81 and 91 shall be paid for each man in themfantiy and 111 and 121 for each man in the cavalry By this calculation of equivalent however

Spain pays between 601 and 901 for each man -an allowance ex

travagant and unreasonable in the extreme. Can it be doubted, then, that a preumary subsidy, to the annual amount of three millions made. Spain a principal in the war, and could never be considered as a fair equivalent for any moderate extent of military assistance?

If this be the general principle, as it most unquestionably is, why did this government forbear to make it a ground of war? I have already touched upon the reasons lieved that Spain rather submitted to adverse circumstances, than acted from choice. They believed that she looked to circumstances that might enable her to escape from the thraldom in which she was kept, and to pursue a course more suited to her interests and to her dignity. There were, indeed, circumstances in the state of Europe known to those at the head of affurs here, circumstances on which I cannot it present calarge, which seemed to justify the hopes which Spain was naturally supposed to entertein, and which sufficiently account for the forbeniance minitested by this government. It appearing, however, that nothing had actually been signed between France and Spain, instructions were, on the 24th of November, sent to Mr Trere, in which he is authorised to declare to the Spanish government, that the acquescence of his Majesty in the payment of a war subsidy to France, could Le no more than a temporary connivance, that it must depend upon the amount of that subsidy, and the disposition of Spain in other respects to maintain a strict neutrality. Mr. Liere is instructed also to protest against the measure as hosfile, and that forbearance of actual war could only be continued on the expectation that the subsidy was to be temporary, and the most express reservation of our night to go to wan is made. The Spanish govennment received distinct notice, that should his Majesty be induced to connive at the payment of a subsidy as a temporary measure, he would naturally look with the utmost jealousy to any naval preparations in the poits of Spain A dispatch was received from Mr Freie, on the 27th of December, announcing that the convention between France and Spain was finally concluded on the 19th of October In this dispatch Mr Frere informs this government, that he had represented the convention to M. Cevallos,

that the negociation with France was concluded Mr Frere hone ver was unable to procure any official communication of the ne rangement with France though from every information he could procure it amounted to three millions sterling a year. What we know of that convention, however is sufficient to stamp the con duct of Spain as hostile and the refusal of a communication of its terms, up to the very date of the supture was of steelf sufficient to justify war. What we know then, is itself a distinct and specific ground fwar unless it be contended as I cannot suppose it will be in this house that a war subsidy of three millions is not an infine ment of neutrality and does not reader Spain a principal in the The Spanish government indeed all along contended that the subsidy the extent of a bich they refused to communicate was only an equivalent for the succour stipulated but we are not told, whether it was to be considered an equivalent fir the limited or for the unlimited succours. If to the latter mothing can be more abourd; and if a to the former on what principles of calculation is the equivalent estimated? Under the name of an equivalent any sum might have been paid. In different nations, different estimates of that equivalent would be formed. In this country own, to circumstances connected with our prosperity though sometimes burthersome in their operation, the pecuniary equivalent for mill tary aid would be higher than in any oth r country probably in What then might be the rated equivalent in England the world for fifteen sail of the line and twenty four thousand land forces? At the highest estimate the pay and charges for fitteen sail of the line for a twelvementh would not exceed one million leaving two f r the land forces. This would be allowing between 801 or 901 for every man. It is well known that the is infinitely beyond the allowance necessary in any service or in any treaty allowed as preumary commutation for a relect in kind we may take an impance from the treaty between this country and Holland in the year 1788, in which it is stipulated that between Bl and of shall be paid for each man in the infantry and 111 and 121 for each man in the cavalry By this calculation of equivalent however Spain pays between 801, and 901 for each man,-an allowance ex

travagant and unreasonable in the extreme. Can it be doubted, then, that a pecuniary subsidy, to the annual amount of three millions made. Spain a principal in the war, and could never be considered as a fine equivalent for any moderate extent of military assistance?

If this be the general principle, as it most unquestionably is, why did this government forbear to make it a ground of wir? I have already touched upon the reaso is lieved that Spain rather submitted to adverse circumstances, than acted from choice. They believed that she looked to circumstances that might enable her to escape from the thrildom in which she was kept, and to pursue a course more suited to her interests and to her digmity. There were, indeed, encumstances in the state of Europe known to those at the head of affins here, circumstances on which I cannot it present enlarge, which seemed to justify the hopes which Spain was naturally supposed to entertain, and which sufficiently account for the forbearance maintested by this government. It appearing, however, that nothing had actually been signed between I rance and Spain, instructions were, on the 24th of November, sent to Mr. Freie, in which he is authorised to declare to the Spanish government, that the acquiescence of his Majesty in the phyment of a war subsidy to France, could be no more than a temporary connivance, that it must depend upon the amount of that subsidy, and the disposition of Spain in other respects to maintain a strict neutrality. Mr. Tiere is instructed also to protest against the measure as hostile, and that for bearance of actual war could only be continued on the expectation that the subsidy was to be temporary, and the most everess reservation of our right to go to war is made. The Spanish government received distinct notice, that should his Majesty be induced to connive at the payment of a subsidy as a temporary measure, he would naturally look with the utmost jealousy to any naval preputations in the poits of Spain A dispatch was received from Mr Frere, on the 27th of December, announcing that the convention between France and Spain was finally concluded on the 19th of October In this dispatch Mr Frere informs this government, that he had represented the convention to M. Cevallos,

as a war suboidy, which had given this country an undoubted right to go to war. On this occasion M Cerallos argues, that the lt mited succours had not been objected to and adds that we ought not to complain of the pecuniary subsidy becaus, we did not know what it was This reasoning of M Cevallos is worthy of it much. When we used a communication of the convention we were told it was unnecessary because as it was an equivalent for the succours stipulated we must know what it was but when we complain of this payment to France as a war subside we are an strered "No, you have no reason of complaint because you do not know what we pay " Thus, because the Spanish government wrongfully refuses the communication of a treaty in which we are directly interested, we are to have no redress, nor must we be disnleased when a subsidy is paid ten times the amount of any stipulated succours in kind had the furnishing of these been admitted as they were not to be consistent with neutrality

The first period of the negociation begins with the discussors respecting the treaty of Ildefonso the second with those respect ang the consention of subsidy the third era of the negociation commences with the instructions sent by Lord Hawkesbury to Mr Frere after that convention was known to be concluded Lord Hawkesbury in his letter of the 21st January 1804 says distinct Is that the convention of the 19th October was a sufficient entise of war but that from views of forbearance and of nolicy his Ma jesty was unwilling, yet, to act upon the night which that measure conferred if sati factory explanations can be obtained. Mr Frere therefore was instructed to require explanations respecting the other stipulations of the convention of the 19th October and secondly to obtain satisfaction as to naval preparations. The forbearance of ministers, therefore is not founded either upon blind ness to the danger which the future hostility of Spain, under the guidance of France might produce but upon motives of policy adopting due precaution again t that event. Their forbeurance was conditional and it required as a size gra now that no naval preparations should be undertaken in the Spanish ports. With out this condition the generouty and the lenuts of government

would have been criminal had there been any danger that Spain, besides contributing a pecuniary subsidy, would have made any preparations for co-operating with France, whenever the moment arrived, that her military aid would have been useful Freie received these instructions, he was engaged in a discussion respecting the sale of prizes, on which at a later period satisfaction was obtained, and also respecting armaments at Ferrol. these, he received assurances that no hostile armaments were going on in that port. Agreeably to his instructions, Mr Frere proceeded to demand a communication of the convention of the 19th of October Now, for the first time, however, the Spanish government began, in their turn, to demand an explanation of the intentions of Great Britain Mr Frere insisted, that a communication of the convention must be made preliminary to any agreement for the neutrality of Spain On this the prince of peace referred him to M Cevallos, and nothing was obtained but vague assurances, that the treaty contained nothing hostile to the interests of , this country The reason, however, assigned for the refusal to communicate the treaty is peculiarly deserving of attention expressly said that it had been proposed to communicate it, but "General Bournonville had over-ruled it" Here is evidence incontestible of the control exercised by the French over the Spanish government, evidence furnished inadvertently by the latter themselves The court of Spain admit that the demand made by us was just, and they excuse themselves for non compliance by an apology, of itself highly alarming, and affording the best criterion how precarious must be the reliance on the neutrality of Spain while the ascendancy of France continued That we had a right to the communication of a treaty in which we were so nearly interested, I believe no man will dispute. And can it be contended that we ought to have acquiesced in that refusal, without at the same time saying that we ought to abandon whatever is most cssential to the assertion of our dignity, and the maintenance of our rights? In vain is it contended that the connivance of this government in the neutrality of Spain was an acknowledgment of it On the contrary, in every one of his notes and conferences Mi.

Frere studiously reserved the right of this coffinity to go to war and necernity distinguished between temporary conniunce and positive recognition. The communication of the treaty with France, on the discontinuance of all naval amanents and the prohibition of the sale of prizes in Spanish ports. That the Spanish government were aware that their neutrality was not recognized, is obnous from the discussions which took place and from their anxiety to learn what were our intentions.

329

It appears that some mistake has occurred from the use of the word correction in some of the Spanish notes as if there had been a convention of neutrality between this country and Spain It is plain however that the word refers in most cases to the convention with France though to be sure, it is not surprising it should be thought that such a convention as that was, could not be meant to be characterized as a convention of neutrality And here. Sir I may take notice of a circum stance that escaped me in a former part of my speech. Let us conaider what proportion of the whole revenue of Spain the subsidy paid to France forms. It will be found. I believe that as the whole revenue of Spain, for every purpose is not estimated at more than eight millions, the subsidy is between one third and one half of its pecuni ary resources. And is not that a strange sort of neutrality in which one power contributes near a balf of its whole animal revenue to another power to carry on war against a third? If the proportion of aid in a defensive treaty is a consideration of great importance in deciding whether it is to be deemed a violation of neutrality surely the proportion of a pecuniary commutation to the whole means of a state is not to be held indifferent. Suppose for instance, that Prusia or Austria were engaged in a war with France, would it be considered a convention of neutrality if hardend were to stipulate and pay fifteen millions to one of the belligerents? And fifteen millions paid by Lingland probably form no larger proportion of her means than three millions annually paid by "pain to France-and by a convention so ridiculously described as a comvention of neutrality ! It is evident however that M d Andrega

who in a note presented to this government, speaks of a convention of neutrality of the 19th October between Spain and England, is altogether unacquainted with the progress and state of the nego-It is clear, that no such treaty ever did exist, for if it had, would M Cevallos, in February and March, have talked of the understanding which prevailed on the subject, if they could at once have settled the dispute by referring to the written document? But in my view of the subject, it would have been of little consequence whether such a convention had existed or not. It is manifest that it could have recognized the neutrality of Spain only conditionally, and if the condition was violated, the neutrality of course expired, and we should have been placed in the same right of war that belonged to us prior to its conclusion But still, though ministers were disposed to prolong their forbearance and lenity, no satisfaction was obtained as to the communication of the treaty Desi-10us, however, of affording every facility, and removing every obstacle to an amicable arrangement, it was resolved to recal Mr Tiere, in consequence of circumstances having occurred, that made it impossible for him any longer to communicate personally with the prince of peace Upon the nature of that difference, which has no relation to the present subject, it is not necessary for me to enlarge. Injustice to Mr Treie, however, I must say, that it arose without any fault on his part, from a most unprovoked, unwarrantable conduct in that person, who, though without ostensible office, is known to have the most leading influence in the councils of Spain Nevertheless, much as ministers respected the talents and were sensible of the services of that gentleman who had so ably filled the place of ambassador to the court of Madrid, during a difficult and critical period, they were determined that no collateral obstacles should stand in the way of a friendly termination of discussions, in which the public interest was so much concerned They had reasons of policy for not driving matters precipitately to extremity, and reserving the right of war, should circumstances demand its exercise, they continued to leave an opening for conciliation and airangement.

It was intended to send another gentleman to succeed Mr Trere

the latter returning home on leave of absence. The same vessel however which brought Mr Frere home on the 17th of Senteraber brought letters from Admiral Cochrane which proved in the clearest manner the violation of that condition, on which the for bearance of his Mulesty s government had particularly been founded. That the clear and precise information communicated by Ad miral Cochrane proved that a violation of the condition on which the neutrality of Spain was considered at had been committed by the armaments in the port of Ferrol and that at was incumbent on government to not upon it, I think cannot be denied. The dispatches of Admiral Cochrane pointed out many important facts. The preparations in the ports of Spain were collateral with the equipment of the French squadron and the Dutch men of war; they happened at the moment when French sailors and soldiers were conveyed through Spain to reinforce the crews of the French ships the packets were armed as in time of war. After our forbearance so long founded on the express condition, that no armaments were to be undertaken in the Spanish ports, could the government of this country shut its eyes to an armament begun in circumstances so suspicious? or ought they to have so far forgot their duty as to neglect the precautions which the case demanded? After Spain had been warned in what light an armament would be viewed and of the consequences to which it would lead what would have been thought of the vigour or good sense of ministers had they on this occasion taken no steps in consequence of such information? What would have been said if the enemy joining their forces had come out of Ferrol and proved too strong for the squadron under Admiral Cochrane? though that I do not believe notwithstanding any difference of numerical strength would have happened. What would have been said if the treasure ships had arrived rafe and replemshed with dollars the coffers of Spain to be placed at the dis posal of France and employed for our destruction? What would have been said had the Ferrol squadron proceeded to any enter prize that would either have struck a blow at our interests, or facili tated those plans which the enemy meditated against this country? If any of these things had happened, what defence could ministers

lanimity? I believe they would have been universally and deservedly condemned, not only at home, but in every quarter of Europe and the world, where honourable, sound, and patriotic principles have still any influence on the views, wishes, and sentiments of mankind.

I cannot believe that any man in this nation would ever have thought otherwise than with horror and detestation of the continuance of forbearance in such a posture of affairs but if, contrary to my belief, there were majorities to applaud forbearance, I declare to you, Sir, and to this house, that there is no censure which I should not be proud to receive, rather than the praise of men, who could applaud such forbearance, or could even praise hesitation at a moment, such as that of the receipt in this country of the decisive, and positive, and most unequivocal intelligence from admiral Cochrane, of the armaments in the ports of Spain. Men might argue, however, that the intelligence itself was not of such a nature, as that a wise and reflective government ought to have adopted it, so as to determine them to take measures of preclution, indicative of ultimate war I know the intelligence has been questioned, but I do also know, that it has been questioned without All the circumstances that have come within my I nowledge, only confirm the truth and accuracy of that intelligence beyoud the possibility of doubt. The single thing against it, to which weight is attached, is that of the circumstance of a piece of news, given by M d'Anduaga, in one of his notes, the last indeed to the British government M d'Anduaga, when first he received the intelligence of the seizure of the frigates of his nation, addressed a note to the secretary of state for foreign affairs, in which he states, that a colonel of the regiment of Hibernia, had received letters from some officers of his regiment at Terrol, stating that the armament preparing in that harbour, of which his regiment was a part, was destined to go against the insurgents in Biscay. not know what gentlemen think of such information. I will n disparage the authors of it, but most certainly I am of in that information from a British admiral is bigher authority

the information from the Hiberman colonel. As to the arrow blage and arming at Ferrol it is more probable however that they were originally intended for a secret expedition of some sort, but that when it became known that Biscay was in a disturbed state as the troops could not be spared for a secret expedition they were ordered to be landed and received a derlina fion altogether remote from that which was assented them under the plan which had led to their assemblage. If it was otherwise. it never occurred to the dovernor of Calliera to tell admiral Coch rane when this officer wrote to him for explanation that the ar imment at Ferrol was destined to act against the Biscayans. The evidence of the governor of Gallicia set against that of the correspondent of the Hiberman colonel would surely weigh somewhat in the minds of gentlemen. If the information of the colonel had any effect at all it must have been on the English newspapers, or on some persons out of the government. On this subject the evidence of the governor of Gallicia is conclusive. This person, in answer to the first letter of admiral Cochrane, demandin_ ex planations of the armaments in the ports of Férrol replies, that it was an arming of some vessels for a secret expedition and not that it was an expedition destined against the insurgents of Biscay Mr Frere stated at Madrid his apprehensions respecting that arms. ment, to which M Covallos makes no other answer than that they were not intended to burt us not that they were collected in order to well the revolt of the subjects of Spain. With respect to the real views of the court of Madrid, in the first agitation of the plan of her armament in the harbour of Ferrol it must strike every body therefore that the persons the most likely to know either gave them quite a different destination from that insisted on by M d Anduaga, or refused to give any satisfactory account what ever of their d stination. It was not until after the receipt of those dispatches of M d Andunga in which the report occurs of the justification set up by him of the conduct of his court that either M Cevallos or the governor of Gallicia says that their object was to quell an insurrection in Bi en; and it will doubtless stille A home that the justification by M d'Andunea was gratuitous wents, was a justification, the production of his own mindand not in any sense founded on the instructions from his court. and, indeed, there are but too many reasons to think that the armament was in the utmost degree hostile in its principle. It was scarcely possible that it could be at first intended to act against the revolted province. What appears to me, Sir, unwordable to our viewing it in any other light is, that the preparations for it should have been throughout of a pacific complexion, of a spirit and tendency in the highest degree neutral. If the force had been wanted to quell an insurrection in Biscay, and that it had been proper to have sent such force by sea, Spain had abundance of small craft in which to transport her troops, and such she would have been bound to have chosen, if her purpose was what she had finally stated it to be, thereby avoiding all appearance of hostility. In the second place, if she must employ her ships of war, what was more obviously likely to have been her course, than to have taken out their guns, and armed her vessels enflute; and not have ranged them alongside the Trench and Dutch ships in her hostile harbour of Ferrol? Add to this, that Spain has no ports, or none at which she could, with any hope of safety, land troops in Biscay. But where and when was Spain to land her troops, if we grant, for the sake of the argument, that such was her intention? Why, Sir, in the Bay of Biscay, -that bay, incomparably the most tempestuous in Europe, and in this bay, the dread of the hardiest mariners, she was to land her troops, in the midst of the equinoxial gales!

As to the other documents which have been laid before the house, which may be supposed to affect the information received from admiral Cochiane, undoubtedly, Sir, in a statement from our consul at Cadiz, it is reported that there were, at the period he wrote, no armaments going on there. But two naval officers, one of them captain Gore, writing on the 5th of October, reports very differently. Still the information from our consul might very well have been such as to give an idea that there were no armaments, or none that were considerable, going forward, at the time when he was drawing up that information. For myself, I have no doubt in my mind of the existence of the armaments in

the information from the Hiberman colonel. As to the famous blage and arming at Ferrol it is more probable however that they were originally intended for a secret expedition of some sort, but that when it became known that Bucay was in a disturbed state as the troops could not be spared for a secret expedition, they were ordered to be landed and received a destination altorether remote from that which was assigned them under the plan which had led to their assemblage. If it was otherwisel it never occurred to the dovernor of Galliem to tell admiral Coch rane, when this officer wrote to him for explanation that the ar mament at Ferrol was destined to act against the Bucayans. The evidence of the governor of Galliera set against that of the correspondent of the Hiberman colonel would surely weigh somewhat in the minds of gentlemen. If the information of the colonel had any effect at all it must have been on the English newspapers, of on some persons out of the government. On this subject the evidence of the governor of Gallicia is conclusive. This person, in answer to the first letter of edmiral Cochrane, demanding explanations of the armaments in the ports of Férrol replies, that it was an arming of some vessels for a secret expedition and not that it was an expedition destined against the impurpents of Biscay Mr Frere stated at Madrid his apprehensions respecting that arms. ment to which M Corollos makes no other answer than that they were not intended to hurt us not that they were collected in order to quell the revolt of the subjects of Spain. With respect to the real views of the court of Madrid, in the first agretation of the plan of her armament in the harbour of Ferrol it must strike every body therefore that the persons the most likely to know eather gave them quite a different destination from that insisted on by M d Anduaga or refused to give any satisfactory account what ever of their destination. It was not until after the receipt of those dispatches of M d Andunga in which the report occurs of the justification set up by him of the conduct of his court that either M Cevallos or the governor of Callicia says that their object sat to quell an insurrection in Bircay and it will daubtless mile the house that the justification by M d Induaga was gratuitous n 4 ther words, was a justification the production of his own minds

and not in any sense founded on the instructions from his court and, indeed, there are but too many reasons to think that the armament was in the utmost degree hostile in its principle. It was scarcely possible that it could be at first intended to act against the revolted province. What appears to me, Sir, unavoidable to our viewing it in any other light is, that the preparations for it should have been throughout of a pacific complexion, of a spirit and tendency in the highest degree neutral. If the force had been wanted to quell an insurrection in Biscay, and that it had been proper to have sent such force by sea, Spain had abundance of small craft in which to transport her troops, and such she would have been bound to have chosen, if her purpose was what she had finally stated it to be, thereby avoiding all appearance of hostility. In the second place, if she must employ her ships of war, what was more obviously likely to have been her course, than to have taken out their guns, and armed her vessels en flute, and not have ranged them alongside the Trench and Dutch ships in her hostile harbour of Ferrol? Add to this, that Spain has no ports, or none at which she could, with any hope of safety, land troops in Biscay. But where and when was Spain to land her troops, if we grant, for the sake of the argument, that such was her intention? Sir, in the Bay of Biscay, -that bay, incomparably the most tempestuous in Europe, and in this bay, the dread of the hardiest mariners, she was to land her troops, in the midst of the equinoxial gales!

As to the other documents which have been laid before the house, which may be supposed to affect the information received from admiral Cochrane, undoubtedly, Sir, in a statement from our consul at Cadiz, it is reported that there were, at the period he wrote, no armaments going on there. But two naval officers, on of them captain Gore, writing on the 5th of October, reports very differently. Still the information from our consul might very well have been such as to give an idea that there were no armaments, or none that were considerable, going forward, at the time when he was drawing up that information. For myself, have no doubt in my mind of the existence of the armaments re-

equestion. In order to demonstrate to us the hostile dispositions of the court of Madrid one circumstance, which though minute was important.-I allude to the arming of the packets. To dispose of all this, I contend, that the evidence, so datinet, clear and positive of admiral Cochrane is supported by the very excuses and arguments of the Spanish government. Besides, had we not the evidence of their being armed in the information of the covernor of Gallicia of a subsequent disarragment? This gentleman's information was material in another view he told us, the they were not any longer armed ships of war yet that the parb trould remain armed. Thus, however real her armament, her d armament was nominal Now it cannot be said, that the comtion of our neutrality or forbearance was, that she should not an nor make any armaments in her ports. How is the fact? Wh Sir an armament took place in a quarter most material for is ansat on her being dwarmed and that was in the port of Fem where the gallantry of our seamen detained, in a state of blocked n squadron of France The acquisition to this squadron, of a co aiderable Spanish force, might have occasioned not a little inco venience Certainly it would have obliged us to reinforce # blockading equadron and possibly it would have been in someth spect, the means of causing a disadvantageous change of the part tions of our general paval forces. All this surely was endeaced a hostile mind. That armament, took place after a ponine or gagement with us not to make any armaments whatever Is the circumstances what was the conduct of the court of Spain! Dip and weeks clapse without one order, account or explanable from that court to its minister M d Andrags to remore at the court of England those just approbemions, jealouses and 12 voidable inquictudes which the known proceedings at Ferral and of necessity to create. The same with respect to Mr First 5 Madrid. Not one word of explanation was given to our ct v d affaires of the nature or object of these armaments but he as told generally, but most evasively that they were not marked? hurt Great Britain This idle jargon continued to be the line of the spanish muniter until they received the intelligence for

question. In order to demonstrate to in the hostile dispositions of the court of Madrid, one circumstance which though minute was important. I allude to the arming of the packets. To dispose of all this I contend, that the evidence, so distinct, clear and positive of admiral Cochrane, is supported by the very excuses and arguments of the Spanish government. Besides, had we not the evidence of their being armed in the information of the governor of Gallicia of a subsequent disarmament? This gentleman's information was material in another view he told us, that they were not any longer armed ships of war yet that the packets would remain armed Thus, however real her armament her disarmament was nominal. Now it cannot be said that the condition of our neutrality or forbearance was, that she should not arm, nor make any armaments in her ports. How is the fact? Why Sir an armament took place in a quarter most material for us to insist on her being duarmed and that was in the nort of Ferrol. where the gallantry of our seamen detained in a state of blockade, n squadron of France The acquaition to this squadron of a con aderable Spanish force, might have occasioned not a little inconvenience Certainly it would have obliged us to reinforce our blockading squadron; and possibly it would have been in some re spect, the means of causing a duadrantageous change of the positions of our general naval forces. All this surely was evidence of a hostile mind. That armament took place after a positive eneagement with us not to make any armaments whatever. In these circumstances what was the conduct of the court of Spain? Days and necks clapse without one order account or explanation from that court to its minister M of Andrage to remove at the court of England those just apprehensions jealouses and una voidable inquietudes, which the known proceedings at Ferrol were of necessity to create. The same with respect to Hr Frere at Not one word of explanation was gi en to our charge d affures of the nature or object of these armaments; but he was tald generally but most eva well that they were not interded to hurt Great Britain. This idle jargon continued to be the languard of the Granish mounter until they received the intilligence from

the governor of Gallicia of the dexterous attempt of M d'Anduaga, to peisuade our court that the armaments were for quelling the rebellion of Biscay M. d'Anduaga's own dispatches arriving, informed the ministers of Spain more particularly of the colour their ambassador had given to the transaction. Yet, when we know, Sii, that the same governor of Biscay did at the outset, when not furnished with the ingenious but unavailing excuses of M. d'Anduaga, inform us, that the expedition was a secret one, shall we be amused out of the conviction of our understanding? If any man should believe M. d'Anduaga, with the evidence now before us, it will exceed my imagination of puerile credulity

But, Sir, I do not believe that it will be advanced by any man in this house, that there were not armaments in the ports of Spain. nor can I see how those armaments can be accounted for, but on the principle of a hostile disposition. As to the armaments in other respects, I have only stated what was done. The simple question in reference to our moderation towards Spain, is not whether we did not do enough, but whether we did not do too much, whether we did not remit our due vigour and decision in not declaring war on the instant? If we had at once declared war, it would have been consistent with substantial justice. As it was, our reservation amounted to a pointed and conditional declaration of war. A breach of neutrality was declared actual hostility. By this conditional declaration of war, if circumstances should arise, we were entitled to act at once, so that when we knew of the hostile preparations and armaments in the ports of Spain, we were justified instantly to declare war, because, if we could prevent the treasures of that power from reaching in safety her ports, we should be preventing a junction of the forces of the three powers of Spain, France, and Holland,the succouring of an inveterate enemy,—the replenishing of his coffers, or the recruiting of his armies for, assuredly, these treasures were not destined for the coffers of Spain, but for those of Even in this proceeding, the moderation and friendly dispositions of his Majesty's government were as obvious as unequivocal We detained the frigates of Spain, indeed, but, by the mode of that detention, we left a door open to Spain to return to

to her ancient friendships, to the line of her generous and magna nimous policy in better days to the course of her high-rainded, honourable propensities and feelings, to her true interests, to the paths of her renown and her glory

Now if we did not at once declare war against Spain knowing the motives of our unparalleled forbearance. I think it too hard Sir, for gentlemen to charge us with the contravention of the law of nations, with want of good faith or the violation of the most liberal enlightened principles of a just and prudent policy. It will be found that we have treated Spain with a Lindness of which perhaps no epoch of history can furnish an instance. We carried our indulgence to the utmost extent We were not to the last moment, hostile but to an extent singularly limited; and although Spain was giving every kind of assistance to her ally a although joined with mayal force she was pouring her treasures into her coffers -still we were willing to listen to her ministry and if possible to avert from her the evils of war Has Spain requited our friendship? With the two conditions on which our forbearance could be continued on which she could be permitted to maintain her neutrality she refused to comply These were first the cessations of all arms ments and secondly the communications of the terms of the treaty of St. Ildefonso We did not demand more than was necessary to our safety. We demanded nothing but our confirmed naht. If we had not insisted on this promptly and made it a size grad non we mucht indeed have been accused of weakness, of pusillanimity and imbecility. After long concenling her armaments in other ports Spain evinced, besides a determination to refuse an ex planation of those and of what we alike required the treaty of 1795 From these circumstances was had become incuta ble This was the case leng before the affair of the frigates. In fact their servare we not known at the time of the discussion at Madrid or of the notification of the 7th | November to the detention of the frigates, the irrefragable justice of that mea ure must be obvious to the world. That circumstance how ever makes no part of the case and we should equally ha e been at war had it never taken place. I do not say this to extenuate

that proceeding, of which I trust I have already said enough completely to justify it. Deplorable as some of the circumstances were with which it was attended, as indeed bloodshed, though shed even in lawful war, must always be regretted, yet that occurrence certainly had no influence on the final decision of the question of peace or war

I trust, Sir, that I have sufficiently proved that, even in the commencement of the negociations, we had a just cause of war, which never was abandoned, that, during the second period, our forbearance, while Spain became bound, and actually pind a war subsidy of three millions sterling to France, was conditional, and that the condition being violated, we again were possessed of the right of war provisionally declared and all our demands of satisfaction and security being rejected, we are in consequence at open war. Under these circumstances, I entertain a full confidence that the vote of this house will recognise the justice of our cause, and sanction the conduct of the government, and that we shall lay at the foot of the throne the professions of a dutiful and loyal people, determined to make every sacrifice in the vindication of their rights, and in the defence of their country

I shall conclude, Sir, with moving, "That an humble address be presented to his Majesty, to acquaint his Majesty, that we have taken into our, most serious consideration the papers which have been laid before us by his Majesty's command, relative to the discussions which have taken place with the court of Spain we observe with the greatest satisfaction, that, through the whole of the transaction, his Majesty has carried his moderation and forbearance to the utmost extent which was consistent with a due regard to the honour of his crown, and the interests of his dominions. That, while we fully concur in the propriety and necessity of those prompt and vigorous measures of precaution which his Majesty found himself compelled to adopt, in consequence of the naval aimaments fitted out by Spain, we see at the same time a fresh proof of his Majesty's carnest desire to avoid, if possible, the extremity of war, in the first opportunity which he even then offered to the court of Spain, to enter on pacific negocration. And that, impressed with these sentiments and fully convinced of the justice of the war which the conduct of the court of Sparin (cridently under the influence and controll of France) has rendered unavoidable we shall not fail to afford his Majesty our most realous and cordial support in erery measure hich may be necessary for prosecuting the war with vigour and bringing it to a safe and honourable termination."

A very long discussion succeeded; in the course of which Mr. Grey mored an amendment upon the address, to the effect of censuring the conduct of ministers throughout the negociation.

At a late hour the debate was adjourned to the following day

February 12, 1805

The discussion being this day resumed on the papers relative to the war with Spain, Ms. Perit rose at the conclusion of the debate to reply to the various arguments that had been advanced in opposition to the address.

After the length of time Sir which this busibes has already taken up and more especially after the able and luminous point of view in which my opinions on this subject have been placed by my honourable and learned friend—the impression of whose speech I hope still remains with the house, notwithstanding the attempt which has been made to destroy it, I do not see any necessity for troubling the house much further in detail—1 at late as is the time to which the discussion has been protracted. I trust I shall meet with the indulgence of the house while I shortly advert to some leading points that have been brought forward relative to the conduct of government—some of them referring personally to myself.

The first point then to which I would turn the attention of the house is the attempt which has been made to represent my honourable and learned friend and myself as differing somewhat in our opinions relative to the commencement of the war with Spain whereas in fact no difference ever exited; and my hos-

nourable and learned friend has only rescued the arguments which I made use of from the vulgar and wretched misrepresentation to which they have been exposed. An attempt has been made to prove that myself and Lord Hawkesbury were so mad as to say to Spain, "we shall forbear to exercise our rights against you for a time, and at any subsequent period we shall, when we find it convenient, commence hostilities without any further notice." We said no such thing If we had, then we should have deserved all the abuse and derision which have been so liberally poured upon us. But as we never did, all the abusive and vulgir terms employed against us may be retorted on those who could be guilty of so glaring and so gross a misrepresentation. This, Sir, was what I advanced I said, that though the sum paid by Spain to France was enormous, yet we had maintained a system of forbearance for a time, reserving however to ourselves at any future period, to consider the subsidies as a cause of war certainly fair and just, but did I ever say hostilities vicre to be commenced in the above case without notice? No, we said this to Spain, "when you tell us that you wish to gain time, and have some hopes that you will speedily be in a situation to maintain a strict neutrality, we shall forbeat for a time, that your chance may be allowed you, and every opportunity of keeping out of the war granted" But when we had given them this adrantage, and when they had this opportunity long enough, then we say this, "thus far shall our forbeatance go, and no farther, and now we tell you that from this hour that forbeatance is at an end."

But while I beg that this may be considered as my opinion, do not let it be understood that Spain was haishly limited in point of time. For when the above declaration was coupled with a condition, who could deny that the violation of the condition, constituted a good ground of war without further notice? We said to Spain, "we forbear in order to afford you an opportunity of maintaining your neutrality, if any possible change should enable you to do so, but if, in the mean time, you go on with any armaments, then this will be considered as tantamount to a declara-

tion of hostilitees. If then the Spanish government violated the conditions upon which our forbearance was founded can any one here to-day tell me that in that case a fresh declaration was ne cessary? This, Sir is what I said and do still say Even al lowing it to be only our simple declaration, the question is still the same. Our right whether she liked it or not, remained equally entire. But in addition to this, they declared their intention to accede to these terms and if any thing could strengthen my case, it is that circumstance.

From the discussion of this point and others equally im-

portant, gentlemen start to find fault with the conduct of their country I have no objection to the quarrels with these papers, and the causes of the war. Let them proceed in their own way no one has any desire to stop them; but I do say that they have uttered sentiments unfavourable to their country; founded on nothing else than the miserabl and wretched confession which they attempted to produce in our statements Whether or not this is a conduct consistent with their duty to their country I call upon that country to Judge These mild advocates for peace these pretended enumes of war, have little or no hesitation in a lonting any mode of argument or making any assertion, provided they succeed in thwarting the measures of government, or in commuring the conduct of those entrusted with the direction of public affairs. That system of patience and for bearance which in my opinion in this instance is so honour able to his Majesty a servants has been the subject of irony and ridicule with gentlemen on the opposite side of the house. But that forbearance we had reselved to carry to a certain extent, and no farther We had given intimation to this effect to the Spanish government, and we consequently were not to blame &r acting as the emergency required. We had frequently apprised them of the danger of foreing us to a rupture and his Majesty's servants shewed a degree of forbestance which e inced their wishes to prevent the efferion of blood by recommending a strong adherence to the conditions of the mutrality they certainly deserved praise, not consure. Whatever gentlemen might allege the

Spanish government, by a violation of those conditions, had rendered themselves hable to our explanation of their conduct, consequently to all the consequences of a rupture, should that be deemed unavoidable. After the wainings which we had given the ministers of the court of Spain, they were it last subject to our discretion. That lently and moderation, however, which had marked our conduct towards Spain, continued till our interest and honour were endangered, and thence arose the war, and from thence we may date all its consequences

When I find the honourable gentleman" and his friends endeavouring to circulate topics of detraction on this occasion, it is necessary for me to set myself right against such misrepresentations. The cause of this war has been demed. That cause. however, was very obvious, and the agreement or condition of neutrality having been once violated, that circumstance formed the basis of the war. What were the conditions? The arguments of my honourable and learned friend have so clearly defined them, that to go over the same grounds would only serve to weaken then effect. The honourable gentleman has insinuated that my honourable and learned friend argued only from a loose That gentleman is not very apt to argue from such weak materials, and, therefore, I must take the liberty of denying the truth of his allegations. The conditions of the neutrality, to which I am obliged so often to recur, are so clearly expressed, that little or no doubt can be entertained on the subject positively declare that there shall be no armament, as an armament would be considered a sufficient cause for war were no conditions expressed or understood, as the honourable gentleman has maintained, any argument on that head would be ridiculous in the highest degree It was also stated, that should there be at any time a violation of the conditions of neutrality. that would not only be a cause for war, but for an immediate war, if decreed necessary, and that no declaration would on such an emergency be requisite Mi Frere, therefore, found himself necessitated to give intimation to the court of Spain, that, until a

satisfactory explanation should be given the alternative of wire must be resorted to Gentlemen are therefore installed when they suppose that we have been guilty of any act of preepitancy towards Spain. She had sufficient notice of our resolution to procred to war unless prevented by a satisfactory explanation.

But it was not only evident that such an armament as that alluded to was preparing with every expedition but that it was declined to unite its strength to that of the enemy. As the British government had warned Spain of the consequences if she persisted in those hostile preparations, the latter was therefore responsible for all the enlamities which might ensure. We had something more substantial than mere appearances for to have acted on mere appearances without the best and most convincing proofs, would have been children in the extreme and justly deserving of the ridictile and indignation which the homourable gentleman on the opposite side bestowed on the supposed errors of government

The gentlemen on the opposite aide have denied that there were any good grounds for the military contingency of which government complained. They admitted that in Buscay troops had been sent by land; but then the cause assigned for the assemblement of these troops was the insurrection in Bi-cay. It was currous to see how gentlemen changed their opinions concerning the information which they received. Whenever it was conve ment they denied the validity of the intelligence received through the medium of admiral Cochrone but admitted that of Mr Frere The adoption of this principle arose from their sometimes finding that Mr Frere's information made for their argument, and that admiral Cochrane's made against it. It was thus they pretended to dishelt we the facts advanced by the latter respecting the armament. The gullant admiral gave this notice to government when stating the existence and mature of this armament. 4 most of the crews live in the vicinity of Ferrol and they have it is and have granted until the 15th of next month. I mut how ever remark that they can be assembled at any time within a few hours." Was not this amy lo evidence of the armament? It was

pretended never to have been fitted out against us, although no other explanation has ever been since given.

Another point put by the honourable gentleman is upon the situation of Spain. He asks, whether we can believe that Spain would go to war at a time when she was smarting under all the miseries of pestilence and famine? The honourable gentleman observes that, on the subject of the family compact, their rights were concerned, and they had an object to look to, and that they might adopt the views of France, but now they have no such motive, as on the centrary they are suffering from French connexion, that they now dread France, -which I believe they do, as much at least, if not more than they hate her. Do we know so little of the conduct of the government of France, as to suppose that the inconvenience, the distress, or the misery of Spain would be a reason that would operate in the councils of France against her passion, her caprice, and her mordinate and extravagant ambition? She felt that circumstances gave her an opportunity of indulging either. Are we so lost to all means of conjecturing how she would conduct herself towards others, when their blood or their treasure was at stake, knowing as we do how little regard her chief has for either the treasure or the blood of the people of France, when any favourable plan is within his view? Are we to suppose, therefore, that he would be tender of the blood and treasure of Spain, when, on so many occasions, he has been so wantonly produgal in the expenditure of the blood and treasure of France, to accomplish any favourite object? To think so, would be singular indeed. But gentlemen say, that France had already got the use of all the money of Spain, and therefore she would not take her contingent also, and the honourable gentleman did allow the possibility of this, although he thinks it a very extravagant supply Perhaps, says he, you may say, France may desire to have the command of both yes, Sir, we may say that perhaps she may desire to have both, and the more especially, at the very time when this negociation was depending. And here I would ask gentlemen on the other side, if France thought that in a few weeks she might strike a right. Could it then be matter of surprise to Spain, that we should have taken the measures of precaution we did? Will it not be admitted that it was a duty we owed to ourselves to intercept this treasure? for can any one in this house be ignorant that it is by means of dollars from South America, that Spain affords pecual ary succours to France? As to what they thought of that succour it is a matter about which it is not our business to inquire.

But the matter does not rest there When we were reasoning on the treaty of St. Ildeforso. I think in the month of July 1803 when Mr Frere was stating to the Spanish minister the forbearance of this country what did he say to the Spanish minister? He desired him to mark the generosity of his Majesty in not claiming their treasure as he might have done but that he let them receive it at that time it being understood that they would act with more strict justice to the system of neutrality. What was the answer of the Spanish minister? The answer was in substance on acknowledgment of his Majesty's lenity and generosity and this is now turned against us; and because an end was put at last to such generosity and forbearance in consequence of several breaches of the conditions on which only it was to be continued and of which due notice was to be given to Spain, our measure of just and necessary precaution is termed a breach of futb; by turn ing round as it is called upon Spain and attacking her when she was unprepared Among the grounds of uncounces which Spain expresses in the months of February and March is the inconvenience and hardships they suff r on account of their apprehension for the safety of their treasure thips coming from South America then how could they say they were taken by surprise, since they were apprehensive at that early period that to this our attention would be directed if ever their breach of the conditions should in duce us to proceed to hostility against them or to take any measure of precaution for our own security? And after such notices and violations of the conditions of his Majesty's lenity and forbearance will any man in this house deny we were entitled to proceed by force even if attended as it was unhappily attended by the consequences of battle? Was not the notice that if they commit

ance, we should declare was sufficient to put them on their guard? And was it not milder in his Majesty's government to take these few ships of force, than if they had taken all the merchantmen to be found on the seas belonging to the court of Spain, the right to which is unquestionable?

Then the matter comes to this, that having a right to make war, yet his Majesty, true to the principles of lenity and forbeniance which had so long distinguished his conduct towards Spain, had not at last recurred to the full exercise of his right, but only took measures of prudent and necessary precoution, that is to say, measures to prevent the situation of England being worse, and France better, during the interval of a negociation, and by which war might after all have been avoided. But the honourable gentleman says, that this negociation was a mockery, and that the measure, which we call a measure of precaution, was an act of perfidy. The honourable gentleman insinuates, that we are ashamed of our own act, and that we should have proceeded at once to war, instead of this measure of mockery, of moderation and lenity, if we found that we were entitled to do so, that is, in other words, that what we have done for the preservation of our national interest, is worse than actual hostility and open war If this be true, I shall beg the honourable gentleman to consider how valuable a discovery he has made, by finding out that it is less dangerous to plunge a nation irretrievably into the calamities of wai, than to afford it a chance of averting them by an adherence to justice

The honourable gentleman blames us for believing in the truth of the account we had of the preparations of the enemy, and on the evidence we had to support the credibility of that account, but if any thing came afterwards, to corroborate what happened at the time we had said we would not make use of the information we received, I wish to know whether gentlemen on the other side would adhere to the rule of justice on which they now wish to proceed? Suppose we had acted as they say we should have done, suppose we had permitted this half-manned fleet at Ferrol to come out; suppose we had suffered these two millions of treasure to go into

Cadiz, and from thence as of course it would to the coffers of France; suppose the two fleets of France and Spain had louned; suppose what indeed is not probable but still possible, that, being so somed and superior in force they might have defeated our blockading squadron, or had by winds favourable to them and adverse to us crossed the channel and brought a large fleet of transports and proceeding in an expedition against Ireland had eluded the vigilance of our fleet, and, under a junction of favour able accidents had been able to effect a landing there; and suppose it had been our case to day with the intelligence of admiral Cochrane, which is now condemned by the gentlemen on the opposite aide to have appeared in parliament, after neelecting the precaution, and after suffering the serious and alarming evil to have reached us by waiting for the explanation from Spain which the honourable gentleman recommended and which would take six weeks or two months to have arrived when in the mean time the enemy had executed partly his purpose of invasion --- suppose we had come to parliament to be excused for having relaxed our efforts and laid our case before these friends of humanity, these advocates of energy and vigour what would have been the language of these gentlemen if we were to throw ourselves on the lenty of the house? The case is however thank God at this time very different indeed. It is owing to that vigilance and activity which the honourable gentleman and his friends have so severely condemned—it is to that spirit and energy that we are at this time to attribute the independence if not the salvation of our country I now without further ducusion of the causes of the war submit the case to the judgment of this house to the judg ment of the country and to the judgment of the whole world

Mr. Orey's amendment was pegatived;

Ayes 106

and the original motion was then put and agreed to-

March 6, 1805.

On a motion by Mr Sheridan, "That leave be given to bring in a bill to repeal the Additional Force Act of last year,"

MR PITT rose, and spoke as follows

It is not my intention, Sii, to follow the honourable gentleman* through all those various digressions, through all those multifarious observations, which, in the course of his speech, he has thought proper to introduce The greater part of these had no sort of connexion with the question more immediately before the house, and were evidently introduced for the purpose of giving the honourable gentleman an opportunity of shewing the house how much he was capable of contributing to the entertainment of the house by illustration, which had no possible relation to the subject proposed for our present consideration But, though I view those parts of the honourable gentleman's speech as unworthy of any detailed reply, and shall not on that account trespass long on your attention, there are one or two of the preambles to his speech, to which I feel it necessary shortly to advert, before I enter on the consideration of the motion with which the honourable gentleman concluded his speech

The honourable gentleman thought proper to advert, in the first place, to that surprise which the notice of his motion, when it was first announced, had created on this side of the house. The honourable gentleman, perhaps, found it convenient to suppose that such surprise existed, merely with the view of turning it to the advantage of his own argument. I, for my part, know nothing of that surprise to which the honourable member has alluded, but I know that the honourable member's notice was not, in the first instance, given within the doors of this house. When it was first given, I have reason to believe, that the only emotions which it excited, were those of satisfaction, and when it was renewed in this house, I can testify, that it excited a cry of exultation. There was every reason to think that, when the right honourable gentlemant oppo-

site brought forward his motion his friends were not by any means pleased at the manner in which the discussion was closed with reluctance they divided on the occasion, because they were not afforded an opportunity of delivering their several opinions. They could not help voting when the question was so loudly called for and though the honourable member who this evening opened the debate, has paid high compliments to the right honourable gentleman s cloquence there can be no sort of doubt that he was not satisfied without an opportunity of displaying his own powers on so fertile a subsect. The honourable gentleman, indeed sufficiently showed from his conduct on the former debate, that he was not satisfied with the manner in which the debate was terminated. It was not for nothing that the honourable member had taken so many pages of notes, not perhaps with the view of answering the mont honourable scatteman a speech but certainly for the purpose of explaining his own peculiar views of subjects touched on in that speech had not some discreet mediator disquaded him from the resolution which he had previously formed. It cannot be imarined that these notes were taken for the nursone of answering the arguments of my right honourable friend; for ten days of preparation have elapsed before the honourable gentleman has thought himself in a utuation to attempt this with any thing like plansbility or success. In following this course the honourable centleman was no doubt encouraged by many of his friends who were not satisfied with the right honourable gentleman a view of the business, who flattered themselves that the honourable gentle man would take up much more popular ground and who looked forward to the prospect of tnumphing under his benners. Whe ther these anticipations will be realized will best appear. Sir after we have fairly entered on the discussion of the subject. Respect ing the surprise to which the honourable gentleman referred I shall only add that on this side of the house no feeling was enter tained when the resolution of bringing forward the present motion was announced, but one, namely that we were ready to enter on

the discussion at whatever period it might best suit either that or any other honourable gentleman to introduce it.

Now, Sir, as to the second of the honourable gentleman's preambles, which was evidently intended to conciliate the right honourable gentleman", I feel it necessary to make a few observa-The honourable gentleman begins by assuring his right honourable friend that he has no wish, whatever, to state opinions contrary to those which he had laid down in his speech, and thus attempts to full asleep all apprehension of a wish to interfere with his favourite doctrine. But hardly is this opiate given, hardly are the compliments to the right honourable gentleman's talents and eloquence uttered, before the honourable gentleman enters on a series of observations, all of which are calculated to awaken the jealousy of his right honourable friend, and to destroy even the fundamental principles of that military system in which he took so warm an inte-If I had carefully selected topics for a difference of opinion, it is not easy to see how any could have been laid hold of to mark out a more complete contrariety of opinion. The honourable gentleman has this day expressed himself in terms of the highest app obation of the variety of our military force. Now, the right honourable gentleman founded his whole military system on its uniformity, and maintains that the divisions of volunteers, of militia, and of regulars, constitute at once its disgrace and its inefficiency. The right honourable gentleman wishes the volunteer establishment to be discarded, while the honourable gentleman glories in being its advocate and champion Here, then, on the one hand, the house are called on to look to the volunteer establishment as discarded and exploded, while on the other it is not only defended, but extolled as the grand source of the security of the empire This surely is a pretty glaring evidence of a difference of opinion, and I leave the house to form their own reflections on the subject. But, while it is impossible not to advert to this difference between the two honourable member's, I cannot help observing, that the honourable gentleman need not have recourse to all that delicacy which he has used on the present occasion It so happens, that on every one of the leading

points on which the right honourable gentleman's speech on a former occasion was founded the right honourable gentleman's opinions had undergone a most important revolution. He now differs as much from himself, as it is possible for the honourable gentleman to do on any of the matters which he has this evening touched on in his speech. The augmentation of the militing, the plan of raising provisional cavalry the measure of obtaining men for rink, as well as the call on the parables for their quotas, all of which measures the right honourable gentleman now so strongly censures were measures adopted when he himself was along with me a member of the cabinet, and at the same time was actually secretary at war.

I shall not now, Sir attempt to go very much into the question, how far the opinion of the country is to be guided by the opinion expressed by an individual of acknowledged abilities and consideration. But this I feel myself entitled at least to say that if any man not only assents to but actually brings forward measures as a member of the cabinet and thinks proper after an interval of a few years, severely to consure the same measures when he is out of power the confidence of the country in his opinion must be ma terrally diminished Now Sir in the year 1796, the right honour able gentleman not only assented to all the measures I have just alluded to as a member of the cabinet but joined me cordially an bringing them forward, and was willing to take his fall share of all the responsibility attached to them either in this house or in the country. He was then as much as he possibly could be their parliamentary author and now he feels himself called upon to condemn them in terms of the utmost seventy. Thus much Sir I have thought it my duty to say as to the honourable gentleman a preambles. Of his numerous digressions I shall have occasion to say a few words before I at down but shall in the mean time, proceed to the real question before the house.

Before I go further 1 beg leave to disclaim at the outset the view of the question really before the boxes as the honournble gentleman has thought proper to state it. The question is not whether the bill has, in all its extent fulfilled the object for which it was onginally designed, but whether it has answered its end to

a certain extent, and whether, from the experience of its past effects, it would be better to give it a further trial, or at once to accede to the honourable gentleman's motion for its repeal fully allow, when I speak of the utility of the mensure, I speak of its utility for increasing the numbers of our regular army The honourable gentleman, on the other hand, affects to view it merely as a tax, and solely as a bill for raising money. As a bill for raising men, the honourable gentleman asserts that it has produced no effect, and cannot produce any effect, and it must, therefore, be solely with a view of raising money that it is continued. Now, Sir, to this assertion, my answer is simple, unequivocal, and I always disclaimed the idea of the bill being considered as a bill for raising money, and never attempted to defend it but as an instrument for recruiting with the greatest expedition our regular army. The honourable gentleman has thrown out a hint about withdrawing the bounties from the parishes, and in that way rendering it a money bill This, Sir, is really a sort of proceeding so disgraceful and abominable, a sort of proceeding so utterly out of the contemplation of his Majesty's ministers, that I am astonished it has ever been alluded to I ask support to the bill solely on the ground of its being a measure for the augmentation of our military force, and as it appears calculated to promote this important end. The moment it ceases to produce any effect, or to hold out any prospect of accomplishing this end, then I shall certainly feel myself bound, not merely not to oppose, but actually In one sense, indeed, the bill operates in to move for its repeal a pecuniary way by the penaltics which it inflicts on those parishes whose quotas are not provided, but even in this view of it, it is far from being attended with peculiar severity. On the contrary, it suspends the penalties of the army of reserve act, and substitutes in their room others of a milder form. It is, indeed, impossible to conceive any thing milder than the act is, in its present application, unless, as is not pretended to be either just or expedient, the penalties were to be wholly removed

The honourable gentleman, in speaking of the effect of the billy

seems entirely to have lost sight of one circumstance very important to be considered which is the period when the bill first came into activity. From whatever causes this arose, I shall not now stop to det rmine but certain it is that it was not till the 14th of Novem ber that orders were first given for general recruiting to supply parables where deficiencies existed. It is therefore Sir to the operation of the bill since that period much more than to the effect it has produced in the preceding months that we ought fairly to apply for an orinion of its ments. Looking then at the last three months, I find that on an average of each week there have been nearly two hundred recruits obtained. Thus, taking three months as an average the result will be that under the operation of the bill it will produce an annual addition of betwixt nine or ten thousand men. I ask then Sir with such a statement as this before us if we can think of listening to a propoamon for repealing the bill just at the moment when it shall come into full activity?

àn±

The honourable centleman has thought proper to say that not one man has been raised by the bill and the right honour able gentleman maintained that its effects had been altogether inconsiderable. But Sir, I will ask these gentlemen and the house, whether the effect which I have hinted at, be not one of very considerable magnitude? whether it be not in fact nearly equal to the whole of the recruits obtained by the ordinary means of recruiting? On this simple ground, I might almost ex clusively rest the merits of the bill and ask the house whether it is one which ought to be rashly and inconsiderately discarded? But I feel it my duty to take a fuller view of the subject. Here it appears as far as experience has proceeded, and as far as we can possibly judge from that experience that we may read sonably expect an addition every year of no less than nine or ten thousand men to our regular forces And are we then to be told that the measure has proved altogether mefficient? It is true from the experience of the three months I have referred to I cannot tretend to form a conclusive opinion but certainly I have a much better right than the gentlemen on the other side to offer an opial on on the future effects of the bill. As far as expenence extends,

these three months are a pretty good proof that the bill has answered its end, and a tolerably fair presumption that it will continue to answer its end still more successfully in future. It is true, that the same favourable result may not continue to be experienced, but with stronger probability I may say that results still more favourable may be experienced Let gentlemen consider under what circumstances the bill has hitherto operated, and they will see that this is no unleasonable anticipation. Let them reflect what has been the drain of men on the country for the last eighteen months. first, the militia were ballotted for, immediately after the supplementary militia were raised, and then came the army of reserve, so that in Great Britain alone, above 100,000 men had been raised in the short space of a year and a half If then, under all the unfasourable operation of these circumstances, the bill has produced the effects which I have described, what may not be expected from it when these circumstances are removed? One great evil which the bill was intended to destroy, was the removal of those excessive bounties which the aimy of reserve had created While the recollection of these high bounties existed, it is not to be imagined that moderate bounties could have had a fan chance of success When, however, the memory of them is in a certain degree obliterated, which it must of necessity be, I entertain no sort of doubt that the bill will be found fully adequate to all the purposes for which it was framed. We are therefore, hitherto, not entitled to calculate its effects in all their extent. I have stated what probability justifies, and what actual experience has proved, and therefore I have completely succeeded in proving that the reasons adduced by the honourable gentleman in support of the repeal, ought not for a moment to be entertained by the house

The honourable gentleman has attempted to argue that the measure is not at all calculated to produce the end it professes to have in view, but in what manner he has supported his arguments, I leave to the house to judge. He seems totally to forget that hitherto the bill has operated only on a partial and limited scale. It has been applied only to the deficiencies in the militia or the army of reserve. Its effects have not been felt over the great bulk.

410

of the Lingdom Where it has hitherto been tried it has had to encounter very formidable obstacles. It has been applied thiefly in those districts where men could with the greatest difficulty be found and therefore it is the less to be wondered at, if it has not answered in all their extent the wishes or expectations of those who originally supported it. Even under all the hazard of the pe naltics for the army of reserve and the militia the men could not be procured in the places to which I have referred and I will put it to the candour of gentlemen whether if the bill had been even less successful than it has been it would have been at all n matter of astonishment? To all these circumstances let me add Sir the industry with which the statements of the total fail lure of the bill have been circulated over the country the circum stance of its having last session encountered violent oncounton, and being carried by a small majority accompanied by the expects tion that it would certainly be renealed on the meeting of parlia ment. When however these prejudices are disupated when the country see that parliament are determined to give the measure a fair trial when they have every reason to think that it is meant to be a permanent part of our military system. I have no hesitation an expressing my conviction that it will fulfil the most sanguine expectations of its most sealous supporter. If any thing further were wanting to prove that the bill has not yet got this fair trial it would be the consideration that in no case yet have the penalties of the bill been imposed. It becomes, however a matter of consequence to have it understood that they certainly will be imposed and I venture to predict, that even the knowledge will go a consider able way to giving the bill all the effect which I hope it will be found ultimately to produce. At present I have no doubt that in several districts sufficient exertion has not been employed to procure the required quota, from an expectation that the penaltics would not be enforced. When however they are fully approved of the contrary they will feel it necessary to make new efforts, and if these efforts are properly directed there can be no question about procuring the necessary quotas. The measure then Sir has not been hitherto fairly tried, and I certainly shall not part from it unless

reasons much stronger than those which the honourable gentleman has condescended to use, shall be employed, and unless the events of the remainder of the year prove that my expectations of its future effects turn out to be unfounded

But, Sir, I am accused of disappointing the house and the country, by holding out, through the medium of this bill, the prospect of a most rapid and extensive increase of our regular force as to this statement, I have to offer a few observations, and, Sii, I beg leave to say, that I never held out any such expectations, I never did give any such pledge, I never said that I looked to this measure solely as the means of recruiting our regular aimy; I never argued that this measure was exclusively to furnish us with a disposable force. Let me remind the house, Sir, shortly of the circumstances under which the measure was produced The honourable gentleman, in his observations, seemed to insinuate that I was hostile to the general principle of the army of reserve act, Now, this is a most gross misrepresentation of my views on this subject. So far from disapproving of that measure, I approved of it cordially, and I am in the judgment of the house, that I supported it in the most strengous manner. That act certainly did produce a large accession to the aimy, and, on that account, was a most important measure, but it was attended with many very serious inconveniences, in raising the bounties, in discouraging direct inlisting into the regular army, and in promoting descrition who felt all these inconveniences, and who were at the same time convinced that the penalties were excessive, thought that some milder act should be substituted in its place, that the exertions of gentlemen, parochial officers, and local zeal, would produce effects as important as local activity. These were the grounds on which I originally presented the measure, and on the same ground I continue of opinion that it is in the train of accomplishing all that was expected from it, but I never did give the house reason to think that I expected any rapid or immediate augmentation of our regular force from its operation I did state that the bill would give us a strong chance for increasing our regular army in addition to those which previously existed I did state that the bill would not

have the effect of interfering with any of our existing modes of recruiting the regular arms, or of preventing the adoption of any other suggestions which gentlemen might feel it their duty to bring forward on this most important subject

On the subject of a great additional force alladed to by the honourable centleman, I must beg leave also to make a single observation I thou ht I had on a former occasion sufficiently expressed my opinion as to what appeared to me the quantum of force which I thought necessary to our national security. I did on a former occasion distinctly declare my conviction that we had alrendy in point of quantum of force, nearly as great a number as the circumstances of the empire required and I added that what we then uanted was a disposable force. How far we have or have not got this disposable force will presently come to be a matter of con But Sir to shew the house clearly that no very great addition to the military force of the country was meant suddenly to be obtained by the bill let me only call on gentlemen to look to the bill itself they will there find, that in the first instance only 9000 men were to be raised for Creat Britani How different is this from the representation given by the honourable gentleman in the course of his speech. From the observations of the honoura ble centleman I am strongly inclined to think that he has not given himself the trouble of consulting more than the outside of the bill for afthe had at all considered it with the least care, he never could have upposed that I meant the bill in the course of one year to muse the enormous number of 85 000 men. In the first instance there was only a deficiency of 9000 men to be made up, and the bill was to operate in producing a permanent force in a gradual way and could not be expected to produce its full effect in less than three four or even six or seven years. I have attempted to il cw the house on the simplest principles, that the bill may be fairly expected to produce an annual addition of 9 or 10,000 men to our regular force and that this effect has already been produced and a a complication of the most unfavourable circumstances. I confess, seeing what the bill has already done, and looking to what

413

it is capable of effecting, I anticipate from it the most important and happy results

I am really, Sir, astonished at the language of the honourable gentleman, and those who join him in support of the repeal confess it has never been my fortune within the walls of this house to hear any proposition so arrogantly and so vehemently brought forward, which had so little even of the appearance of argument to uphold it The principal object of the bill was, in the first instance, to do away an evil which had become the subject of general complaint. Has it not produced this effect? Has it not relieved the counties from excessive buildens? Has it not lowered the excessive bounties which had brought regular recruiting almost to a stand? And has it not in this way become a most important auxiliary to the augmentation of our disposable force? Will it be attempted to be denied, that the reciuiting is now going on with fresh spirit, since the evil of excessive bounties has been removed? The honourable gentleman has drawn a very strange picture of the state of our regular army, and has even endeavoured gravely to maintain, that since last year it has received no sort of I am really, Sir, at a loss to know where addition or extension the honourable gentleman has been able to collect his information; for sure I am, it is not to be obtained from the papers on the table. But the honourable gentleman seems so wholly intent on the repeal of this obnoxious bill, that he will not suffer his mind seriously to consider the subject in all its relations. Surely it is but fair that the honourable gentleman who seemed so much disposed to look back to the period when the bill was not in a state of operation, should look to the time when it shall be in full activity. This, however, he seems to have no disposition to do, but, satisfied that the measure is bad, he will not give himself time to see whether or not it actually does and the regular recruiting.

Now, Sir, as to the state of our disposable force at present, compared to what it was the preceding year, a very short statement will afford the house the clearest view. [Here Mr Pitt entered into a statement to shew, that we had this year in disposable infantry, cavalry, and artillery, in addition to what 417

we had last year about 20 000 men ? Surely Sir this and discouraging prospect, this is no illustration of the assertions of the honourable gentleman that the state of the army is neglected; this is no argument to prove that the bill is that obnexious, ineffi cient measure which the honourable gentleman and his friends are so eager to represent it The statement I have made is not indeed a direct argument in fav ur of the bill but it is at least a strong proof that the bill is not calculated to injure the regular recruit ing but on the contrary to assist it in the most advantageous man It assists it by removing existing impediments, by destroying enormous bounties, by putting a stop to the operation of the ballot, out of which high bounties recessarily spring. I wish gentlemen seriously to consider in what state the recruiting now is, before they are so clamorous for the repeal of the bill Why Sir I find in the month of February alone no less than 1495 recruits have been obtained But it is not to that month alone that I wish them to confine their attention. I have already mentioned that the number of men added to our disposable force during the last year up to the 1st of January is 20,000 of these 11 882 have been added nnce June last that us, within a period of seven months. The whole of the addition for the preceding year has been only 12 000 for a period of twelve months. As far as experience can guide us we have reason to think that we shall have annually 8 or 9000 ad ded to our regular force by the operation of the bill; and accordmy to the proportion of recruits obtained in the month of Februa ry supposing the same proportion to go forward we should have a total of 14,608 Admitting that to these are saded 8000 others who volunteered in the course of the year from limited to unlimited service, we shall have in one year an addition to our disposable force of no less than 26 000 men. When I say this, I beg to be clearly understood as giving no pledge that such a number will actually be obtained; but I only say that, if the r-cruiting should go on as there is reason to expect it will a number nearly equal to that may be reasonably expected. Now that the impediments to the recruiting service are principally removed and that an impulse has been given to the service we have every ground for hope

on the subject. When we consider with what rapidity, almost indeed unexampled, the new levies have been completed, what a spirit had been exhibited during the last and several picceding years, what was the large amount of the addition to our disposable force,—when we reflect on all these things seriously, how, Sir, can any gentleman maintain that our military system is quite inefficient? I am at a loss to conceive on what principles they act, and am unable to see how they can seriously stand up and hold assertions, when facts so powerfully demonstrate their fallacy.

' I shall now, Sir, beg leave to make a few remarks on some of the extraneous matters which the honourable gentleman has thought proper to introduce in the course of his speech, and the first of these is the state of the naval defence of the country. I am not prepared to say, what could have induced the honourable gentleman to allude to this subject, unless it was that it was suggested by what fell from my right honourable friend* on a former evening But what my right honourable friend then said, was introduced only incidentally, and not with any view to provoke discussion All that he advanced, was, as far as my recollection goes, that the country, in consequence of the state both of our naval and military defence, was not now in that state of danger to which it had been formerly exposed. On this slight foundation the honourable gentleman thinks proper to attack the present state of the naval defence, and directly attacks some expressions of mine on a former evening, respecting the noble lord+ lately at the head of the naval department Now, Sir, I certainly cannot think this the proper period for going into this discussion." It is quite clear, that it could not be gone into this evening with the least prospect of advantage to the noble person concerned, or the public I shall on that account, only make a few observations The opimon I gave on the ministerial conduct of that noble earl, was given when I had not the honour of being in his Majesty's government, the opinion, however, which I offered to the house upon the late first lord of the admiralty, as a minister, before I was in office, has been confirmed by every thing I have seen since

I came into it Whether there is to be an inquiry into that subject, I know not but if there be I am ready to repeat and sunport my opinion I shall never shrink from maintaining that opinion when I am convinced of its truth nor shall any man dictate to me in what way or at what time I am to make it. But the honourable gentleman appeared to condemn me for making the declaration. With all deference to him, I would submit to the house whether it would have been manly or candid, if continuing to retain the same sentiments. I had bentated in declaring them. The honourable gentleman, however wishes to know why if I entertain such sentiments. I do not bring for ward a charge against the noble person concerned? To this my answer is simple and conclusive—I do not feel that any charge is required. The noble lord has been removed from his office, and I have no wish to cherish hostility against individuals. No man has a higher opinion of the professional talents of the noble lord than I have, or of the eminent services he has rendered the country I am convinced that the noble lord was guilty of errors in his management of the civil concerns of the pavy; but I know also, that his eminent services are not to be cancelled by these errors. As to the present state of the naval defence I shall not now enter on the subject but content myself with saying, that when the regular inquiry is moved for I am ready to meet it. I know that the greatest professional men in the kingdom have been consulted and every thing has been done which they recommended. The honourable gentleman talks of a few ships un fit for use purchased by government but has he taken the trouble to gain accurate information on the subject! Has be endeavoured to make himself acquainted with the activity exerted by the board of admiralty to repair old ships to lay down new, and to expedite every part of the naval department?

The bonourable gentleman seldom condescends to favour us with a display of his extraordinary powers of imagnation and of fancy; but when he does come forward we are prepared for a grand performance. No subject conses aniss to him, however remote from the question before the house All that bu facey

suggests at the moment, or that he has collected from othersall that he can utter in the ebullition of the moment—all that he has slept on and matured, are combined and produced for our entertainment. All his hoarded repartees-all his matured jests -the full contents of his common-place book-all his severe invectives—all his bold, hardy assertions—all that he has been treasuring up for days, for weeks, and months, he collects into one mass, which he kindles into a blaze of eloquence, and out it comes altogether, whether it has any relation to the subject in debate or not Thus it is, with his usual felicity, that the honourable gentleman finds a new argument for the repeal of the present bill, because the house and the country have less confidence in the present than even in the late ministers. Upon this point, too, I shall say but a few words. If the honourable gentleman really thinks ministers unworthy of the confidence of parliament, he has means of founding a motion for some proceedings on this subject; but let me conjure the house, whatever they may think of me, not to wound the public térvice by repealing this bill merely by doing so to injure the minister. Whether I possess the confidence of parliament or the country, or not, certainly this is not the mode of determining it. But, Sir, it is rather whimsical, that at the very moment the honourable gentleman is saying that I do not now possess the confidence of parliament and the country, he is paying me an involuntary compliment, by owning that at least I formerly enjoyed that confidence. It is however, rather unfortunate, that there is not a single opprobrious epithet that the honourable gentleman has now employed against me, which on almost every disputed point he did not lavish on me at that very period when he allows I possessed the confidence of parliament and the country—a confidence then expressed by four-fifths and ninetenths of the country. The honourable gentleman has thought proper to allude, too, to the composition of the ministry, and here I must again beg leave to wave the discussion I desire the house and the country to look to the connexions, to the constitutional agreements or differences, to the habits, to the general views

of those who compose the present administration, compared with other connexions of certain honograble gentlemen on the other litle and then I am ready to ablde by their candid declaron.

The honourable contleman takes a great deal of morit to humb self for the generous, magnanimous, and disinterested support which he afforded a noble friend of mine while at the head of affairs. I will allow that the honourable gentleman did support my hoble friend with a few speeches and votes, probably when they were not wanted and my noble friend is doubtless under obligations to him for his magninimity. I believe however, the hot noticable centleman was not at all backward in giving his votes when my noble friend was forced to rough the helm of affairs, and in this most likely he desplayed his desplayed conduct. The honourable gentkman poxt passes on to another noble friend of minet who according to a phrase which I can find no where but in the school of recobinism was cashiered from being secretary for foreign alkits to be searctary for the home department. On this bount it will be necessary for me to say but a very few words. An arrangement did no doubt take place in his Majesty's government but that arrangement was made with the free will and chure concurrence of that individual and it was never proposed with any other intention how then can the noble lord be said to have been enshiered? So far from my having ever harboured any thing like sentiments of disrespect for that noble lord I have never even when I disagreed with blin on certain measures, felt the least diminution of friendship and recard for that noble person, and far from any abatement of attachment to him having taken place it has a nee been increased, if possible, by subsequent proofs of that character for which I so highly exceeded him. To the other in simuations of a similar tendency, which have dropped from the honourable gentleman, as they are wholly without any foundation I shall make no reply thus much however I have thought it necessary to observe in vindication of their characters and of to own.

t I and Eldmonth

But the honourable gentleman reems to rely much on his uppeal to the country gentlemen on them he confidently calls, as under a kind of obligation, to vote against the bill, but by the motives from which he supposes that obligation to spring, the narrow motives of pecuniary considerations, I will never believe they can for a moment be actuated. I am not now to be taught what opinion. I am to entertain of that most respectable class of the community. They are still distinguished in my opinion by the same sternness of character, by the same ardour for the honour of their country, by the same "cel for the public service for which they have always been conspicuous, and confident I am that they will never act on the narrow and selfish principles, which the honourable gentleman thinks them capable of being warped by. They are always serious and carnest in coming forward to expose their lives and fortunes in the just and necessary contest in which their country may be engaged, and when the constitution and liberty of the country are endangered. Such men can therefore never be actuated by mere pecunitry motives, or by paltry parochial considerations. While they no doubt wish to consult the comfort and convenience of the lower classes, their minds will also ascend to the times and circumstances in which they are or may be placed, and they undoubtedly will now feel the necessity of impressing a more military character upon the people, and will as cheerfully concur in providing for what that necessity imposes. They will not look upon the present measure in the light of a pecuniary burden, but as a part of that system which is to contribute to that salutary end. Whenever the measure shall be found to operate as a pecumary burden, I shall be the first to disclaim it, and to propose its repeal - I am persuaded however, it will have no such effect, but on the contiary, as the number raised by it will annually increase, the expense attending it will not fall on the parishes or on individuals, but will be paid out of the public fund.

Such are my views and hopes of its prospective effects, and strongly impressed with these sentiments, I shall conclude, Sir,

with giving my decided negative to the motion made by the honourable centleman.

The motion was negatived:

Ayes 111 Noes 169

April -8, 1805

Mr Whithread this day brought forward his promised motion founded on the tenth report of the Communicaces of Naval inquiry 1 concluding a special of great length with moving the following resolutions:

7 "That it appears to this committee, that on the right of June, 1781, the House of Commons in a committee of the whole house tame amongst others, to the following resolutions:

That it is the opinion of this committee, that some regulations ought to be adopted for the jumpose of lemening and keeping down the balancies of public roomey which appear to have usually been in the hands of the treasurer of the mays; and it would be beneficial to the public if the first and other cleries in the different branches belonging to the said officer were paid by fixed and permittent salaries in her of all free, gramatics, and other perquisites what-

That it is the opinion of this committee that from henceforward the pay is muster general of his Majerty a lastif forces, and the treasurer of the navy los whe time being shall not apply any sum or sums of money impressed to them, or either of them, to any purpose of advantage or interest to themselves, either directly or indirectly.

That it appears to this committee, that the commissioners appointed to examine, take, and satis the public accounts of the kingdoms have, so far as appears from the reports which they have hitherto smale, discharged the duty intrusted to them with greet difference, accuracy and ability; and if parliament shall carry into execution those plans of reform and regulation which are suggested by the matter contained in the reports of the said commissioners, it cannot but be attended with the most beneficial consequences to the future welfare and prosperity of this kingdom

2 "That in intherance of the intention of the House of Common er pressed in such resolution, his Mayerty by his warrant dated Jane 18th 1983, directed that the salary of the treasurer of the rary about he increased to the mm of 4000th per annum in full entiriction of all wager and for each other profits and emplanents theretofore enjoyed by former trea uters.

- 3 "That it appears to this committee, that during the treasurership of the right honourable Isaac Barre, the conditions of the aforesaid warrant were strictly complied with, that the whole of the money issued from the exchequer to Mr Barré for naval services was lodged in the bank, that it was never drawn from thence previously to its being advanced to the sub-accountants, to be applied to the public service, that during the time Mr Barré acted as treasurer and ex-treasurer, he had not in his possession or custody any of the public money, and that neither he nor the paymaster of the navy did derive any profit or advantage from the use or employment thereof
- 4 "That the Right Honourable Henry Dundas, now Lord Viscount Mel-ville, succeeded to the office of treasurer of the navy on the 19th of August, 1782, when a further addition was made to the salary of the said office, in order to produce a net annual income of 4000l after the payment of all taxes and charges on the same, and that this additional salary was considered by the said Lord Viscount Melville as granted to him in lieu of all wages, fees, profits, and other emoluments, enjoyed by former treasurers.
- 5 "That the said Lord Viscount Melville continued in the said office till the 10th of April, 1783, that being asked whether he derived any advantage from the use of the public money during that period, he, in his examination before the commissioners of Naval Inquiry, declined answering any question on that head, but that he has since in a letter written to the said commissioners, and dated the 28th of March last, declared that previous to 1786, he did not derive any advantage from the use or employment of any monies issued for carrying on the service of the navy, but Mr Douglas, who was paymaster, being dead, and his lordship having refused to answer any question on this head as aforesaid, no evidence has been obtained as to the application of monies issued for the service of the navy, or the mode of drawing the same from the bank, during this period.
- 6 "That the honourable C Townshend, now Lord Bayning, held the office of treasurer of the navy, from the 11th of April, 1783, to the 4th of January, 1784, and that from the examination of his lordship, it appears that, during his treasurership, no part of the money issued for the service of the navy was applied to his private use or advantage, and that he does not believe that Mr Douglas, who acted under him as paymaster, derived any profit or advantage from the use or employment of the public money, except the money issued for the payment of exchequer fees.
- 7 "That the right honourable Henry Dundas was re-appointed treasurer of the navy on the 5th of January, 1784, and continued in the said office until the 1st of June, 1800
- 8 "That in the year 1785, an act of parliament was passed, 25 George III chap 31 intituled, An Act for better regulating the office of treasurer of his Majesty's Navy, whereby it is directed, that no money shall be issued

from the treasury to the treasurers of the many; but that all monies issued for naval services shall be paid to the bank on account of naval services, and placed to the account of the treasurer of the navy and shall not be paid out of the bank unless for naval services, and in parsuance of draughts signed by the trensurer or some person or persons authorized by him; which drawthin shall specify the heads of service to which such sums are to be applied, and that the regulations under the said act shall take place from the aret of July

472

9. " That the execution of the said act was postposed till the mosth of January 1786, and, from that time till the month of June, 1800 when Lord Melville left the office of treasurer contrary to the practice estabhabed in the treasurer hip of the right honourable Issue Burré contrary to the resolutions of the House of Commons of 18th of June, 1785 and in defiance of the provisions of the above-mentioned act of the 17th George III chap. 11 harge sums of money were, under pretence of naval services, and by a semidaloss evasion of the act, at various times drawn from the bank and invested in exchequer and party bills, lent upon the security of stock, employed in discount ing private bills, in purchasing bank and East-India stock, and wast in turious way for the purposes of private emolument.

, to. " That Alexander Trotter Esq paymenter of the navy was the per son by whom or m whose name the rabble money was thus employed, and that in so doing he acred with the knowledge and consent of Lord Viscount Melville : to whom he was at the same time private event, and for whose use or benefit he occasionally laid out from 10 to 20,000% without considering whether he was previously in advance to his lordship, and whether such advances were made from his rubile or private balances.

11. " That the right honourable Lord Viscount Melville having been privy to, and comived at the wilbdrawing from the bank of England, for purposes til private interest er emelament, soms based to bim as tressmer of the many and placed t life account in the bank, according to the provisions of the 15th Geo. III, thep 31 hat been guilty of a gross violation of the law and high breach of duty

12. " That it further appears that, subsequent to the appointment of Lord Is civille, as treasurer of the many in 1984, and thining the time he hald that office large some of money haved for the service of the many were applied to other services and that the said Lord Melville, in letter written in snewer t a precept is sted by the commissioners of Naval Inquiry requiring an account of money received by him or any person on his account or by his order from the paymenter of the mayy and also of the time when, and the persons by whom the same were returned to the bank, or paymenter has declared, that he has no meteria by which he could make up such an account, and that if he had materials, he could not do it without disclosing delicate and

confidential transactions of government, which his daty to the public must have restrained him from revealing

13 "That Lord Melville, in applying monies issued for the service of the navy to other services, stated to have been of so delicate and confidential a nature, that, in his opinion, no account can or ought to be given of them, has acted in a manner inconsistent with his duty, and incompatible with those securities which the legislature has provided for the proper application of the public money"

On the question being put on the first resolution, MR PITT rose

Sir,-The honourable gentleman began his speech with professing an intention to submit the subject now under consideration to the house, without indulging in violent or inflammatory topics, and I am willing to allow that in the former part of it he adhered to his resolutions but I am sorry that in the conclusion it seems to have been altogether forgotten. In that part of his discourse, instead of addressing you with that calmiess and moderation which best become a criminal accusation, he appealed to the passions of lus audience, and ende woured to make an impression by the ma troduction of matter that could tend only to abuse the feelings and mislead the judgment. He artfully drew a picture of the burdens, under which the people of this country laboured, and connected with them the supposition that these buildens might have been increased by the practices on which he has expatiated. Now, Sir, in order to be entitled to employ such arguments, it is necessary to provo that such an aggravation of the alleged misconduct has actually If it shall appear that any irregularities or malversations have taken place, I admit that they are proper subjects of parliamentary discussion, but I must reprobate any attempt to mislead the house or the public, by clamours about losses which have never been incurred, inconveniences which have never been felt; and an increase of public burden which has never been expe-In point of fact, there is no allegation in the report, or even in the speech of the honourable gentleman, that any losses to the public have been sustained, there is no allegation that any defalcation, any mischief, any evil whatever, has accrued to the nation by the transactions now in question [A lond and tumultuous cry of hear! hear!" from the other side.] To what am I to impute this vociferation? I hope it is not intended to suppress, by clamours like these that freedom of speech that should prevail in this house particularly on so solemn an occasion as this, though I cannot but consider it a bad omen of fair and impartial examina tion that I am interrupted in this manner I cannot, however, suffer myself to be interrupted. To inflame the passions of men who are called on to pronounce upon guilt or innocence accord rng to the result of investigation, is inconsistent with every prin caple of justice and I cannot help observing that the honourable gentleman has endeavoured to give a certain degree of countenance to a species of merrepresentation of the most dangerous nature which has been with uncommon assiduity circulated out of doors. I allude to the insinuations that the seamen of the nevy by the carcumstances on which the honourable gentlemen has comment ed have been prevented from obtaining the due rewards of their tolls and dangers. Such representations are destitute of all founds. tion, and I have no doubt that the brave and mentorious class of men to whom they are directed, will reject them with indignation and dudain.

I must complain too that the honourable gentleman has at tempted to give a view of the case altogether erroneous, when he calls upon the house to pass sentence as upon persons already orther dend convicted. He has told us that Lord Melville and every other person implicated in the transactions detailed in the tenth report, had an opportunity of being fairly tried, and that questlons were put to them by which they might have proved their innocence. Now I must confidently maintain that, whatever informers gentlemen may think themselves justified in drawing from the statements in the tenth report, it cannot be called any thing but a trial. What in all judicial proceedings, particularly according to the constitution of this country so much the object of paregyric, comitiutes the essence of four trial but that the party should know the charge made against him; that he should hear and be allowed to cross-examine the evidence—that he should be

allowed to state his defence, and support it by evidence, and overthrow the accusation by new evidence and further explanation; and, above all, that he should never be compelled to criminate himself? In this case, however, every thing is absent that is essential to fair trial, a knowledge of the charge, opportunity to hear and controvert the evidence, &c &c are absent, and that alone is present which, by law, is inconsistent with fair trial, namely, that the party is supposed to criminate himself. Here the parties are examined as to their own guilt, without being acquainted with the charge, they are questioned as to facts, without knowing to what end their answers are to be employed, they are not suffered to produce evidence in their exculpation, nor permitted fully to meet specific charges -Besides, too, the very n. terrals of which the report is composed, are not necessarily such as to enable the house to understand them so fully, as to allow them to pronounce an impartial and intelligent decision. I admit at the same time that the subject is one of a most grave and solema nature, and that, although no loss nor even inconvenience can be alleged to have arisen from the transactions that have taken place, yet, if, in a great money department, irregularities have been committed, it may be the duty of the house to set a mark upon such proceedings. But whether in this case it be fit to do so. must depend upon a consideration of all the circumstances, which at present are not before us in the report. Till all those circumstances are investigated and ascertained, the house cannot be in a situation to form a fair opinion of the matter, far less can it be justified in coming to any vote of censure. I felt this before I came into the house this day, and the speech of the honourable gentleman has confirmed my opinion. The statements of the report are founded on documents which I defy any man to say do positively and of themselves prove that any man is criminal, far less can they enable us to ascertain the quantum of demerit, or the degree of severity with which it should be stigmatized. Such a the judgment I formed upon looking at the report itself, far more . must it be impossible for us to decide fairly now, when many of the honourable gentleman's arguments are founded upon the complicated materials, of accounts, very difficult even for the most skilful accountant to unwivel and which in one day cannot even be examined. Surely then the honourable gentleman calls upon us for sentence long before the cause is ripe for it.

. The honourable gentleman it is to be observed, though he opened the case with three charges, gradually varied his ground and at last concluded with telling us that he should call for the opinion of the house this might only upon one. Yet he admitted that the whole case was connected. What he proposed to post pone or abandon or change, he still pressed into the service as auspicion. This course however I am persuaded the house will by no means countenance. As to the point, whether Lord Mel villa participated in the profit of money employed for private ad vantage. I think it would have been more becoming had the honourable centleman, instead of bringing it in as matter of aggravation stated it as a separate charge because that is the point which must most nearly touch the feelings of all who are concerned in his lordship a reputation of all who feel any interest in the fate of men standing upon the trial of their guilt or innocence. I readily agree that the subject is one which deserves the most serious at tention of the house at is one which I am desirous they should investigate in the amplest mann r and that should be put in that train of examination which will enable us speedily to form such a judgment as duty and honour require. But the materials before us particularly as applicable to the charges now made are not sufficient to enable us to pronounce. I am sensible that there are many noints that ought to be farther inquired into a there are yearous points in which manifest errors exist. My object therefore is, that a speedy and complete investigation should take place.

The first charge dwelt upon by the honourable gentleman though not that on which he calls for an immediate resolution is that certain sums of money were applied to other than naval services. On this head I must say, that the whole case is not before us. Will the house be contented to pass judgment on the maked unexplained fact? Will they refuse to lequire what were the ancounstances under which the application took place? Can

it be denied that such a fact may exist in a vast variety of shades; that it may have been wanton, or it may have been necessary, that it may have been great or small, that it may have been done upon a responsibility by which the public cause was benefited in a most important manner? Will the house then refuse to inquire into those circumstances? Will they refuse to ascertain in what light the affair deserves to be viewed?—This surely must be obvious to every gentleman who he is me, and I put the case to show how absolutely necessary it is that a farther inquiry should take place, before we proceed further or pronounce any decision. In pursuing this statement I am satisfied that a select committee of this house is best calculated to prosecute such farther investigation as will prepare the house for an impartial decision. Allusion has been made to this transaction, and the name of a right honourable friend of mine * is mentioned in the report as having repaid to the treasurer of the navy certain sums lent by that department for other public services I must state freely, then, that I should be ashamed to say that I was ignorant of such a transaction having occurred I should, on the contrary, be inclined to give to the house an account of the circumstances of the affair, its consequences to the public service, and, conscious of having been actuated by no motive but an honest zeal for the public, I should have no hesitation to submit to the judgment of the house a transaction which, irregular and illegal as it may be, originated in no sinister views, and in their decision I should humbly acquiesce, whether it were of praise or consure. I am confident that the sum in question was repaid without loss or inconvenience to any department, and I can add too, that its application was under such circumstances as it would have been improper to disclose, for a considerable period at least after they had happened. I can say likewise, that the sum of 40,000l was two-thirds of the whole sum at any time diverted in this manner, and if there was no abuse or misapplication in this instance, I think it forms a sufficient presumption against any misapplication by Lord Melville in those other departments where he presided, and to the use of which the honourable gentleman thinks he might have, at his own discretion, diverted other

sums As to the charge of Lord Melvile connising at Mr Trotter turning the public money to his own advantage. I beg to have it understood that when properly investigated and all the circumstances explained. I am not inclined to say that connising at the application of the public money for the purpose even of an innocent profit to individuals without actual lose to the state is altogether to be justified. But our judgment will depend upon a complete knowledge of all the circumstances—will depend upon an inquiry into the mode of its employment, the probable danger, and the amount. The commissioners of naval inquiry however do not say that the issues of the treasurer or paymaster of the navy were greater than necessary or that the money impressed in his hands was not forth coming whenever it was swanted. In fact, nothing could accelerate those issues in such a manner as to in crosse the belance in the paymaster's hands at pleasure.

As to the risk to which the money employed by Mr Trotter was exposed it certainly does not appear that Lord Melville had any knowledge how it was applied. The honourable gentleman selects from a voluminous body of accounts, extremely intricate and difficult to unravel a variety of items, to shew that it was vested in different denominations of stock but he does not attempt to show that any loss or inconvenience arose to the public. I do not say indeed that the mere circumstance of no less having accrued is a justification; but if no loss did arise, it is a sufficient presumption that no great risk was incurred Under these circumstances it appears that many points stated in the report demand elucidation; and when I state one or two material errors, I am satisfied the house will see the impropriety of deciding upon evidence so imperfect. In their report the commissioners notice a circumstance to which they attach considerable importance They observe that considerable sums came into Mesers Couttes hands without pass, ing through the bank; and they insinuate they know not with what abuses or further risks this was connected. The sum which they suppose might have come into Mr Trotter's account at Coutts's without passing through the bank, they estimate at six millions, and they exemplify one million paid in on a particular day \ov,

I am informed, that in point of fact the million in question did come from the bank, that it was drawn by a draught under one of the regular heads of service, and brought by one of the clerks or messengers of the office to Messrs. Courts, and it was all paid away in the course of a few days, having been destined to incet a certain number of navy bills then in the course of payment of itself overthrows the statement of the commissioners, and affords refair presumption that in other points their representations would be corrected by further inquiry

With respect to the balance at any time in the hands of Messrs, Coutts, it is not easy, perhaps, to be ascertained, but even though the principle of placing it in any private banker's linids is not altogether to be justified, yet it must be material to know, if it was kept there from the greater facility of the current payments of the It is clear that at all events money must be drawn in the gross from the bank, to be paid in detail, as from the nature of naty payments very many of them could not be carried on by draughts on the bank. The commissioners have likewise fallen into an error, in stating that it was in the power of the paymaster to increase the balance in his hands, by conducting the soliciting of money from the treasury, so as to induce the different boards to which he acted as banker, the transport, navy, sick and hurt, &c sooner than it was wanted. But in this the phymaster's duty as wholly ministerial He obtains issues of money upon memorials from the respective boards, expressive of the sums they will require for the service of the month. Nor could his being tardy in his solicitation have the effect to make them apply sooner than the money was wanted. If he were so, his balance, instead of being increased would be diminished, and on the other hand, his diligence in soliciting could not procure him the additional balance, as in every case the amount of the issues must depend upon the estimates of the different boards, the heads of which must be guided in their demands by the evigencies of their respective services, The statement of the commissioners, therefore, makes against the conclusion they draw, nor, indeed, is there reason to believe that any stratagem of this sort was ever attempted.

tums. As to the charge of Lord Melvile connuing at Mr Trotter's tuming the public money to his own advantage. I beg to have it understood, that when properly investigated and all the circum stances explained. I am not inclined to say that consisting application of the public money for the purpose even of an innocent profit to individuals, without actual loss to the state, is altogether to be justified. But our judgment will depend upon a complete knowledge of all the circumstances—will depend upon an inquiry into the mode of its employment, the probable danger, and the amount. The commissioners of naval inquiry however do not say that the issues of the treasurer or paymaster of the navy were greater than necessary or that the money imprested in his hands was not forth-coming whenever it was wanted. In fact nothing could accelerate those issues in such a manner as to in crease the balance in the paymasters hands at pleasure

As to the nak to which the money employed by Mr Trotter was exposed it certainly does not appear that Lord Melville had any knowledge how it was applied. The honourable gentleman selects. from a voluminous body of accounts, extremely intricate and difficult to unravel a variety of items, to shew that it was vested in different denominations of stock; but he does not attempt to shew that any loss or inconvenience arose to the public. I do not say indeed that the mere circumstance of no loss having accrued is a justification but if no loss did anse it is a sufficient presumption that no great risk was incurred. Under these circumstances it appears that many points stated in the report demand elucidation; and when I state one or two material errors I am satisfied the house will see the impropriety of deciding upon evidence so im perfect. In their report the commissioners notice a circumstance to which they attach considerable importance. They observe that comiderable sums came into Messrs Couttes hands without pass, ing through the bank; and they immune they know not with what abuses or further risks this was connected. The sum which they suppose might have come into Mr Trotter a account at Couttes without passing through the bank they estimate at six millions and they exemplify one million pand in on a particular day \or,

I am informed, that in point of fact the million in question did come from the bank, that it was drawn by a draught under one of the regular heads of service, and brought by one of the clerks or messengers of the office to Messis. Courts, and it was all paid away in the course of a few days, having been destined to meet a certain number of navy bills then in the course of payment. This fact of itself overthrows the statement of the commissioners, and affords a fair presumption that in other points their representations would be corrected by further inquiry.

With respect to the balance at any time in the hands of Messrs, Courts, it is not easy, perhaps, to be ascertained, but even though the principle of placing it in any private banker's hands is not altogether to be justified, yet it must be material to know, if it was kept there from the greater facility of the current payments of the It is clear that at all events money must be drawn in the gioss from the bank, to be paid in detail, as from the nature of navy payments very many of them could not be carried on by draughts on the bank. The commissioners have likewise fallen into an error, in stating that it was in the power of the paymaster to increase the balance in his hands, by conducting the soliciting of money from the treasury, so as to induce the different boards to which he acted as banker, the transport, navy, sick and hurt, &c sooner than it was wanted But in this the paymaster's duty is wholly ministerial He obtains issues of money upon memorials from the respective boards, expressive of the sums they will require for the service of the month. Nor could his being tardy in his solicitation have the effect to make them apply sooner than the money was wanted. If he were so, his balance, instead of being increased would be diminished, and on the other hand, his diligence in soliciting could not procure him the additional balas in every case the amount of the issues must depend upo estimates of the different boards, the heads of which must be ed in their demands by the exigencies of their respective se The statement of the commissioners, therefore, makes again conclusion they draw, nor, indeed, is there reason to belie any stratagem of this sort was ever attempted.

430

In another point the commissioners have fallen into an error. It is understood that there are generally outstanding certain assignments which are entitled to prompt payment, and the money to answer them, as sinted by the commissioners of accounts, whose mentorious labours have been alluded to is entered to the account of the paymester or sub-accountant. The communicationers give a state of the balances at the bank and, making an estimate of the current payments, say that those balances amount in one benod to thirty three and in another to forty five days expenditure. whereas they assert that it need not be above ten or fourteen This average, however the communioners do not calculate fairly. as in the one case they take it not on the whole years of the period. and in the other take it on the most duade intercors periods of the term they include But this balance they bay, "do not after deducting assignments outstanding." Now these should be deducted as the payment is assigned and it depends on the party holding the anigument to receive it when he pleases. The unassigned balance should alone be looked to However upon e.amining the statement of the commissioners, even upon the principle on which they admit the average should be made what they estimate at thirty-three days is only the average expenditure of seventeen, and at the out of Lord Melvillos treasurership only fifteen or six teen and in some so low as eight days. Now, if on a point of this sort the commissioners have fallen into no less than four errors. It is evident that the accounts and documents must require a more minute examination and a select committee therefore appears to me best fitted to prepare for the house that accurate invesmention on which its decision should be grounded With regard to the charge of Lord Melville having participated in the profits derived from the employment of the public money it is particularly necessary that a more detailed examina tion should take place as it depends so much upon matters of account I had expected however that, after the solomn denial of Lord Mel ille on this sulject no suspicion of that kind would be any longer and sted upon Lord Melville has most expressly disclaimed his I aving knowingly or intentionally derived any prefit or advantage from Mr Trotter's application of public money. Whoever compares the questions put to Lord Melville with the letter of his lordship, must be sensible that he in the first instance declined to answer positively, because from the blending of the accounts the advances by Mr Trotter may have been from pubhe money in his hands. On the face of the accounts 100,000l 15 the whole amount of the advances to Lord Melville. that of all the sums of 160 millions which had passed through the hands of Lord Melville, every farthing has Leen applied to the purposes for which it was issued, and has been regularly accounted But if it is thought necessary to fix minutely the balances that may have existed at particular periods in the running account between Lord Melville and Mr Trotter, a minute examination is indispensable. When that takes place it will be found that of 100,000%. which, on the face of the account, was paid to Lord Melville, many of the draughts, though bearing the name of Lord Melville, were in reality payments for public services be made out, as I am informed it can, it will place this matter in a new light, and is of itself a conclusive argument for farther inquiry Let it be remembered too that, in drawing any inference from the accounts, it will be necessary to make allowance for the sums which Mr Trotter was in the habit of receiving for Lord Mclville, his salary as treasurer of the navy, as lord privy seal of Scotland, and other sums not appropriated there

These points present subjects of various and important investigations, which, till they be performed, it is inconsistent with every principle of liberality and justice, to state as the foundation of any suspicion that Lord Melville was in any manner connected with Mr Trotter in speculations of profit from the public money. As Lord Melville, however, disclaims any such understanding of mutual advantage, I trust that this charge of participation will be abandoned. Nay, if it should turn out that, in consequence of the blending of accounts, Lord Melville should unknowingly have received an advance of money which belonged to the public, I submit it to the candour of the house, or of any member, whether that circumstance could attach any stain of sordid metres to I and

Melville from which his character is so averse? I cannot believe that the house, that any individual could, not merely in consistency with the feeling of liberality, but the dictates of common sense be so unreasonable as to accuse on such narrow grounds Lord Melville of having been influenced by pitful considerations of personal profit.

Upon the whole, however as there are no materials before the house on which they can form a fair judgment in the parties accused have not had a fair trial have not enjoyed the right of hear ing the charges and meeting them by evidence and explanation as the conclusions passed in the house are many of them drawn from accounts detailed, and difficult to be unravelled which a committee can alone state with clearness and precision is the appearament of such a committee while it interposes little or no delay in the determination of this important subject, will enable the house to do justice at once to the country and to the parties accused. I shall conclude with moving. That a select committee be exponented to consider the tenth report of the commissioners of naval inquiry and the documents therewith connected that they examine the same, and report their opinion thereon to the house."

At the engretion of Mr Fox, who was desirous that the original motion should appear on the journals of the House, Mr Patt consected to shape his smeadment in a different form; and, instead of the resolution he had proposed, he moved the preview greater, indirecting that, should that be carried, he should then move for the committee he had mentioned.

The House at a late hour came to a division.

For the previous question \$16 Against it \$16

The numbers being thus equal, the Speaker gave his causes vote spainst Mr.
Plet smeadment. The original motion was then put and agreed to.

The unceceding resolutions were afterwards put mileties and carried in the affirmative without interruption until the elements; upon which Mr Pitt moved an amendment to feate out the cone using words has been guilty of a gress violation of the law and a high breach of duty " and t insert the words " has acced contrary to the intentions of the sidd acc.

This amendment, after some discussion, was withdrawn; Mr Pirt moving in its stend to insert after the word " for purposes of private interest or sma-

lumint," the viorex "to Mr Tretter," because, he argued, there was no proof one onless for that Lord Melville commed to the driving out of the money for the evaluation of the money for the evaluation of the money for

The varieties all numbers of by the transport of the manufactor of the William Pultane, and the transport of anicoded via read from the control of the question from them to the motion as it originally stool, at a speaker exerted that the type had is.

The transfering recentles are everyferward, preand carried ".

Auy 6, 1805.

If a Main ty's primer to turn hiere that the effect Mouse of Commonsthering been replaced to the House, Mr. Who had noved, "the the one ver be taken into considered on," intums in his meastern of of or sords moving an andress to be Mainery, praying him to order the name of ford Melville to be eral of from the privy county, and to dismine him from his pre-ence for tree.

Mr Pitt -

Before, Sir, the motion is put from the chair, I think it necessary for me to make a very fee ob creations, which appear to me of each a nature as will super ede the necessity of agitating the question at greater length, on the present occasion. When I interrupted the honourable gentlement, it was for the purpose of saying, that I had a communication to make to the house, which might probably render his motion unaccessary, that communication is, bir, that the object which the honourable gentlem in his in view, as already accomplished. I have felt it my duty to advise the erasure of Lord Melville's name from the list of his Majesty's privy counsellors, his Majesty has acceded to this advice, and that erasure will, on the first day that a council is held, take place

Having said thus much, I shall, with the permission of the house, say a few words on the circumstances under which I formerly resisted this proposition, and those under which I have felt myself bound to yield to it. The honourable gentleman has thought

^{*} In a debate on a subsequent night, these resolutions were ordered to be laid before his Majesty by the whole house

[†] Mr Whitbroad

hour in the second night's debate, rose and expressed his semiments as follows:—

Differing Sir as I do, from the honourable gentleman who proposed this motion, and differing also in many respects from several of those who have opposed it, I feel it necessary to state shortly, but distinctly the views, the motives and the grounds upon which that difference of opinion is founded. But in doing this, I cannot refrain from expressing, in the first instance, the very great satisfaction I feel at the temper and the moderation with which the motion was introduced, and with which for so many reasons I am particularly desirous that the discussion should be conducted. Happy am I also that the manner in which the subject has been introduced, but releved ine from the necessity of entering at large into those general principles and grounds which when the question wind discussed before I if it myself compelled to do.

I observe with pleasure, that the application made by the petitioners has not been advanced as a claim of right bu of expediency I observe also with equal pleasure that the honourable gentleman has argued it upon that ground not that I meen to infer that the honourable gentleman has abandoned the opinion he held upon that subject but that in the application of the principles which have governed his conduct he has thought proper to discuss the question upon the ground of expediency. That is the ground upon which I feel the measure ought alone to be discussed for I cannot allow that, at any time under any circumstances, or under any possible situation of affairs it ought to be discussed or enter toined as a claim or question of right. I Sir have never been one of those who have held that the term emancipation is in the smallest degree applicable to their peal of the few remaining penal statutes to which the catholics are still hable. But, possibly in my view of the grounds of expediency. I may think it to be much more contradistinguished from the question of right than the honourable gentleman does. He seems to consider that there is only a shade of difference between the expediency and the right whereas my view of the difference is broad, evident and fundamental I con

sider right as independent of circumstances, and paramount to them, whilst expediency is connected with circumstances, and, in a great measure, dependent upon them

With regard to the admission of the catholics to franchises, to

the elective franchise, or to any of those posts and offices which have been alluded to, I view all these points as distinctions to be given, not for the sake of the person and the individual who is to possess them, but for the sake of the public, for whose benefit they were created, and for whose advantage they are to be exercised. times, therefore, and upon every occasion, whether relating to the Roman catholic of the protestant dissenter, to the people of Ireland, or to the people of England, I have always, from a due 1egard to the constitution, been of opinion, that we are bound to consider, not merely what is desired by a part, but what is best and most advantageous for the whole And therefore it is, that I think it not sufficient to shew, that what is demanded is not likely to be prejudicial, but that it is proper to take a comprehensive riew of all the circumstances connected with it, whether they relate to the time at which the measure is proposed, the manner in which it is discussed, or the effect that is likely to follow from the discussion. That, Sir, is my view of contemplating the propriety of acceding to the wishes of the catholics, or of refusing them. was upon that principle that I felt satisfaction in the repeal of those laws against the catholics which have been abolished, and from the abolition of which I certainly am not one who infers that danger to the country, with which some gentlemen seem to be so deeply impressed But, deeply as I felt that satisfaction, I also telt that in no possible case previous to the umon could the privileges now demanded be given, consistently with a due regard to the protestant interest in Ireland, to the internal tranquillity of that kingdom, the frame and structure of our constitution, or the probability of the permanent connexion of Ireland with this country. It is true, that after the union, I saw the subject in a different light, but whilst that event was in contemplation I did state, as the honourable gentleman says, that the measure would make a material difference in my opinion, but he has also stated, what is very

true, that I did not make a di unct pledge. On the contrary, I believe the line of argument I took was that if it should be thought right to give what the catholics required it might be given after the union with more enfety to the empire or if it were though proper to refuse giving it, that it might then be refused without producing those disastrous consequences which might have been apprehended before the union. I come, then to the present disrussion perfectly free and unfettered. I certainly was of opinion, that und 'r an un'ted parliament those privileges might be granted under proper guards and conditions so as not to produce any dan ger to the established church or the protestant constitution. And I remain this day of that opinion, and I still think, if from other circumstances there was 10 objection to complying with the demands of the eatholics and if by a wish they could be carried into effect. I own I see none of those dangers which have been urged by some gentlemen nor do I think that the introduction of a certain proportion of catholics into the imperial parliament would be likely to be productive of any influence or effect detrimental or injurious to the welfare of the state or the safety and security of the constitution.

But, Sir in delivering this frank opinion I do not mean wil fully to that my yes to this conviction that a catholic however honourable his intentions may be must feel auxious to advance the interests of his religion it is in the very nature of man he may disclaim and renounce this wish for a time but there is no man who is at all acquainted with the operations of the human heart who does not know that the catholic must hel that an rety whenever the power and the opportunity may be favourable to him But if these guards and conditions to which I have alluded had been applied and which, could my wishes have been accomplished it would have been my endearour to have applied I firmly believe no danger would have existed and no injury could have been apprehended. I thought, so on grounds different from those which have been stated by others not because as enthalics they had been engaged in any of the scenes preceding the rebellion. I do not mean, however, to say, that the catholics were not

engaged an it in greater numbers for the reasons that have been *tated .- I go further - though proceed principles were the founaution of the ret like i, yet I do not mean to deny, that the influence of the prioris themselves, tunted with jucobin principles, might not line aggravated the cvil, though they were not the cruse of n My idea was not to upply tests to the religious tenets of the catholics, but tests applieable to what was the source and found stron of the evil, to render the priese, instead of making them the in truments of poisoning the minds of the people, deprodent in some sort upon the government, and thes links, as it were, between the government and the people. That would have been a wise and a comprehensive system, that would have been the system which I should have tell it to be my wish, and thought it to have been my duty, to have proposed. I never thought that it would have been wise or prodent to have thrown down rudely or druptly the guards and knees of the constitution, but I did think, that if the system I hase alluded to had been deemed proper to be adopted, it ought to have been accompanied with those checks and guards, and with every regulation that could have given additional respect and influence to the established church, to the support and protection of the protestant interests, and to the encouragement of every measure that could tend to propagate and sprend the example of the profesiant religion These were the general views and intentions I entertained. And if, Sir, it had been possible to have found that general concurrence which I so anxiously desired, if I could have carried them into effect in the manner I have stated, if persons of more ability and experience than myself would have digested them, I am still inclined to think, that, instead of being attended with those dangerous consequences which some gentlemen apprehend, they would have afforded increased security to the church, and have been favourable to the 'welfare of the state, to the stability of the constitution, and to the general strength and interest of the empire.

But when I state this, I must also remind the house, that I considered the period of the union as the period fevourable for the adoption of such a measure, not because any pledge had been

} F

given but because there was a greater likelihood that the measure might be adopted after the union than before it. The period was favourable also on another account favourable from the recent impressions that might be expected to be made on min a minds of the probability of increased security from the union; from being amalgamated and incorporated with the impenal legislature remote from the dangerous influence that might at times be supposed to operate upon, and overawe the local legislature of Ireland. Sir I repeat that if, under the recent impression of these circum stances. I could have brought forward the measure as the first fruits of the union. I should have hoped there might have been a disposition to have received it without rehanding those religious animosities, or reviving those contending interests, between catholic and protestant, which whenever they do exist are most adverse to the welfare the prosperity and the happiness of the state.

This was the view in which I considered this most important subject these were the objects which I washed to attain but circumstances, unfortunate circumstances, in my opinion rendered it at that pen id impossible to bring forward the measure in the way in which I then hoped it might be practicable to bring it for ward-in the only way in which I think it ought at any time to be brought forward-in the only way in which it could be brought forward with advantage to the claims of these whose fetition is now under consideration or with any hope of reconciling all differences of burying all animosities and of producing that perfect union in the advantages of which gentlemen on all sides so entirely concur What the circumstances were to which I allude as has ing at that time prevented me from culling the attention of parlin ment to the subject, in the manner and with the prospects which I wished it is not now necessary for me to state nations which I thought it my duty to give I gave at that timemore I do not feel myself now called upon to give and nothing shall induce me to enter into further details upon this subject, I shall therefore now content my-clf with status, that the cir cumstances which made me feel that it was then improper to bring forward this question and which led it the resignation of the thep

administration, have made so deep, so lasting an impression upon my mind, that, so long as those circumstances continue to operate, I shall feel it a duty imposed upon me not only not to bring forward, but not in any manner to be a party in bringing forward or in agreeing this question

Having said thus much, Sii, upon the opinions I then entertained, and upon the principles which then, and I trust always will, govern my conduct, I think it right to add, that the whole of the plan which I had formed, the whole essence of the system which I meant to have proposed, was a measure of peace, of union, of conciliation—a measure which I did hope would have had the effect of softening down all religious differences, of extinguishing all animosities, and of uniting all men of both religions in one common zeal for the preservation of the constitution, and for the general happiness and prosperity of the empire But, desirous as I then was of proposing this measure, and sanguine as I was in my hopes of its success, nothing could be further from my intention than to bring it forward if there did not appear a rational prospect of its being carried, (not with unanimity, for upon such an important subject that I knew was impossible) but with general concurrence, because I knew, that, if it were brought forward under other cucumstances, instead of producing the effect I wished, it would only tend to revive those ammosities which I, wished to extinguish, to aggravate those difficulties which I wished finally to 1emove Not being able, from the encumstances to which I have alluded, to propose the measure which I thought likely to be productive of such beneficial effects, I did then form the determination not to piess it at any period, unless I thought it could be done with that prospect of success, and with that general concurrence, without which it can never be beneficial. When I use the term general concurrence, I am sure I shall not be supposed ever to have been so visionary as to imagine that a question of such immense importance, and upon which men's feelings and passions are so strongly excited, could ever be carried with perfect unanimity, but I mean with that general concurrence which would have enabled us to gratify the wishes of one party, without

[MAY 18

awakening the feats or exciting the icalousy of the other. What ever gentlemen may think of the abstract rights of the petitioners or of the expediency of complying with the prayer of their petition. I am sure they will agree with me in thinking, that the chance of extinguishing all those animastics which have unfortunat ly prevailed and of producing that prifect union which we all with must depend upon the combination of circumstances under which the measure is brought forwar! Not having many degree changed my opinion upon this suffect regarding it in the annel point of view I did then, and retaining the same feelings. I must may if at at the present moment I think I see little chance. I should rather ray I see no chance of its being carried at all cer-

tainly not in that way which I meant and in which way only I think it can be productive of real advantage to the pentioners, or of benefit to the state I mean as a measure of peace and con

ciliation If then Sir the question is not now to be carried I think that to agitate it under such circumstances will only tend to revive those descentions which me wish to extinguish, to awaken all that warmth and actimony of discussion which has heretofore prevailed and to excite these hopes which, if they are to be damppointed may be productive of the greatest mischlef. As to the chance of tarrying the question at present with general concurrence of cratifying the catholics without offending the protestants, of confirm ing the affections of the one without raisin, the suspicions and exciting the fears of the other not only in Ireland but in England, I confess there appears to me to be none. I hament it as much at any man can do I lament that the impression which now prevails has taken place many circumstances have combined to produce that impression all of which are to be deplored. I ask any gentleman whether ha does not believe looking to the opinions of the members of the established church of the nobility of the men of property of the middling and respectable classes of society-I ask him whether he does not believe loo in at the sentiments of the mass of the protestants of this country and of Ireland that there is the greatest repugnance to this measure, and that even if it could now be carried, so far

from producing concidention and union, it would tend, on the contrury, to di appoint aid the prespects of advantage which under other circumstances would be derived from it? Even those gentlemen who have argued the most strongly in favour of this measure have candelly confessed, that, in the present state of men's minds, it is not likely to be carried. I am sure I shall not be constradicted when I eas, that ever since the union this subject has in n very considerable degree attracted public attention, and that of I to, notwitist maing the other events which have occupied the public mind, it has been the subject of much conversation both in public and priente, particularly since the catholic petition has been presented, and since the honourable gentleman has given notice of his present motion, and I should disguise my real sentime is if I did not say, that at present the pre-ailing sentiment is streng's ignost this measure, what encumstances may occur to e creame that sentiment it is not for me to predict or conacrime.

In speaking of the probability of carrying this question at this time, I cannot but advert to what fell from the honourable gentle. man who epould the debate this day respecting the decision which took place list night in another place. I know perfectly well that no man can mention the decision of mother branch of the legislature for the purpose of influencing, much less of controlling, the decision of this house. I know there are many instances where differences of opinion have prevuled between this and the other house of parliament, in which the sentiments of this house, in concurrence with the public opinion properly expressed, have ulum ately prevuled. I am as far as any man, Sir, from wishing not to hold high the undoubted privileges of this house, but if I am right in my general view of this subject, I think the determination to which I am alluding ought not to be laid out of our consideration, because it goes to the very resence of the measure itself, I mean as far as relates to the practical advantages that are to be derived from it. Supposing, then, that we were all agreed as to the propriety of granting the projer of this petition, it is not our duty to consider what bad effects might be produced by the

marked difference which would then subject between this house and the other branch of the legislature upon this subject? carried at all it ought, as I have already stated to be carried with general concurrence and when an end avour is made to carry a measure the object of which is to conciliate one part of his Majesty's subjects, care must be taken not to shock the feel ings of a much larger class of the community. Under such cir. cumstances, when such an opinion has been given by another branch of the legislature we are bound to take it into our coun deration in deciding upon the line of conduct we ought to adopt because this is a subject in which no map can act wisely or prudently who acts entirely from his own views or his own feel ings It is his duty to his country to the catholics and to the community to look at it in a combined point of view to consider all the probable effects, which the carrying of it (if it were practi cable) with such a strong sentiment prevailing against it or which the failing to carry it may produce. Upon this part of the subject there is one point on which I wish to say a few words.

It has been urged by some gentlemen that we ought to go into a committee whatever we may resolve to do at last; and some of the minor grie ances under which the catholics are said to labour have been pointed out upon which it is said there can be no difference of opinion on the propriety of granting them relief-such as the circumstance of catholics engaged in a military life coming over to this country and who are thereby exposed to the open tion of the test act to which they are not at home. Another cur cumstance which has been mentioned is that the catholics in the army are not only not to be allowed to have man performed, but they are compelled to attend protestant worship Sir, I contend that these points are much too unimpertant to induce us to go into a committee upon a petition which embraces the whole of this im portant subject and which excites the hopes and fears of all the subjects of the united king lone. I amin repeat that I do lament that this subject has now been brought forward. I lament for the sake of the eatholies themselves; I lament for the general int rests of the country, that gentlemen have thought proper to a ltate

this subject at this moment. That gentlemen have a perfect right to exercise their judgment upon this subject I do not deny; I do not complain of their conduct. I only lament that they have felt it their duty to bring it forward at this period, and under the present circumstances, when, if they were to succeed, the consequences would not be such as we all desire, and, if they fail, they may be such as we must all regret.

And now, Sir, let me ask the honourable gentleman, who has brought forward the present motion, and who fauly avows that his object is that every thing should be conceded to the catholics; let me ask the honourable gentlemen who supported the motion last night with such a splendom of eloquence, what effect this is likely to produce upon the catholics themselves? When the honourable member, or the honomable mover of the question, talks of the effect of disappointing hopes that have been raised, I trust they have over-rated and exaggerated it. But one of these gentlemen did state, that amongst the possible causes of a religious feeling having mixed and operated in the late rebellion, might be enumerated the hope held out by Lord Titzwilliam, that the claims of the catholics would be taken into consideration. They allege the disappointment of that hope as one of the causes that might have tended to produce the rebellion. If that be then conviction, what must they think who wish to go into a committee upon the petition, and yet are of opinion that they still reserve to them. selves the freedom of rejecting it altogether, or of rejecting it in its most important parts? I submit this to the consideration of the house shortly, but distinctly, it rests upon grounds so obvious and so strong, that it will be taking up your time unnecessarily, to debate upon them. I submit this with a wish that the measure when brought forward will be carried with a general concurrence. But the circumstances which have hitherto lendered it impossible for me to uigo and press it, make it impossible for me to uige and piess it now, feeling as I do, that to press it and to fail, or to press it and even carry it with such a strong opposition, are alternatives, both of them so mischievous that it will be diffi-

[#] Mr Grattan.

cult to decide between them. Seeing, Sir, what are the opinions of the times, what is the situation of men a minds, and the sentiments of all descriptions and classes, of the other branch of the legislature and even the prevailing opinion of this house. I feel that I should act contrary to a sense of my duty and even meon sistently with the original ground upon which I thought the mea sure ought to be brought forward if I countenanced it under the present circumstances or if I heutated in giving my decided negative to the house going into a committee

The motion was necessived :

446

Ata 114 None 116

June 14, 1805

Ma. Whithread, in pursuance of a notice he had given of a motion relative to the conduct of Mr Put in advancing 40,000/, to Mesers, Boyd, Bens field, and Co. in 1796, this day moved the following resolutions:-

1st. " That in September 1706, Mesers, Boyd Benfield, and Co. bears then contractors for two loans in the progress of payment, did represent to the right honourable William Pitt and the right honourable Lord Viscount Melville their inshilsty to make good an instalment, falling due on the 9th day of September 1790, on account of the general embarramments at that time, affecting both public and private credit, and the particular line of conduct adopted at the bank of limiting their accommodations in the way of discount."

ad, "That the right bonourable William Plit being impressed, as stated by him, with the belief of the importance and urgent necessity with a view to emential public interests, of gramting relief to the said Mesars Royd, Benfield, and Co. for the purposes of making good the said instalment and no other means recyculing themselves by which much public mischief might be prevent ed, and having understood from the right honourable Lord Viscount Melville the treasurer of the payy that the rum of 40,000l which, together with other came, had been second from the exchequer and placed to the credit of the sald Lord Viscount Melville, at the bank of Envland as treasurer of the pary for navy services might be spared without inconvenience provided importainable securities were obtained for the repayment of the same within a short period, did consent to the advance of the sum of 40,0000, which had been

i seed for any service, as aforested to the said house of Loyd, Benfield, and Co."

gd "That it appears to this Ho co, that sufficient error is a comprehenced for the exprandment of the said sum of opens, that there afterwards repaid by an own so the latter's high a sire titled in Junuary and", and that no material a transfer to the side of Morra Boys, But 11, and Co."

git the introduction to the term of in and of the air transform appears to 1 to be in process, and that in the of a definity for the person concerned in a difference on Lathous of an ed."

Min Para to ear or as "here clutto american i

I rem the interacte coanceron a lach the main topies, infroduced by the honor, the gentletain telephis just sat down, here with trivilly I consist. Say but he lide mass to till the first incment to lay before you the defer es and justification I hold to be necessary, and which to all earlid liberal, and intelligent minds, I trust vill appear to be full and complete. I shall en leacour to tollow, as nearly as possible, the statement of the horourable gentleman, but neither the honourable gentleman nor the house can expect me to follow him in all the details it has occurred to him to introduce. The honourable gentleman professes not to wish to mix with this aiscussion my thing incongruous, and, as if his profession were only designed to keep out of yew the reality of his purpose, he occupies the house with considerations in no manner involved in the exact question before us. Pursuing this mode of discussion, the honourable gentleman has given you a long speech, much of which I am, however, relieved from doing otherwise than barely noticing, since it embraced topics more properly of a general political nature and character. In treating the present charge, then, we surely have nothing to do with the merit of this or that administration, the conduct of the war, our naval or military establishments, our arm ments, the armaments in the ports of our enemy, the fleets of France, where they are or how occupied, with the efficiency or economy of the board of admiralty under Lord St. Vincent, his successor, or any other. Another day is appointed us for the consideration of these weighty

JUNE 14,

affairs when that day arrives, I shall not be found wanting in readiness to give my opinion to the house

448

On one of the desultory topics introduced without any very obvious reason for their introduction. I will make a few observe trons The honourable gentleman detests the money market, and m telling us how strongly he is disgusted with Change Alley carries us to Mark Lane To show how paltry and poor a place is the stock exchange bow unworthy as the mart of the great money transactions of the empire he gives us a view of the corn and hop markets, describes the purity of the dealers there and extols those branches of human industry connected with them Sir I shall not be suspected of undervaluing these things the corn market and the hop market can no doubt, boast men of the greatest industry and int grity. I am very far from saving that the opulence of which the honourable gentleman boasts, is no recommendation of the virtues which he would claim or that the wealth which is acquired in the corn and hop markets is not a bous for the fortunes of families such as to merit applicase. But the honourable gentleman should not quarrel with all wealth but the wealth of corn and hops.

Sir I think it will strike every body that the honoumble gen tleman has aspersed a description of men, as respectable as a body as any in the state. Does then the honourable gentle man really imagine the we here in a British house of commons will join in a libel on the money market of England? It would be a libel on ourselves to do so. He may think the corn and hopmarkets utterly free from stant and so may I but those markets thenselves would probably not long survive the downfall of the money market. Certainly nothing could be more injudicious than the attack of the honourable gentleman on the market. No truly called tened and honest legislature would begin projects of national inchoration by lepreclating the money market, where to hirge a part of the money transactions of this country are curred on and where only such interchange of modes to quicken the circulati n of our fluting capital takes place as must be quita unavoidable, and laudable as unavoidable in the administrative

of one branch of that wealth which distinguishes this nation from any other in Europe. And are we to brand the money market, because the name is too vulgar for the attic taste of the honourable gentleman, or in sound does not agree to the cadence of his fastidious ear? Why, Sir, when the question is, would you overthrow the money market, you, in truth, ask whether public or private credit shall exist, not whether it is a market low, sordid, and disgusting, frequented by none who do not invade some moral principle, or betray some social duty. The money market is to a commercial nation, what the heart is to man.

It is true, that the honourable gentleman himself admits that circumstances have transpired to exculpate me, with regard to the matters to which I allude. I suppose it is imagined that I mean the communication of Mr. Raikes. Certainly, Sir, I do feel that that communication, such as it was, any more than observations of the honourable gentleman upon it, ought not to be passed over by me unnoticed The honourable gentleman confesses himself satisfied with the explanation I gave to the select committee, of the circumstances mentioned by Mi Railes, but where the house has been called on upon another, and a most distinguished occasion, to view the communication of Mr Raikes as implicating me in very little short of criminal negligence, I will not suppose such injustice as to apprehend, that they will bear with me impatiently in the few words I am to address to them on this point. We are told that my explanation satisfies the honourable gentleman, but when the honourable gentleman gives a caution to the house, not too precipitately to exculpate me, as was the case on the night of the 8th of April last, I would recommend to the honourable gentleman to use a little more caution in future, that he would be a little more reserved, a little more slow to blame From the manner in which the honourable gentleman treated this part of the subject in the beginning, the house will be aware that such matters ought to be reserved to future judgment, and never decided on until examined

As to the other circumstances, I shall say a few words. The honourable gentleman thinks it additional matter of blame in my

friends, that he understands some of them have not been of opinion that the communication of Mr Rankes was of such a nature as ought to induce me to take any very marked step, it being un official. Now Sir I do not mean to remark on the communication itself yet it is very natural that where an objection is made to the supposed neglect of a communication the degree of authorticity and character (I do not mean character as to the individual but the public capacity) of the person making the communication should be taken into view Whether a communication be official or only personal will surely make a diff rence as to the weight of impression. The communication was made by Mr. Raikes, not as governor of the bank not on his own personal account not accompanied with documents, not a report not an application to stop an unapproved practice yet all those circumstances were requisite to warrant me in stating such a communication to the house; and if none of those circumstances did occur the house has not to blame me The house sees that I did enquire: and however I may now regret that I did not enquire more fully and more particularly than I did yet from the circumstances what the honourable gentleman could impute to me as negligence will I think, to candid minds, appear excusable

In order to judge of this matter fairly I am sure the house will think that we ought to try the merits by what was before me at the time and not with a view to any thing which may have subsequently transpired. It appears by the evidence, that I did not know of the lodging of money at Coutts. The honourable gentleman thinks it angular that, in conversing on this subject with apy Lord Melville. I find forgot the provinces of the act of parliament. Now if the honourable gentleman will recollect what passed in the committee of which he was a member he will find that what I sail was not that I did not know but that I did not advert to the provision as to the bank of England. On the other hand, as to what did pass. I own, Sir I have my doubts both with regard to the letter and even the spirit of the law, that I was not income tent with the act of parliament to draw money from the bank and lodge it in the hands of a private banker if

done specifically for naval services. Be this as it may, it is not fair to argue that, because I might not be of a particular opinion as to the construction of the act, I did not know the law.

With respect to Mr Jellicoc, from my share in that transaction, it is a subject into which I need not enter largely same circumstances had happened again, I would have done the same thing The representations were most unequivocal. I could not but think that Lord Melville ought to be released from the default of M1 Jellicoe, and there was enough before the treasury to justify the release I will state the ground why I was then, and am now, of that opinion It did appear that Mr Jellicoe was an old servant of the public, and a respectable man a person to whom there was no reason to think that Lord Melville had any partiality, and it was evident that his lordship was not unduly partial to him Now, whilst I would not extenuate or underrate the crime of misapplication of the public money, a crime to be abhorred in itself, of which when fully proved, perhaps the punishment, in certain circumstances, cannot be too great, yet I will not blame Lord Mclville, knowing that Jellicoe had been a respectable man, and inveigled into the malversation of which he was guilty. On discovering that he had contracted a balance, Lord Melville, who is known to no one that does not know that he can feel as exquisitely as any man alive for the distresses of others, commiscrating the situation of Mr. Jellicoe, forbore the use of the rigours in his power He knew that if he did not do so, a whole family would be ruined, without the public being remunerated for its losses The ruin of a family, the probability of repayment, by giving Jellicoe time to arrange his affairs, and otherwise the manifest loss which the public would sustain, if time and accommodation were not given him, afforded motives too strong for Lord Melville not to wish to give the unfortunate man every practicable opportunity to extricate himself from the pains, if not from the disgrace of his malversation The situation of his family, the state of his affairs, the dictates of humanity, induced Lord Mclville to treat him with whatever lemity was possible, and I am sure no member of this house would wish to dis-

by a committee of this house, and properly investigated by them The honourable gentleman supposes that the former loan in 1795 was a close loan. The fact is, that this was an open loan, but another loan of eighteen millions was wanted in December in the same year which was a close loan. And why was it close? It was because the house of Boyd and Benfield having purchased the former loan, it was contrary to agreement, and inconsistent with the public faith that another open loan should be contracted for ull the instalments of the former were paid up. There was another smaller loan of seven millions and a half required in April 1796 and this was a close one for the same reason. The house of Boyd and Benfield had the first loan because they were the highest bidders, and they had the others because we had no right to re sort to any other persons. This is the real state of the transac tion which the house will probably recollect. But, Sir it is needless to dwell at any length on this subject, because it has al ready been sufficiently investigated by a committee of this house and that committee made a report which produced a resolution that, with the consent of the house. I shall take the liberty to read It appeared there Sir, that I was the first who resorted to the method of contracting for loans by competition for the very purpose of rendering it impossible that they should be perverted and made the instruments of conferring favour on any person. But when in this instance the practice was d parted from there were strong suspecions that there was favour in the case and a great clamour was raised on the occasion The strictest scrutiny was therefore instituted into the affair and the result was that the house came to the following resolution -[Here Mr Pitt read from the journals a resolution of the house to the following effect]-" Resolved that it appears to this house that the practice of procuring loans by open competition, established by the chancellor of the exchequer has been productive of very great advantage to the public but that here it could not be applied in consistency with the particular circumstances of the case and the public faith pledged to individuals" The Sir was the epinion of the house upon a transaction which is now conjured up

of favour to certain persons, on account of their being members of parliament, by whom I was at that time supported. I trust, however, the house is convinced, that I was actuated by no such motives.

Why, then, Sir, as to the Hamburgh bills the honourable gentleman talks as if there had been something peculiarly mysterious But this too was inquired into, and found to be nein that affair cessary for the public service. The honourable gentleman has read resolutions, stating merely the fact, but it was a waste of the time of the house to enter upon any that did not lead to a practical conclusion. The only reason for resolving upon facts, is the practical conclusion to which they may lead The resolutions read by the honourable gentleman at the time of issuing these Ham. buigh bills, were designed as the ground-work of a strong censure of the practice. The question was put on these the ayes went forth-[reading from the journals]-tellers, Mr Jekyll, and the honourable gentleman, Mr Whitbread, with whom eight persons concurred in opinion -[A laugh]-It is somewhat singular after this, that the honourable gentleman should again advert to these bills. There has been lately an occasion when he thought the opinion of a smaller majority of much more consequence. Now, Sir, the honourable gentleman seems to insinuate, that this loan was made to the house of Boyd and Benfield, as a return for their assistance with respect to the Hamburgh bills. But what are the facts of the They are briefly these the house of Boyd and Benfield had contracted for two loans, the one of eighteen millions, in Dccember 1795, and the other in April 1796, and one of the instalments was due on the very day in which the accommodation of the 40,000l was requested, at the time, the house of Boyd and Benfield were the principal holders of these loans

But the honourable gentleman said, that they might have sold them early, and by that means have made a great advantage of them. But the fact is, that they had not sold them, and that a great part still remained in their hands. Under these circumstances, when there was an evident distress from what the ultresses

called a scarcity of money when no money could be procured even on good seconty when government securities and East India securities could not be turned into money --- under these circumstances, which were known to be facts they said that they could not pay the instalment unless the 40,000/ which they remured was advanced to them since no money could be ruised on good sccurity. It was impossible in such a case to refuse the accommodation required without the most sensus muchief to the public. Now Sir, the evidence of Mr Drummond who was one of the partners in the house of Boyd and Benfield, may have contributed to mulcad some. When he was first examined, he declared that he was not conscious of any embarrassment in the house at that time or of any extraordinary difficulty in procuring money But it afterwards appeared that he mutook the question, which he supposed to refer to the period of the loan in 1795 When the matter was explained to him he did distinctly state that the house la boured in 1796 under very great embarmssment, and that it was next to impossible to procure money in any way whatever But Sir the matter does not rest on his evidence alone It is in the recollection of every person that there did prevail a very great difficulty in the money market at that time; that there were some apprehensions of an insurrection in Ireland and a great run on the northern banks, which led to the necessity of stopping the cash payments of the bank of Fugland. It is well known, that there was a stagnation in commerce, and a committee investigated all these circumstances, and confirmed the existence of the facts by their report. But in addition to this we have the authority of an honourable gentleman. a member of this house whose opinion on this subject mu t be of the greatest weight; one whom the house curnot disbelieve as possessing the best means of information having acted in the offices of director and governor of the bank. He knows that money could not be procured at that time even on good security; and therefore government was under the necestity of accepting those securities and of advancing the sum required

But then we are told, that the house of Boyd and Benfield might have sold stock, if they had any scrip at that time. If they had done to, Sir, it is well known that they must have done it at a very great disadvantage. They must have sold as a very large discount, and increased their embarrassments so much as to have rendered But the mischief would not be confined to them incurable themselves alone such quantity of stock brought into the market would have occasioned a tall that would have perhap runned many of the other contractors, who had stock upon their hands, and a heavy blow would be given to the most considerable mercantile houses. The consequence, therefore, of refusing to advance the 40,000%, would have been, that a new loan must have been contracted for on very disadvantageous terms indeed to the public. The nation then must have sustained by the refusal a tri genter loss than that of the 10,000/ even supposing that it had been lost, which however could not be, since security for the money was lodged in the hands of government

But this, Sir, is not all. People may, perhaps, differ as to the propriety of giving money to foreign courts. The honourable gentleman, however, has admitted, that the question here is to be considered with a view to the opinions of those who were then at the Boyd and Benfield were then employed in transhead of affairs mitting the loan to the Emperor of Germany, in support of a cause in which we thought the salvation of Europe and of this country When disturbances then were apprehended in Ireland: when the run took place on the northern banks, which led to the measure of stopping the payment of cash at the bank, when the affairs of the country were in this critical situation, -was that a moment when the minister of this country who hoped to deserve the confidence of this house, could suffer any consideration, any personal responsibility to stand in the way of a measure on which the fate of the country might depend? I know the candour of the house, and I trust they will think that I have not described cen we Yet, whatever may be their opinion, I shall bow with respect to But, at the same time, no appiel ension of artinalty, not even the displeasure of this house, which I should read

the greatest calamity that could befal one except one, and that is the remorse of conscience which I should feel if I allowed any fear of personal danger to deter use from acting for what I considered the salvation of my country could prevent me from thing the measures which I did take on the occasion to which I am advert my These Sir were the circumstances of the case this was the situation in which I stood and all are borne out by the history of that period which must be in the recollection of beer one.

The honourable gentleman thinks I should have made a more particular inquiry into the circumstances of the houses of Boyd and Benfield But this, Sir, is nothing to his motion in the pretent instance as he says that the insolvency of the house might have been prevented by other means. However I do not wish to take any advantage of that circumstance. I know that they were embarrassed at the time but at the same time no particular inquiry was called for because Boyd came to me with securities in his hand and it was therefore natural to conclude that he was embar russed not from a failure of effects but from the peculiar circum stance that money could not be raised upon good securities. This was a notorious fact at the time. If he had come to me merely with a general assertion of his solveney, then I allow that it would liave been encumbent on me to have examined more particularly But when on the contrary he came with unexceptional le securi ties, that completely altered the case. In such a situation, when the safety of the country was in various ways connected with the affair when the instalment was to be paid on that very day could I heatate to crant the accommodation which seemed to be called for by unavoidable circumstances? What if I had said that I could not grant the request immediately; that I must appoint a committee consisting among others of Lord Clenbergie who was so much talked of by the honourable gentleman in order to exa mine into the matters which Be , d and Benfield were so desirons to conceal and by these means d Liv rehel till the evil became incurable-would this be a mide of proceeding to be justified in my situation? The house I trust will not be of that opinion

He says that I might by a littl attention have discovered the

state of the house of Boyd and Benfield, and he has adverted to letters from the parties But this soit of recrimination, contained in the letters and printed pamphlets of parties in that situation, are documents that can very little be depended upon. I at that time thought the house in no danger of insolvency, and it was impossible I could suppose that there existed any reason for an inquity, when good security was offered for the money I have been the more anxious to state this, as the honourable gentleman seemed to insinuate, that I might have favoured the house of Boyd and Benfield in particular. Now, it is fortunate for me that circumstances came out in evidence before the committee, that throw some light on the transaction It does appear that Boyd and Benfield received the loan under the sanction of the bank governors who were present, and if there were any doubts as to the solvency of any house, I was accustomed to take their opinions One instance in particular I recollect of one house, to which, on account of some of its connexions. I might be supposed partial, if I had ever allowed partiality to interfere with my duty, which was rejected on a doubt of its solvency having arisen. In the same manner the house of Boyd and Benfield would have been rejected, had any doubts been entertained of its solvency, for I trust that no circumstance of my life can justify the supposition that I would allow fayour to any individuals to stand in the way of my public duty. The opinion of the solvency of Boyd and Benfield did not test on my opinion solely It was only in 1798, that Mr Thornton first entertained some doubts of its ultimate solvency, which he communicated to me in the spring of 1799. If the circumstance was only then for the first time known to the governors of the bank, is it surprising that it should not have been known to me three years before? When Boyd and Benfield stated facts, which I knew to be true, and which have shaken the credit of the best house in the city, could I be justified in refusing an accommodation that might serve to prevent so many evils?

Under these circumstances, I shall certainly submit with resignation to any penalty which the house may think I deserted thought myself justified in what I did, though I know that it was

arregular but there is no atonement to which I would not submit rather than abandon the principle by which I was actuated I shall bow to the decision of the house, because I am sure that whatever that may be, it will be right. If they should think that I deserve censure let it be inflicted but while I submit to their resolution, I cannot but feel that, under the same circumstances, I would again act in the same manner I trust, however that the house will do me justice in the motives by which I was actuated and conclude that my conduct, though irregular was both reasons. ble and expedient. When it is asked why under those circum stances I did not immediately come to parliament and ask for a bill of indemnity I must answer, that if I had done so, I should have completely counteracted and defeated the very purpose for which this advance was made. If I had immediately thought it necessary to disclose the whole transaction to parliament and the country the disclosure would have done much more muchief to that commercial house than the accommodation could have done them service. I believe it must be apparent to every gentleman in the house, that the duclosure could not have been made with any degree of honour propriety or delicacy so long as that house supported a flourishing reputation and its solvency had not been doubted But when did the failure of Boyd and Benfield take place? It was not until the end of the year 1799, or the begin ning of the year 1800 which was three years after the accommodation had been so granted. If for the three years preceding their failure it would have been improper to make any such disclosure gentlemen need not be any way surprised if in the year their fai lure happened the many important and unprecedented events which took place presented me from adverting much to the ne ees ity of getting an act of indemnity respecting this transaction. The truth is the circumstance did not present itself to my mind with such force as to make me deliberate at all about it. The hopourable gertl man scems to suppose that I ought to bare felt such compandion of con-corner such stings of remote about this 40 0002 as should have pressed me not to line a day, or an hour ing time this act of indemnity I a sun the honourable gentle

man I have none of those feelings of remorse that he seems to suppose I ought to entertain. I know that for my share in that transaction I am responsible that I have been in some degree irregular, I am free to confess but whether that violation of law was not strictly justifiable by the particular encumstances of the case, whether I deserve much blame for not having sooner applied for a bill of indemnity, are questions which I have now to submit to the candid consideration of the house

Before I sit down, I must take notice of the spirit of the resolution moved by the honourable gentleman. The object of this resolution is to declare, that this loan, on account of its being secret, was a most dangerous precedent. Now, I am quite at a loss to know why a thing is to be a dangerous precedent, because it is a secret, and how it is to be a bad example, because it is not known! I am not now meaning to defend any violation of the law, if kept a secret, but I think it most strange to say, that, by being a secret, it is therefore a bad example. I should rather have thought, that it was those crimes and violations of the law which are known, and not those which are not, that form bad precedents and bad examples. This is really, as I consider, the fair legal state of the question. It is now fully before the consideration of the house, and it is for them to determine upon it as they think fit.

The previous question was put on each of Mr Whitbread's resolutions, and carried without a division, after which the following resolution, which had been moved by way of amendment by Mr Lascelles, was agreed to —" Resolved, that the measure of advancing forty thousand pounds to Messra Boyd and Co upon unquestionable securities, which have been regularly discharged, was adopted for the purpose of averting consequences which might have proved highly injurious to the financial and commercial interests of the country, and, although not conformable to law, appeared at the time to be called for by the peculiar exigencies of public affairs."

Mr Lascelles afterwards obtained leave to bring in a bill of indemnity for this transaction

460

June 25 1805

Mr. Leyester after adverting to a resolution passed by the Hoose on the 13th unitant var. "That ha Majesty a Attorney General be directed to proceed them? Lord Viscount Mclarille for the several offences which appear from the report of the commissioners of meral inquiry and that of the select committee of the Hoose of Commons to have been committeed by the aid Henry Lord Viscount Mclarille, and that the Attorney General be directed to say proceedings in the civil unit mutitated by order of the Hoose against the said Henry Lord Viscount M lville," and after arging as a more eligible mode of proceeding to adoption of a parliamentary unpeachment, record "That the Hoose proceed by impeachment against Henry Lord Viscount Mclville for the several effences which appear from the report of the commissioners of naval loquery and that of the select committee of the Hoose of Commons, to have been consisted by the said Henry Lord Viscount Mclville; and that the Attorney General be directed to stay proceedings in the prosecution which he was directed by un order of this House, of the 13th of June to instinct against him."

Als Pret in support of the motion, expressed his sentiments as follows t

Sir-From the arguments that have been urged against the motion of my honourable friend behind me it appears that the creat ground of objection is, that the bouse cannot consistently rescend its own resolutions there is something that puts it out of your Now Sir to come at once to the examina power to attend to it tion of these arguments, there is one point which has been rested upon grounds contrary to the fact, I mean the notice In advert ing to that notice I would desire gentlemen to attend to the dates. On Tuesday and Wednesday the 11th and 1 th the original questien was d lated in the house and it came to a vote on the morning of Thursday the 13th On that day there was no house and on Finday it ant again and entered upon that discussion in which I was particularly concerned. In the next week there were only three atting days before the right honourable gentleman behind me gave notice that he meant to apply to the house respecting some directions us to the matter referred to the attorney general to proce When this was proposed I would a k the house whether I did not distinctly say that the more I considered the circum tan ces of the case the more my attention had been directed to it the

more I thought, and the more I conversed upon it, I was the more convinced that an impeachment was a mode preferable to a cuminal information. When the discussion took place on this subject, I ask whether it is not in the recollection of the house that I desired the honourable gentleman to put off his motion till this day, in order to have an opportunity of considering whether the impeachment might not be thought the most advisable manner of proceeding? This, indeed, went to such particularity, that I distinctly stated to him that he must be aware that we could not agree to give any fiesh directions to the attorney general relative to this prosecution, while we were of opinion that the mode of impeachment was preferable. I stated this with a view to assure the house that a motion was in contemplation for rescinding the resolution of the house for a criminal information, and substituting an impeachment, which the house, with a few exceptions, has already declared to be the mode most consistent with the privileges of the house of lords, and better calculated to attain the ends of publicijustice.

This, Sir, I have taken upon me to state to the house, in order to show that if it has not been forewarned of the present motion, it is no fault of mine. It rests with gentlemen themselves to account for this subject having come upon them by surprise,-if, as they say, it has in fact come upon them in this This I am sure of, that they had the fullest opportunity to know, that it was proposed to revise the resolution which was passed for a criminal information How then do we stand? Why, five days ago a notice was in reality given, that this mo-' tion was to be submitted to the house And under what circumstances was the notice given? Was it when there was reason to conclude that the house would be thinly attended? No. So far was this from being the case, that a notice stood in your books for an inquiry into the state of the aimy, -a subject which gentlemen have stated to be of the last importance, and which it might resonably be presumed would command a full attendence of the c short who cit house. What, then, becomes of the assertiout against the proposition now before us, on

great many members have gone into the country, who ought to be present at this discussion?

But this is not all The house was in fact in possession of the nonce of Thursday and Friday at the moment when the house was engaged in discussing the vote of credit and other points con nected with it, points of the last importance to the empire and even to Europe and to which they attached so much consequence. that they proposed that parliament should sit all summer in order to be ready to receive information concerning them. These were surely things that called for a full attendance of the bouse, and there was a full attendance. Upon what ground then do they complain that they are taken by surprise? Upon a mature conaderation of the case, I trust the house will be of opinion that this ma complaint without a foundation. Why then, Sir this is the ariuntion in which we stood and these are the circumstances under which the notice though not perhaps formally was in reality gien. If you are determined to insut upon the point of notice, let us see whether it ought to be considered as extremely necessary In this case from what has already passed upon it [Cries of " hear! hear!" from the other side.] Really Sir I should be glad to know what there is indiculous in that? Do gentlemen mean to assert that no measure is to be carried without a specific notice long before? Let us look to what his been done on the resolution of impeachment. On the very day when that resolution was moved, an amendment for this criminal prosecution in the king's bench was proposed without the smallest previous notice to the house to give gentlemen time to consider the point without the smallest information that ou bt to be allowed in cour tery to the person accused without any intimation that such a thing was to be brought forward and this amendment was moved. too as a matter of lemty to Lord Mel alle I am not at all questioning the right of the house to adopt such a mode of priecerding far from it; but I must at the same time be allowed to express my surprise when I hear them loudly proclaiming that the proposition now submitted to their consideration is centrary to partianicalary mage. With this example before u can we ad

admit the justice of these complaints? I am sure we cannot, if we pay any regard to our own proceedings on verious occasions.

Now, Sir, having disposed of the short notice, about which we have heard so much, I come to another point on which no less stress has been laid. This is the monstrous inconsistency of resending a resolution of the house in the same session, and the danger that would result from such a precedent. But what resolution is it proposed to rescind? Is it not true that we had rescinded a previous resolution of the house? A civil prosecution had been before ordered by the house, when the honourable gentleman* opposite came down to this house, and proposed that the proceedings in the civil suit should be suspended, and a criminal prosecution substituted in its stead. That proposition was agreed to by the house, and where then is the inconsistency, or the dangerous precedent arising from the proposal now before the house? But, if there is any inconsistency, if there is any dangerous precedent, the practice has already prevailed, and this is surely not the moment when the house would be anxious to adopt a different mode of proceeding. If the object of the resolution now proposed had been by any sort of management to destroy the effect of the votes of the house, or to leave it doubtful whether, after the criminal prosecution was set aside, any thing was to be moved in its stead, then, indeed, there might be a fair ground for the arguments urged by the gentlemen on the other side of the But when the very resolution which proposes to lay aside the one mode substitutes the other, then surely I may be allowed to say, that there is great reason to be astonished at the opposition given by gentlemen on the present occasion, contrary to their own declared opinions

Such, then, being the notice, and such the point of records the previous resolution of the house, we now come to are soft the case that deserves a considerable degree of attention are told that though they are still of opinion that the records peachment ought to be preferred, yet

⊀ Mr Bond.

of stratagem to defeat the object which the house has inview the ends of public justice and besides that it will be thoug others, that the house of commons in agreeing to such a reso as the present would compromise its character for steadiness, beration, and consistency Now, in what manner do the pose us? One would think from the course pursued in arguments, that they really thought, as I before intimated the intention was to rescind the resolution for the criminal j cution leaving it to chance whether any other was to be prop and to set aside all criminal prosecution entirely. In thi there would be some reason in their arguments. But it is a aible that they can understand the object which we have in since that very resolution that rescands the criminal inform retains the motion for an impeachment. Which of the two ceedings is really most consistent with the opinions of a mi of the house? What do we propose to put in the place of minal information ! An impenchment -that very mode of ecceding for which the honourable gentlemen on the opposit argued so atrenuously ht first -that mode which they have tended to be best calculated to answer the ends of public justithat mode which they have said to be most consistent witl hamentary usage most agreeable to the dignity of the house most comonant to the principles of the constitution on these damental broad grounds, they have been loud in their prefe of an impeachment. What then do I ask of them? It is to firm their own sentiments. If they agree to our motion, they only to adopt that mode of proceeding for which they were so urgent. They have from the beginning, preferred an limp ment; and if that opinion remain the same as before I only them not to impute any improper motives to those who fi them with an opportunity of acting according to their opinic

But then they say that they cannot now accede to this I sition, because the consistency of the proceedings of the ho commons is involved upon this occasion. If there be any satency in this case it rests with themselves. If this are

availed any thing, it ought to have prevented any criminal prosecution at all in this case We said, that after the civil suit had commenced, there was no room for the other proceeding. The house, however, rescinded its resolution on that head, and having once determined on a criminal prosecution, we are not now disposed to find fault with that decision. But we do say, there still remains an option respecting the mode of conducting the criminal prosecution which was not before called for. It remains still for us to adopt the preferable mode, and certainly no objection of form ought to weigh against the decided opinion of the majority of the house, especially when, in fact, there is no ground of inconsistency or precedent that can at all be urged as applicable to the present circumstances of the case.

Why then, Sir, taking all these circumstances into consideration, finding that those very persons who before urged the impeachment so strenuously, still retain their sentiments on that head, and still agree, that it is the preferable mode of proceeding; surely the house cannot refuse, on any grounds that have been as yet stated, to adopt that mode which is allowed by a vast majority of the house to be most proper. It is possible, perhaps, to come to the justice of the case, by the criminal information before the king's bench court, but supposing this to be the case, it still re-' mains to be considered, which, under all the circumstances, is the most proper mode of trial An impeachment, as it has been " justly argued, is most consonant to the principles of the constitution. This is allowed I am sure that every one who hears me, * would also wish, since a trial of this nature has been found neceswary, that it should be such an one as would be most satisfactory for to the feelings of the accused, even upon the admission that both were, in every other respect, equally eligible. This, however, they are not, for it is confessed that the mode of impeachment pri possesses important and decided advantages. The house surely cannot, on a mere point of form, refuse to grant that sort of trial y le which is allowed on all hands to be the best, and resort to one which the accused thinks the most objectionable, as the least likely